

## STABLE MINERAL ASSEMBLAGES OF ANHYDROUS COPPER AND IRON OXIDES

RICHARD A. YUND, *Department of Geology, Brown University,  
Providence 12, Rhode Island*

AND

G. KULLERUD, *Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of  
Washington, Washington, D. C.*

### ABSTRACT

The equilibrium assemblages in the system Cu-Fe-O have been determined at 800° C. and below. Ternary phases are delafossite ( $\text{CuFeO}_2$ ) and copper ferrite ( $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ). Neither cuprite nor tenorite is stable with magnetite or hematite at any temperature. Copper and hematite together are stable only below  $675^\circ \pm 25^\circ$  C. Natural mineral assemblages are briefly discussed in the light of the experimental data.

The free energy of formation of delafossite has been calculated at 675° and 25° C. with the aid of the experimentally determined phase relations. The values are  $-83 \pm 8$  kcal/mole and  $-110 \pm 6$  kcal/mole, respectively.

### INTRODUCTION

The subsolidus phase relations in the binary systems Cu-Fe, Cu-O, and Fe-O are characterized by phases stable from 25° to above 1000° C. Only wüstite ("FeO") and possibly cuprite ( $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ ) are exceptions. Wüstite is not stable below about 560° C., and cuprite was reported to be unstable below about 375° C. (Vogel and Pocher, 1929). However, recent studies of copper oxidation report the formation of cuprite as low as 150° C. (Cathcart *et al.*, 1962), and it has been precipitated from aqueous solutions at 25° C. (Delhez, 1960). Some of the oxides are reported to be nonstoichiometric at high temperature, but only wüstite has a variation of more than 1 per cent in its metal/oxygen ratio below 900° C. For a more comprehensive summary of these relations see Hansen and Anderko (1958).

The ternary phases in the system Cu-Fe-O are copper ferrite ( $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) and delafossite ( $\text{CuFeO}_2$ ). A reversible transformation in  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  from isometric to tetragonal at 760° C. on slow cooling was reported by Weil *et al.* (1950); however, the transformation has been reported as low as 360° C. (Ohnjhi *et al.*, 1959) and as high as 970° C. (Bergstein and Cervinka, 1961).  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  develops a defect structure above 900° C. as a result of loss of oxygen (Bergstein and Cervinka, 1961). The nonstoichiometry of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  may explain at least in part the reported variation in its transformation temperature. Powder x-ray diffraction data for tetragonal  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  (delafossite) are given by Weil *et al.* (1950) and Sollor and Thompson (1935), respectively.

In this investigation the phases  $\text{CuO}$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  were synthesized by passing commercial grade oxygen over the metals enclosed in a silica-glass tube and heated at  $900^\circ\text{C}$ . for 20 to 30 hours with one or more regrindings during this period to ensure complete oxidation. The oxides  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  were prepared by reacting the metals with  $\text{CuO}$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , respectively, in sealed, evacuated silica-glass tubes at  $900^\circ\text{C}$ . All preparations were examined both by  $x$ -ray powder diffraction and reflected light microscopy for homogeneity and to identify the phases. Bulk compositions in the ternary were prepared from mixtures of the binary oxides and the metals, sealed in evacuated silica-glass tubes, and heated. For a discussion of this method see Kullerud and Yoder (1959). The copper and iron used for this study were each 99.99 weight per cent pure. Reported temperatures are believed to be correct to within  $\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ .

#### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The stability of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  was investigated by heating sealed silica-glass tubes containing synthetic  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  at  $400^\circ$ ,  $350^\circ$  and  $200^\circ\text{C}$ . for 315 days. Careful microscopic examination of polished sections revealed no change in any of the samples. More positive evidence of the stability of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  at low temperature is afforded by the formation of up to 10 per cent  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  from  $\text{Cu}$  and  $\text{CuO}$  at  $200^\circ\text{C}$ . after 315 days. These observations along with the numerous studies of copper oxidation and the thermal

TABLE 1. RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS AT  $800^\circ\text{C}$ .

