THE OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF THE HUMITE GROUP

ESPER S. LARSEN

A considerable number of specimens of the humite group were examined optically in an attempt to find some consistent differences that would enable one to quickly and with assurance, distinguish between the members of the group. Assuming that the specimens were correctly labelled, the resulting data and in particular the indices of refraction for each member of the group showed a surprisingly wide variation and within about the same range for each of the species, so that no satisfactory data for determinative purposes was had and the suspicion was raised that many of the specimens might be incorrectly labelled. It was accordingly decided that any reliable data on the group must be based on the examination of material that had been analyzed or otherwise positively identified.

I was fortunate enough to secure the material studied by Penfield and Howe¹ from Professor Ford of Yale University, and that studied by Sjögren² from Professor Aminoff of Stockholm. I express my sincere thanks to Professors Ford and Aminoff for the use of these materials.

The study was made by the immersion method, and the indices of refraction were determined in sodium light and should be in error ± 0.001 . Some of the plates of Sjögren showed zonal growths.

All of the specimens examined, except the one from Franklin, associated with norbergite, are optically +, and all have large to very large axial angles. The pleochroism is stronger for the darker colored specimen and in all the pleochroic formula is: X = yellowish brown; Y = paler yellowish brown; Z = colorless.

Table I gives the indices of refraction for five analyzed specimens of chondrodite from as many localities, for a specimen that was similar to the analyzed sample from a sixth locality and for the mineral from four other localities. The indices of refraction for all of the five analyzed specimens are very much alike, those

¹ Penfield, S. L. and Howe, T. H. On the Chemical Composition of Chondrodite, Humite, and Clinohumite. Am. J. Sc., 47, 188–200, 1894.

² Sjögren, Hj. Chondrodite von Kafveltorp, Zeit. Kryst. Min., 7, 113-152, 1882. Beiträge zur Mineralogie Schwedens, Bull. Geol. Inst. Upsula, 1, 1-54, 1892; 2, 39-54, 1894.

											on	43
	, Tilly Foster Brush 4813	33.67	54.79	5.94		5.30	2.55	102.25	100.02	3.204	$\frac{1.635^8}{1.645}$ $\frac{1.645}{1.663}$	v.large 26°
	Custer Co., Tilly Idaho Foster Shannon ⁷ Brush 4813										1.631 1.640 1.657	med
	nark C Sjögren brown	34.05	48.30	10.54	1.24	0.54 5.40	2.30	102.50	100.23	3.28	1.619 ⁶ 1.632 1.653	79°40′ 27°30′
	Nordmark Sjögren Sjögren yellow brown	34.50	51.39	6.59	0.16	0.63 4.76 0.16	0.90	102.03	100,03	3.24		
DRODITE.											1.621 1.632 1.655	31°
Table I. Analyses and Optical Properties of Chondrodite	Mansjö von Sckermann ⁴	33.71	55.02	3.67	1.23	4.50	2.90	101.43	100.01		1.607 1.620 1.643	72°14′ 26°25′
PROPERTIE	Christian- Mansjö sand von Barth ³ Eckerman	32.07	53.26	3.50	1.13	0.35	2.74	100.26	97.58	3.227	1.601	85°-90° 27.2°
OPTICAL	Sjögren Brown II										1.611 1.622 1.641	27°
(SES AND	Kafveltorp ush Sjögren S 940 yellow VIII										1.601+ 1.616 1.638	2856'
I. ANAL	Kaf Brush 2040	33.33	54.30	6.62		09.9	1.67	102.52 2.76	97.66	3.252	$\begin{array}{c} 1.608 \\ 1.620 \\ 1.642 \end{array}$	74°
	Monte Somma Brush 2063	33.87	56.46	3.66		5.15	2.82	101.96 2.16	08.66	3.194	1.609 1.619 1.641	
	Warwick, N. Y. Brush 2054	33.80	55.70	2.64	1.83	7.30	1.46	3.07	99'66	3.168	1.605 1.616 1.636	73°
	Franklin, Warwick N. J. N. Y. core to Brush norbergite 2054										1.594 1.607 1.619	Lge. Opt
	Monte Somma Brush 2065							[z.		ئ	1.593 1.606 1.622	
		SiO ₂	MgO	Feo	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ^O 3 F ^O 3	Na ₂ 0 H ₂ 0+	O for F		Sp. Gr.	3 B B	2V Ext.

for the Franklin mineral are considerably lower, while those for the Weston, Nordmark, Custer County, and Tilly Foster minerals are much higher. Analyses are available for the mineral from Nordmark and Tilly Foster but the exact materials that furnished the analyses were not available for optical study.

