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Abstract

Measurements have been made of the fundamental band of the O-H stretching frequency in three crocidolites of the alkali amphibole series. Several sharp peaks and prominent inflections are observed in the spectra, which correspond to hydroxyl groups linked to Fe2+, Mg2+ and Fe3+ ions in all possible distributions in the two M(1) and one M(3) positions of the amphibole structure. Peak intensities have been used to estimate site populations in each crocidolite. Fe3+ ions are enriched in M(2) positions, but a small proportion also occurs in M(1) and M(3) positions with relative enrichment in M(1) positions. The majority of Fe2+ ions occupy M(1) and M(3) positions with relative enrichment in M(1) positions. The results indicate that local charge balance controls the distribution of Fe3+ ions in the crocidolite structure.

Introduction

This paper describes the results of estimations of the magnesium, ferrous and ferric ion distributions in crocidolite from measurements of the hydroxyl stretching frequency in the infrared spectrum. It is an extension of earlier work in which the infrared technique was used to estimate site populations in simple Mg2+-Fe3+ amphiboles of the cummingtonite, actinolite and anthophyllite series (Burns and Strens, 1966; Bancroft, Burns, Maddock and Strens, 1966; Bancroft, Burns and Maddock, 1967).

Crocidolite is the asbestiform variety of alkali amphiboles of the magnesioriebeckite—riebeckite series, Na2(Mg,Fe2+)3Fe3+2Si8O22(OH)2. The mineral occurs as light or dark blue fibers in low-grade, metamorphosed and metasomatized iron formations (Deer, Howie and Zussman, 1963, vol. 2, p. 348). The deposits in South Africa and Western Australia are important commercially in the manufacture of blue asbestos. The recent Conference on the Physics and Chemistry of Asbestos Minerals at Oxford, England, has shown that considerable interest centers on the physical properties and chemical reactions of crocidolite. These properties reflect in large measure cation distributions in the crystal structure.

The crystal structure of Bolivian crocidolite was determined by Whittaker (1949), and consists of double chains of Si4O11 groups linked by bands of cations which extend along the c axis. The eight-coordinate M(4) positions are occupied by alkali metal ions, and Mg2+, Fe2+ and Fe3+ ions are distributed over the six-coordinate M(1), M(2) and M(3) posi-

tions. Since it was difficult to differentiate between the two oxidation states of iron by diffraction techniques, additional criteria involving ion size, charge and electronegativity were invoked to deduce the distributions of Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) ions in the structure (Whittaker, 1949, 1960; Ghose, 1965). It is generally believed that Fe\(^{3+}\) ions occupy the two \(M(2)\) positions and that Fe\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions are distributed randomly over the \(M(1)\) and one \(M(3)\) positions.

In view of the current interest in crocidolites (Hodgson, 1965 a, b; Patterson and O’Connor, 1966; Freeman, 1966) and the influence of site occupancies on physical properties and chemical reactions, it is important to have an independent and direct measure of Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) ion distributions in the crocidolite structure. Since the infrared technique measures the contents of the amphibole \(M(1)\) and \(M(3)\) positions (Burns and Strens, 1966), it was anticipated that the hydroxyl stretching frequencies would reflect the occupancies of the \(M(1)\) and \(M(3)\) positions in the crocidolite structure.

**Specimens and Experimental Method**

The three specimens used in the present study were from Cochabamba Bolivia, Wittenoom Mine Western Australia and Laytonville California. The Bolivian crocidolite,

\[
\text{Na}_{1.38}\text{K}_{0.13}\text{Ca}_{0.17}\text{Mg}_{2.06}\text{Fe}_{0.48}\text{Fe}_{1.66}\text{Al}_{0.11}\text{Si}_{7.34}\text{O}_{22}(\text{OH})_{2},
\]
(Whittaker, 1949), is from metasomatized dolomite (Ahlfeld, 1943) and is part of the same specimen used previously in the crystal structure determination (Whittaker, 1949). The Western Australian crocidolite,

\[
\text{Na}_{1.75}\text{K}_{0.01}\text{Ca}_{0.17}\text{Mg}_{1.04}\text{Fe}_{2.18}\text{Fe}_{2.10}\text{Al}_{0.05}\text{Si}_{7.94}\text{O}_{22}(\text{OH})_{2}
\]
(Hodgson, 1965 b) is from the Brockman Iron Formation (Trendall, 1966). The Californian crocidolite,

\[
\text{Na}_{1.85}\text{Ca}_{0.14}\text{Mg}_{0.30}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{Mn}_{0.04}\text{Fe}_{1.20}\text{Al}_{0.02}\text{Si}_{7.95}\text{O}_{22}(\text{OH})_{2}
\]
(Agrell, pers. comm.) occurs in metamorphosed shales, siliceous ironstones and impure limestones of the Franciscan Formation (Agrell, Bown and McKie, 1965).