Reactants	Composition, weight per cent <sup>1</sup>		Heating period, days	Condensed phases <sup>2</sup>
	Fe	Cu		
$\text{Cu}_2\text{O} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	36.89	41.97	26	$\text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{Cu}$ (1%) <sup>3</sup>
$\text{CuO} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	46.69	26.56	26	$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{CuO}$ (1%) <sup>3</sup>
$\text{CuO} + \text{Fe}$	50	40	13	$\text{Cu} + \text{Fe} + \text{"FeO"}$
$\text{CuO} + \text{Fe}$	29	56.7	13	$\text{Cu} + \text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$
$\text{CuO} + \text{Fe}$	12	70.3	13	$\text{CuO} + \text{Cu}_2\text{O} + \text{CuFeO}_2$
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{Fe}$	49	25.3	13	$\text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$
$\text{Fe} + \text{Cu} + \text{excess O}_2^4$	Fe:Cu=2:3		7	$\text{CuO} + \text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$
$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CuO}$	60	13.7	11	$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CuO}$	48	26.9	11	$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$
$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CuO}$	38	37.9	11	$\text{CuO} + \text{CuFeO}_2 + \text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$

<sup>1</sup> Remainder is oxygen.

<sup>2</sup>  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  was isometric in all of these preparations.

<sup>3</sup> These preparations were reground after 3 days to promote reaction. Traces of  $\text{Cu}$  and  $\text{CuO}$  probably resulted from loss during regrinding.

<sup>4</sup> Oxygen passed over  $\text{Cu}$  and  $\text{Fe}$  in an open tube.

chemical data (Coughlin, 1954) demonstrate that cuprite is stable to well below  $375^{\circ}\text{C}$ . and presumably to room temperature. The previously reported breakdown of cuprite below  $375^{\circ}\text{C}$ . (Vogel and Pocher, 1929), which is still commonly quoted in the literature, is undoubtedly in error.

The data for the stable assemblages in the ternary system at  $800^{\circ}\text{C}$ . are listed in Table 1 and have been used to construct the phase diagram




FIG. 1. Stable assemblages above  $675^{\circ}\pm 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This is the lowest temperature at which delafossite and magnetite are stable together.

in Fig. 1. Although the possibility of nonstoichiometry in  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  was not closely investigated, the  $d$  values of these phases from  $x$ -ray powder patterns appear constant regardless of the assemblage in which the phases were synthesized, suggesting that any compositional variability is probably small ( $< 2\text{--}3\%$ ) at  $800^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Slow rates of reaction hindered determination of the phase relations below  $800^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Although equilibrium could not be attained for many reac-

tions at low temperature, a tie-line change at  $675^\circ \pm 25^\circ$  C. from  $\text{CuFeO}_2\text{-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  to  $\text{Cu-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  is clearly indicated by the data in Table 2 and is shown in Fig. 2.

$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  was found to invert between  $800^\circ$  and  $700^\circ$  C. on cooling to a tetragonal modification in agreement with the previous work of Weil,

TABLE 2. RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS BETWEEN  $300^\circ$  AND  $700^\circ$  C.

Reactants	Composition, weight per cent <sup>1</sup>		T., ° C.	Heating period, days	Condensed phases <sup>2</sup>
	Fe	Cu			
$\text{CuFeO}_2$	36.89	41.97	300	270	$\text{CuFeO}_2$
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ (isometric)	46.69	26.56	700	10	$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ (tetragonal)
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ (isometric)	46.69	26.56	300	270	$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ (tetragonal)
$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	42	40	300	220	$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2$	42	40	300	220	$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	42	40	650	50	$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2$	42	40	650	52	$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{CuO+Fe}$	29	56.7	650	53	$\text{Cu+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$
$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	42	40	700	50	$\text{Cu+Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{+Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (?)
$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2$	42	40	700	50	$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2$
$\text{CuO+Fe}$	29	56.7	700	53	$\text{Cu+Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{+CuFeO}_2$
$\text{CuO+Fe}$	12	70.3	650	53	$\text{CuO+Cu}_2\text{O+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (1%)
$\text{CuO+Fe}$	12	70.3	600	72	$\text{CuO+Cu}_2\text{O+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (3-4%)
$\text{CuO+Fe}$	12	70.3	500	54	$\text{CuO+Cu}_2\text{O+CuFeO}_2\text{+Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (3-4%)
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4\text{+Fe}_3\text{O}_4$	59.7	13	700	7	$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{+CuFeO}_2\text{+CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ $\text{+Fe}_3\text{O}_4$
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4\text{+Cu}_2\text{O}$	28.5	51	700	7	$\text{CuFeO}_2\text{+CuO+CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ (3-4%)+ $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ (4-5%)

<sup>1</sup> Remainder is oxygen.

<sup>2</sup> Not all represent equilibrium assemblages.

Bertaut, and Bochirol (1950). The rate of the transformation from isometric to tetragonal is high, and very rapid cooling of the silica-glass tube is necessary to quench the isometric, high-temperature modification. The only other known change in the stable assemblages below  $800^\circ$  C. corresponds to the breakdown of wüstite below about  $560^\circ$  C. (Hansen and Anderko, 1958). The stable assemblages below  $560^\circ$  C. are shown in Fig. 2.