TABLE II. ANALYSES AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF HUMITE

	Monte	Vesuvius	Nordmark	Nordmark
	Somma	Yale	Sjögren	Sjögren
		4102	Brownish	Yellowish
	Light	dark	yellow	gray
SiO_2	36.63	36.74	35.44	35.21
TiO ₂			0.07	0.07
MgO	56.45	56.31	47.22	48.33
CaO			0.17	0.11
FeO	2.35	2.22	10.96	7.93
MnO			1.47	1.69
Al_2O_3			0.19	0.07
Fe_2O_3			0.68	1.06
F	3.68	3.96	3.79	4.59
K_2O			0.17	0.20
Na ₂ O			0.29	0.34
H ₂ O+	2.45	2.13	1.28	(
H_2O-				1.85
	100.96	101.36	101.73	101.45
O for F	1.26	1.66	1.60	1.93
	99.70	99.70	100.13	99.52
Sp. Gr.	3.194	3.183	3.32	3.24
•	3.201	3.225		
α	1.6219	1.625	1.63210	
β	1.627	1.635	1.643	
γ	1.649	1.656		
2V		70°		

REFERENCES FOR TABLES I AND II

³ Barth, Tom. On Contact Minerals from the Pre-Cambrian in Southern Norway, Norsk, Geol. Tid., VIII, 94-96, 1924.

⁴ von Eckermann, Harry. The Rocks and Contact Minerals of the Mansjö Mountain, Geol. For., Stockholm, 279-383, 1922.

⁵ Eskola, Penti. The Contact Phenomena Between Gneiss and Limestone in Western Massachusetts, *Jour. Geol.*, XXX, 270, 1922.

- ⁶ Optical data on brown crystals similar to those analyzed but not on identical material.
- ⁷ Shannon, E. V. The Minerals of Idaho. U. S. Nat. Mus., Bull. 131, 333-334, 1926.
 - ⁸ Specimens from Tilly Foster gave:

α	1.627	1.632	1.635	1.643
β	1.638	1.640	1.645	1.655
γ	1.657	1.661	1.663	1.670

The last figures are by Gillson, J. L., Am. Mineral., 11, 284, 1926.

- ⁹ Optical data not closely tied to the analyses but on specimen 4806 of the Brush Collection which is very pale brown and answers the description of the analyzed specimen.
 - 10 No. 62 Plate 2 of Sjögren—Optical data not closely tied to analysis.

TABLE III. ANALYSES AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF CLINOHUMITE.

	Vesuvius Yale	Vesuvius Brush	Nordmark Sjögren	Chaffey's ¹² Lake, Ontario	Piedmont ¹³
	4143	2046	No. 63		
SiO_2	37.78	38.03	35.86	37.42	36.83
TiO ₂	01.70	00.00	.06	1.14	1.92
MgO	53.05	54.00	44.66	56.32	51.53
CaO	00.00	02.00	trace		
FeO	5.64	4.83	14.25	1.27	4.90
MnO			1.19	0.10	.28
Al_2O_3					0.07
Fe_2O_3			0.22	0.46	0.42
\mathbf{F}	3.58	2.06	4.16	5.04	0.03
K_2O			0.15		
Na ₂ O			0.29		0.12
H_2O+	1.33	1.94	1.58	0.56	∫3.04
H_2O —					0.12
	-	-		*	
	100.38	100.86	102.42	102.31	99.2613
O for F	1.50	.86	1.75	2.12	
					-
	99.88	100.00	100.67	100.19	
Sp. Gr.	3.219	3.184	3.35	3.17	
	3.258	3.222			
α	1.632	1.62514	1.65211	1.628	1.66411
β	1.644	1.638	1.663	1.642	1.673
γ	1.664	1.653			1.698
2V	74°	near 90°	76°27′		62°
Ext.			12°-15°		70°29′

REFERENCES FOR TABLE III.

11 Optical data not closely tied to analyses.

¹² Walker, T. L., and Parsons, A. L.—Clinohumite from Chaffey's Lake, Ontario. *Contr. Can. Min.*, p. 17–18, 1927.

