

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 51, MARCH-APRIL, 1966

NEW DATA ON ROEBLINGITE¹FRANKLIN F. FOIT, JR., *Department of Geology and Mineralogy,
The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.*

INTRODUCTION

The mineral roebingite has been reported from two localities: Franklin Furnace, New Jersey, and Langban, Sweden. The Franklin material was first described by Penfield and Foote (1897) as dense, white, compact masses of minute prismatic crystals associated with axinite, willemite, datolite, barite, arsenopyrite, sphalerite, titanite, rhodonite and rhodochrosite, in or near the limestone-granite contact.

During an extensive mineralogical investigation of the Langban minerals initiated in the late 1920's by G. Aminoff of the Natural History Museum, Stockholm, Sweden, a mineral similar in composition to roebingite was discovered. A complete investigation of this new discovery demanded more reliable chemical data than was offered by Penfield and Foote. Therefore, R. Blix (1931) performed several chemical analyses on the Franklin roebingite in an effort to remedy the difficulty encountered by Penfield and Foote in assigning a chemical formula. The chemical analyses from both sources are tabulated in Table I. Strunz (1957) reports the Langban roebingite as possibly being orthorhombic with $a=8.3$, $b=13.1$, and $c=12.65$ Å. However, some difficulty is encountered in obtaining a reasonable formula from the application of these parameters to the available chemical analyses.

X-RAY ANALYSIS

A specimen from each of the localities was investigated by both powder diffraction and single crystal methods. This investigation confirmed that roebingite found at Langban is structurally identical to the Franklin material. A list of indexed d -values for roebingite is presented in Table II.

The space group as determined from precession and Weissenberg photographs is Cc or $C2/c$, corresponding to the diffraction symmetry $2/mC-c$. The unit cell has the parameters $a=13.27\pm 0.03$, $b=8.38\pm 0.02$, $c=13.09\pm 0.03$ Å, and $\beta=103.86\pm 0.1^\circ$. These are the results of measurements made from Weissenberg and precession photographs of

¹ Contribution No. 276 from the Mineralogical Laboratory, The Department of Geology and Mineralogy, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

material from both localities. The parameters obtained for roeblingite from each locality were identical within the error of measurement. The parameters of Strunz ($a = 8.3$, $b = 13.1$, and $c = 12.65 \text{ \AA}$) apparently reflect the inaccuracy produced by assuming orthogonal geometry.

The lattice shows a pseudo-mirror plane approximately perpendicular to the a -axis. Consequently, an F-centered cell, closely related in dimensions to the C-centered cell, can be chosen with $a = 13.27 \pm 0.03$, $b = 8.38$

TABLE I. CHEMICAL ANALYSES AND CELL CONTENTS OF ROEBLINGITE
FROM FRANKLIN FURNACE, NEW JERSEY

Cell Volume = $1,413 \text{ \AA}^3$

Oxide	Penfield & Foote (1897)			Blix (1931)		
	Wt. %	Cations/ cell	Oxygen Atoms/ cell	Wt. %	Cations/ cell	Oxygen Atoms/ cell
SiO ₂	23.58	11.47	22.94	23.57	11.47	22.94
CaO	25.95	13.52	13.52	23.12	12.04	12.04
SrO	1.40	0.39	0.39	2.79	0.79	0.79
MnO	2.48	1.02	1.02	2.49	1.03	1.03
Na ₂ O	0.40	0.36	0.18	—	—	—
K ₂ O	0.13	0.08	0.04	—	—	—
H ₂ O	6.35	20.62	10.31	6.15(+105° C.) 0.45(-105° C.)	18.96	9.98
PbO	31.03	4.06	4.06	30.04	3.93	3.93
SO ₂	9.00	4.11	8.22	10.81(SO ₃)	3.95	11.85
CO ₂	—	—	—	0.61	0.41	0.82
	100.32		60.68	100.03		63.38

S.G. = 3.433

± 0.02 , $c = 2 \times 13.13 \pm 0.03 \text{ \AA}$, and $\beta = 104.56 \pm 0.1^\circ$. The choice of axes for the C-centered cell defines the perfect cleavage as $\{001\}$ and the imperfect cleavage as $\{100\}$.