ESTIMATION OF  $\Delta H^\circ$ ,  $S^\circ$ , AND  $\Delta F^\circ$  FOR DELAFOSSITE

The standard heats of formation ( $\Delta H^\circ$ ) and entropies ( $S^\circ$ ) (25° C. and 1 atmosphere) for copper, iron, and the binary oxides of these metals have been critically evaluated by Kubaschewski and Evans (1958) and more recently by Robie (1963, in press). Standard free energies of forma-





FIG. 2. Stable assemblages below 560° C., the lowest temperature at which wüstite is stable. The assemblages shown here are believed to be stable at 25° C.

tion ( $\Delta F^\circ$ ) for  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  calculated from these data can be used to obtain  $\Delta F^\circ$  for delafossite if the phase relations shown in Fig. 2 remain unchanged down to 25° C. The following relations provide limits for  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$ .



The right-hand side of each reaction represents the phases assumed

to be stable at 25° C. From relation 1,  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  must be more negative than half the sum of  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{Cu}_2\text{O}} + \Delta F^\circ_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$  ( $-105.8 \pm 1$  kcal/mole) if  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  is the stable phase. Similarly from relation 2,  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  must be less negative than the difference of  $2\Delta F^\circ_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3} - \Delta F^\circ_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$  ( $-111.3 \pm 4$  kcal/mole) if the stable assemblage is  $\text{Cu} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . The value for  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  obtained from relations 1 and 2 is  $-110 \pm 6$  kcal/mole. The uncertainty of  $\pm 6$  kcal/mole includes the uncertainties in the standard free energies of formation for  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ .<sup>1</sup>


Various methods for estimating the entropies of oxides and sulfides are discussed by Grønvd and Westrum (1962). Pabst (1946) concluded from a consideration of interatomic radii and coordination that the valence state of copper and iron in delafossite is probably  $\text{Cu}^+\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{O}_2$ . Because of the difficulty of estimating the expected magnetic entropy contribution to delafossite, the best estimate of  $S^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  is obtained from the observed entropies of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . Copper and iron have the same valences in these compounds as they do in delafossite.

$$\frac{1}{2}S^\circ_{\text{Cu}_2\text{O}} + \frac{1}{2}S^\circ_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3} = S^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$$

Observed values for  $S^\circ_{\text{Cu}_2\text{O}}$  and  $S^\circ_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$  taken from Grønvd and Westrum (1962) give a value of 21.6 cal/degree for  $S^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$ . It is unlikely that the uncertainty of  $S^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  is greater than  $\pm 2$  cal/degree.

The standard heat of formation for delafossite calculated from  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  and  $S^\circ$  is  $-122 \pm 7$  kcal/mole.

The free energy of formation for  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  at  $675^\circ \pm 25^\circ$  C. can be obtained from the relation



which corresponds to the tie-line change observed experimentally. The heat capacities of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Cu}$  which were used in this calculation are from Kelley (1960). The calculated value of  $-83 \pm 8$  kcal/mole for  $\Delta F_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  at 675° C. is not based on any assumptions about the phase relations as was the standard free energy at 25° C. Therefore, the difference in free energy of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  between 675° and 25° C., which requires a normal heat capacity for delafossite, supports—although it does not prove—the assumption that the assemblage  $\text{Cu}-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3-\text{CuFeO}_2$  remains stable down to 25° C.

#### GEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study was undertaken as part of an investigation of the stable mineral assemblages in the geologically important system  $\text{Cu}-\text{Fe}-\text{O}-\text{S}$

<sup>1</sup> Similarly, limits for  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4}$  can be obtained although the uncertainty is larger because  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFeO}_2}$  is included in the calculation. The value obtained by this method is  $-217 \pm 13$  kcal/mole.

and the principal significance of this study is its contribution to the phase relations in that quaternary. However, several geological considerations pertaining to assemblages of native copper and the oxides of copper and iron may be mentioned here.

The formation of cuprite in the oxidized zone of mineral deposits was irreconcilable with the often cited instability of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  below  $375^\circ\text{C}$ . Recent investigations of copper oxidation and additional evidence presented in this paper demonstrate that  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  is stable down to  $200^\circ\text{C}$ . and presumably lower, which is in accord with the geological evidence. Furthermore, the identity of the powder patterns of natural cuprite and synthetic  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  indicates that the phases are structurally identical, and the possibility of two distinct phases with different limits of thermal stability is eliminated.