¹³ Zambonini, F., —Sur la veritable nature du Titanolivine de la valler d'Ala (Piedmont), *Bull. Soc. Fr. Minr.*, XLII, 1–30, 1919. Contains PbO · 0.14, BaO 1.30, Cr₂O₃ 0.03, NiO 0.07, Optical data by Larsen.

¹⁴ Not uniform—Indices vary ±0.005 or more. Data are for about averages.

In Table II optical data are given for three analyzed specimens of humite from two localities.

In Table III optical data are given for five analyzed specimens of clinohumite from four localities. The corresponding data for two specimens of analyzed norbergite are given in the preceding paper.

Assembling the data for the four members of the humite group, Table IV shows that on the whole, as the Mg_2SiO_4 increases in amount the indices of refraction increase, but there is much overlapping. The norbergite occupies a low range and can be distinguished from the others by its indices of refraction. Most of the analyzed chondrodites have β between 1.616, and 1.622, and are higher than any of the norbergites and lower than any of the humites but some of the chondrodites have β as high as any clinohumite except that from Piedmont. The humites mostly have β lower than does clinohumite but there is overlapping.

TABLE IV. OPTICAL DATA FOR THE HUMITE GROUP.

Norbergite Compo- Mg(OH, F) ₂ .		Chrondrodite	Humite	Clinohumite	
		$Mg(OH, F)_2$	Mg(OH, F) ₂	$Mg(OH, F)_2$	
sitio	on Mg ₂ SiO ₄	2Mg ₂ SiO ₄	3Mg ₂ SiO ₄	4Mg ₂ SiO ₄	
α	1.561-1.563	1.601-1.643	1.621-1.632	1.623-1.664	
В	1.566-1.570	1.616-1.655	1.627-1.643	1.636-1.673	
γ	1.587-1.590	1.636-1.670	1.649-	1.651-1.698	
Ext.	Orth.	22°-29°	Orth.	7°-15°	
2V	45°-50°	73°-90°	70°-	62°-90°	

The variation in the indices of refraction is brought out by comparing the data for the three minerals (chondrodite, humite, and clinohumite) from the same localities. Such data are available from Vesuvius and from Nordmark and are shown in Table V. For both localities the indices of refraction of the chondrodite

are lowest, that for humite intermediate, and that for clinohumite highest though for the Vesuvius mineral the differences are not great.

For each species the Nordmark mineral has considerably higher indices of refraction and iron content than the Vesuvius mineral.

Table V. A Comparison of Members of the Humite Group from Vesuvius and from Nordmark

		AND FROM	Nordmark		
From Ves	suvius				
	Chondrodite	H	[umite	Clinoh	umite
α	1.609	1.621	1.625	1.632	$1.625 \pm$
β	1.619	1.627	1.635	1.644	$1.638 \pm$
γ	1.641	1.649	1.656	1.664	$1.653 \pm$
FeO+MnO	3.66	2.35	2.22	5.64	4.83
\mathbf{F} .	5.15	3.68	3.96	3.58	2.06
H_2O	2.82	2.45	2.13	1.33	1.94
From No	rdmark				
	Chondrodite	Ηι	ımite	Clino	humite
α	1.619	1	.632	1.6	52
β	1.632	1	. 643	1.60	53
γ	1.653			`	
FeO+MnO	11.78 ?	9	. 62	15.4	14
F	5.40 ?	4	. 59	4.3	16
H_2O	2.30 ?	1	. 85	1.5	58

Conclusions. An attempt to correlate the chemical composition with the optical constants is disappointing. One would expect a simple and systematic relation in which the indices of refraction increase with the iron and titanium content and decrease with the increase in fluorine. There appears to be some tendency in this direction as indicated by the Nordmark minerals which are high in iron and have high indices of refraction but the relations are far from simple. While for the analyzed chondrodites, the optical data are rather uniform ($\beta = 1.616$ to 1.622) although there is considerable variation in chemical composition (FeO+MnO= 2.64 to 6.62); the Tilly Foster mineral shows very nearly the chemical composition of the Kaveltorp mineral described by Penfield and Howe, yet its indices of refraction are much higher (for Kaveltorp $\beta = 1.620$ for Tilly Foster $\beta = 1.645$). These data are so inconsistent as to lead one to the suspicion that some constituent, such as titanium, has been overlooked in the Tilly Foster mineral.