CHEMISTRY

X-ray fluorescence analyses were performed on samples of both Langban and Franklin roeblingite. The results indicated that the samples were chemically similar and contained all of the major elements listed in the analyses (Table I).

The number of cations and oxygen atoms per unit cell calculated from the analyses of Penfield and Foote (1897) and Blix (1931) is listed in

TABLE II. X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION DATA OF ROEBLINGITE FROM LANGBAN, SWEDEN AND FRANKLIN FURNACE, NEW JERSEY

$a = 13.27 \text{ \AA}$

$b = 8.38 \text{ \AA}$

$c = 13.09 \text{ \AA}$

$\beta = 103.86^\circ$

Fe $K\alpha$

Mn filter

Intensity: visual estimate

 d_{calc} and indices: IBM 7090

Camera diameter: 114.59 mm

d_{obs} (Å)	d_{calc} (Å)	Relative Intensity	Indices	d_{obs} (Å)	d_{calc} (Å)	Relative Intensity	Indices
7.2	6.996	20	011	2.44	2.432	40	015
6.4	6.441	50	200	2.38	2.389	60	$\bar{3}24$ $\bar{5}13$
6.2	6.332	40	$\bar{1}02$	2.20	2.205	70	$\bar{4}24$ $\bar{6}02$
5.1	5.187	30	$\bar{2}02$		2.200		415
4.2	4.190	40	020		2.198		520
4.1	4.063	30	202	2.11	2.118	70	006 $\bar{3}06$
4.0	3.984	20	120		2.110		233
3.9	3.896	20	$\bar{3}11$	2.09	2.095	30	040
3.8	3.780	5	013	2.06	2.067	40	140 $\bar{6}13$
3.6	3.615	10	$\bar{2}13$	1.94	1.944	40	142 $\bar{2}42$
3.5	3.462	10	311		1.942		315
3.4	3.434	40	113		1.934		126
3.2	3.198	70	$\bar{4}02$ $\bar{3}13$	1.90	1.910	40	620 $\bar{1}35$
	3.196				1.909		602
3.1	3.166	80	$\bar{2}04$		1.900		$\bar{2}35$
3.0	2.999	90	320	1.84	1.846	30	$\bar{3}35$ $\bar{7}11$
	2.993		213		1.841		700
2.94	2.926	100	104	1.81	1.817	30	$\bar{6}24$ $\bar{1}17$
2.92	2.917	50	222		1.816		126
2.88	2.909	70	$\bar{3}04$ $\bar{3}22$		1.815		135 $\bar{7}13$
	2.906				1.814		$\bar{4}26$
2.71	2.728	5	031	1.797	1.801	30	504 $\bar{3}17$
2.68	2.697	5	$\bar{1}31$		1.794		704
2.53	2.542	70	$\bar{4}22$ 024	1.640	1.645	30	$\bar{7}24$ 151
	2.531		$\bar{5}11$		1.641		442
	2.528		224		1.638		208
	2.526				1.636		
2.49	2.496	5	$\bar{1}15$				

Table I. The density used in these calculations was that of Penfield and Foote (1897). The space groups Cc and $C2/c$ have equipoints of ranks 4 and 8. Both analyses, although yielding different numbers of oxygen atoms per unit cell, satisfy the requirements of the equipoint ranks. However, the data of Penfield and Foote are more complete and conform more closely to the cation requirements of the equipoint ranks than do the data of Blix and are therefore preferred. Blix claims to have analysed for the alkali metals but fails to report their percentages. This omission accounts for much of the non-conformity of his analysis.

In an effort to determine the structural role of the hydrogen atoms, a sample of roeblingite was analysed for loss of volatiles in a Bendix Time of Flight mass spectrometer. In this instrument, samples are heated at a uniform rate (6°C./min.) while being subjected to an ultra-high vacuum ($P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ mm Hg). Volatile reaction products are ionized, separated into groups of ions of the same mass by a fixed potential, and displayed by the instrument in the form of a "spectrum" of atomic masses. Thus, the operator is provided with the temperature of the reaction, the characteristics of the reaction, and the composition of the volatilized products.