Oxides of copper and iron are commonly reported to occur together in the oxidized zone, although a careful examination often reveals that the iron oxides are in fact goethite or lepidocrocite (see also McKinstry, 1959). Thus the incompatibilities of cuprite and tenorite with magnetite or hematite as determined in this study are not in disagreement with many natural assemblages. For example, specimens of delafossite from Bisbee, Arizona, were examined and found to consist of delafossite, native copper, cuprite, and goethite or lepidocrocite. Magnetite or hematite was not present. Undoubtedly equilibrium is not attained in the low-temperature oxidized zone of all deposits. It is surprising, perhaps, that stable assemblages ever form in this environment.

The apparent absence of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  in the oxidized zone is probably best explained by the partial pressure of oxygen and water in the oxidizing environment favoring the formation of other phases (*i.e.*, cuprite, tenorite, goethite, etc.) rather than by the instability of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  at low temperature (Table 2). It is impossible to calculate useful limits of the partial pressure of oxygen in equilibrium with assemblages containing  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  because of the relatively large uncertainty of  $\Delta F^\circ_{\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4}$ .

Coexistence of hematite and native copper is common and perhaps best exemplified by the deposits in the Keweenaw Peninsula of Michigan. The copper mineralization in this area is generally believed to have taken place at low temperature. Results of the present study indicate that copper and hematite are stable together below  $675^\circ\text{C}$ . and probably as low as  $25^\circ\text{C}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for the completion of this study was made possible by a National Science Foundation grant. The authors are indebted to Dr. R. H. Robie of the U. S. Geological Survey and Professor B. J. Gilletti of Brown University for constructive criticism of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- BERGSTEIN, A. AND L. CERVINKA (1961) To the structure and oxygen content of copper and copper-manganese ferrite. *Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **18**, 264-265.
- CATHCART, J. V., J. E. EPPERSON AND G. F. PETERSEN (1962) Epitaxially induced strains in  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  films on copper single crystals. II. Optical effects. *Acta Metall.* **10**, 699-703.
- COUGHLIN, J. P. (1954) Contributions to the data on theoretical metallurgy. XII. Heats and free energies of formation of inorganic oxides. *U. S. Bur. Mines Bull.* **542**.
- DELHEZ, R. (1960) Microcrystalline cuprous oxide. *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, Ser. I*, **74**, 129-133.
- GRØNVOLD, R. AND E. F. WESTRUM (1962) Heat capacities and thermodynamic functions of iron disulfide (pyrite), iron diselenide, and nickel diselenide from 5 to 350° K. The estimation of standard entropies of transition metal chalcogenides. *Inorg. Chem.* **1**, 36-48.
- HANSEN, M. AND K. ANDERKO (1958) *Constitution of Binary Alloys*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.
- KELLEY, K. K. (1960) Contributions to the data on theoretical metallurgy. XIII. High-temperature heat content, heat capacity, and entropy data for the elements and inorganic compounds. *U. S. Bur. Mines Bull.* **584**.
- KUBASCHEWSKI, O. AND E. T. EVANS (1958) *Metallurgical Thermochemistry*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- KULLERUD, G. AND H. S. YODER (1959) Pyrite stability relations in the Fe-S system. *Econ. Geol.* **54**, 533-572.
- MCKINSTRY, H. (1959) Mineral assemblages in sulfide ores: The system Cu-Fe-O-S. *Econ. Geol.* **54**, 975-1001.
- OHNJI, H., T. TERANISHI AND S. MIYAHARA (1959) The transition temperature of copper ferrite. *Jour. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **14**, 106.
- PABST, A. (1946) Notes on the structure of delafossite. *Am. Mineral.* **31**, 539-546.
- ROBIE, R. A. (1963) Thermodynamic properties of minerals. Revised Edition: *Handbook of Physical Constants* (in press).
- SOLLER, W. AND A. J. THOMPSON (1935) The crystal structure of cuprous ferrite (abstract). *Phys. Rev.* **47**, 644.
- VOGEL, R. AND W. POCHER (1929) Über das System Kupfer-Sauerstoff. *Zeit. Metallkunde*, **21**, 333-337.
- WEIL, L., F. BERTAUT AND L. BOCHIROU (1950) Propriétés magnetiques et structure de la phase quadratique du ferrite de cuivre. *Jour. de Physique et Radium*, **11**, 208-212.
- Manuscript received October 14, 1963; accepted for publication, December 1, 1963.*