The loss of water vapor from roeblingite began at 40°C. and continued to 300°C. , in what appeared to be one continuous reaction. This indicates that all of the hydrogen ions may be of the same type. However, the temperature at which roeblingite begins to lose water is characteristic of the loss of water from both minerals with water of hydration and minerals with loosely bound hydroxyl ions. Consequently, only a tentative formula, $(\text{Pb}_4\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{16})\text{R}_{16}\text{Si}_{12}\text{O}_{44}\text{H}_{20}$, where $\text{R} = \text{Ca, Mn, Sr, Na, and K}$, based on the analysis of Penfield and Foote (1897), can be assigned to roeblingite. The determination of the role of the hydrogen ions must await structure analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer is indebted to Dr. Donald R. Peacor for his helpful advice during the course of this study and for partial support under National Science Foundation Grant (NSF-GP-1790). The writer also wishes to thank Dr. Joseph Mandarino of the Royal Ontario Museum for furnishing a sample of Franklin roeblingite and Dr. Frans Wickman and O. Gabrielson of the Swedish Museum of Natural History for their verification of the Langban sample (University of Michigan Museum) as being roeblingite and for their generous information concerning this mineral. Lastly, the writer wishes to thank the Bendix Systems Division of Ann Arbor, Michigan, for the use of their Time of Flight mass spectrometer.

REFERENCES

- Blix, Ragnar (1931) The chemical composition of roeblingite. *Am. Mineral.* **16**, 455-460.
- Doelter, C. (1914) *Handbuch Der Mineralchemie*, Band II. Verlag Von Theodor Steinkopff, Dresden und Leipzig, 805-806.
- Hintze, Carl (1938) *Handbuch Der Mineralogie, Ergänzungband I, Neue Mineralien*. Walter De Gruyter and Co., Berlin und Leipzig, 569-570.
- (1960) *Handbuch Der Mineralogie, Ergänzungband II, Neue Mineralnamen*. Walter De Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 469.
- Larsen, Esper (1921) The microscopic determination of non-opaque minerals. *U.S. Geol. Survey Bull.* **679**, 127.
- Palache, Charles (1928) The phosphorescence and fluorescence of Franklin Minerals. *Am. Mineral.* **13**, 330-333.
- Penfield, S. L. and H. W. Foote (1897) Ueber Rößlingit, ein neues, schweflige Saure und Blei enthaltendes Silicat von Franklin Furnace, N.J. *Zeit. Krist.* **28**, 578-580.
- Strunz, Hugo (1957) *Mineralogische Tabellen*. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Geest & Portig K. G., Leipzig, 272.
- Winchell, N. H. and A. N. Winchell (1927) *Elements of Optical Mineralogy, Part II, Descriptions of Minerals*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 406.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 51, MARCH-APRIL, 1966

HOLLOW CHRYSOTILE FIBERS

R. A. CLIFTON, JR., C. W. HUGGINS AND H. R. SHELL,
*Norris Metallurgy Research Laboratory, Bureau of Mines,
 U. S. Department of the Interior, Norris, Tenn.*

INTRODUCTION

The "hollow tube" theory for chrysotile was established when Turkevich and Hiller (1949) published electron micrographs indicating that single fibers were hollow tubes. This theory was further substantiated by the work of Noll *et al.* (1958), Huggins (1962), Zussman and Brindley (1957), Bates *et al.* (1950), Maser *et al.* (1960), and others. Conversely, Pundsack (1956, 1961), and Kalousek and Muttart (1957), concluded that the density of chrysotile blocks was incompatible with either tubular or solid cylinders. More recently, Whittaker (1963) and Bates (1958) have hypothesized that chrysotile fibers are filled with amorphous or partially oriented material and that this accounts for the lack of voids in the fibers. The recent publication by Huggins and Shell (1965) on density of bulk chrysotile and massive serpentine shows that the density of Arizona and African chrysotile is compatible with tubular structure, and that Canadian chrysotile, which has a higher density, has at least 50 per cent unfilled tubes.