The infrared spectra were measured in the region 3800–3500 cm\(^{-1}\) with a Perkin-Elmer model 221 recording spectrophotometer on powdered amphibole specimens in pressed potassium bromide discs. The spectrophotometer was calibrated by the water vapor spectrum and the wavelengths of the observed peaks are considered to be accurate to within ±1 cm\(^{-1}\).
The infrared spectra of the three crocidolites measured are shown in Figure 1. Over the Mg\(^{2+}\)-Fe\(^{2+}\) range studied four prominent peaks A, B, C and D were observed at 3669, 3655, 3639 and 3619 cm\(^{-1}\). These correspond to the distributions (MgMgMg), (MgMgFe\(^{3+}\)), (MgFe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{2+}\)) and (Fe\(^{3+}\)Fe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{2+}\)), respectively, in the \(M(1), M(2), M(3)\) positions (Burns and Strens, 1966). The additional peaks or inflections E, F, G, H, I, and J with maxima at about 3648, 3632, 3628, 3614, 3609 and 3604 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, may be attributed to hydroxyl groups adjacent to Fe\(^{3+}\) ions, which have a higher polarizing power than Fe\(^{2+}\) ions, in the \(M(1)\) and \(M(3)\) positions. The peaks represent the distributions (MgMgFe\(^{3+}\)), (MgFe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)), (MgFe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)), (Fe\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)) and (Fe\(^{3+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)), respectively (Burns and Prentice, to be published). The inflection at about 3675 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectra of the Bolivian and Western Australian crocidolites represent a component of the (MgMgMg) peak offset by Ca\(^{2+}\) ions in the \(M(4)\) positions. A comparable displacement effect is observed between the spectra of cummingtonites and actinolites (Burns and Strens, 1966).
The infrared spectra of the crocidolites have been resolved into component Gaussian curves (Fig. 1), and site populations estimated from the peak intensities. The amounts of Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the $M(1) + M(3)$ positions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Mg^{2+} &= 3A_0 + 2(B_0 + E_0) + (C_0 + F_0 + G_0) \\
Fe^{2+} &= 3D_0 + 2(C_0 + H_0) + (B_0 + F_0 + I_0) \\
Fe^{3+} &= 3J_0 + 2(G_0 + I_0) + (E_0 + F_0 + H_0)
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_0, B_0, \ldots, J_0$ are the normalized absorbances of peaks $A, B, \ldots, J$, respectively. The average site populations of the $M(1) + M(3)$ positions, obtained from several spectral measurements for each crocidolite, are summarized in Table 1. The values for the $M(1) + M(3)$ positions are considered to have an accuracy between $\pm 5$ and $\pm 10$ percent of the amount quoted. Site populations for the $M(2)$ positions are obtained by subtraction of the $M(1) + M(3)$ data from the chemical formulae. Values for the $M(2)$ positions are adjusted where necessary to total 2.00 ions per formula unit by assuming that excess Mg$^{2+}$ ions occupy vacancies in the $M(4)$ positions (Whittaker, 1949). Since errors are additive in subtraction procedures, the statistical deviations for the $M(2)$ data are high for the Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions due to their low concentrations in the $M(2)$ positions.

The degree of randomness of Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the $M(1)$ and $M(3)$ positions may be estimated qualitatively from the criteria for cation ordering and clustering (Strens, 1966). Conditions applicable to distributions in crocidolites of the magnesioriebeckite-riebeckite series are given in column 3 of Table 2, and the relative absorbances for each

### Table 1. Site Populations of Crocidolites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Site populations (ions per formula unit).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M(1) + M(3)$ positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochabamba, Bolivia</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 0.24$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittenoom Gorge, Western Australia</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laytonville, California</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of Observed Relative Intensities of the Hydroxyl Stretching Frequencies of Crocidolites with Intensities Calculated for Random Distribution of Ions in the M(1) and M(3) Positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Coordinating group to OH ((M_1M_2M_3))</th>
<th>Condition for random distribution*</th>
<th>Relative absorbances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3669 (A)</td>
<td>((\text{MgMgMg}))</td>
<td>([\text{Mg}]^3)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3655 (B)</td>
<td>((\text{MgMgFe}^{2+}), (\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Mg}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgMg}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Mg}]^3[\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3648 (E)</td>
<td>((\text{MgMgFe}^{2+}), (\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Mg}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgMg}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Mg}]^3[\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3639 (C)</td>
<td>((\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgFe}^{2+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Mg}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Mg}]^3[\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3632 (F)</td>
<td>((\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgFe}^{2+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Mg}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgFe}^{2+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Mg}))</td>
<td>(6[\text{Mg}]^3[\text{Fe}^{2+}])</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3628 (G)</td>
<td>((\text{MgFe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{MgFe}^{2+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Mg}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Mg}]^3[\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3619 (D)</td>
<td>((\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}))</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{2+}]^3)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3614 (H)</td>
<td>((\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Fe}^{2+}]^3[\text{Fe}^{2+}])</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3609 (I)</td>
<td>((\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}), (\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}))</td>
<td>(3[\text{Fe}^{2+}]^3[\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3604 (J)</td>
<td>((\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}))</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}]^3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From Table 1, ions per M(1) + M(3) position are as follows: Bolivian crocidolite, [Mg] = 0.82; [Fe\(^{3+}\)] = 0.14; [Fe\(^{2+}\)] = 0.04.
Western Australian crocidolite, [Mg] = 0.28; [Fe\(^{3+}\)] = 0.67; [Fe\(^{2+}\)] = 0.05.
California crocidolite, [Mg] = 0.09; [Fe\(^{3+}\)] = 0.84; [Fe\(^{2+}\)] = 0.07.
crocidolite calculated for random distribution \((A_e, B_e, \ldots, J_e)\) are summarized in columns 4, 6 and 8. These may be compared with the observed relative absorbances \((A_0, B_0, \ldots, J_0)\) in columns 5, 7 and 9. For the Bolivian crocidolite \(A_0 > A_e\) and \(D_0 > D_e\) for the Californian crocidolite, indicating clustering of \((\text{Mg Mg Mg})\) and \((\text{Fe}^{2+} \text{Fe}^{2+} \text{Fe}^{2+})\), respectively, in the two specimens. In addition, since each of the ratios \((B_0/B_e)/(C_0/C_e), (E_0/E_e)/(G_0/G_e)\) and \((H_0/H_e)/(I_0/I_e)\) is smaller than unity, \(M(1)\) positions are favored in preference to \(M(3)\) positions in the order \(\text{Fe}^{3+} > \text{Fe}^{2+} > \text{Mg}^{2+}\). A similar order is shown in the crocidolite from Western Australia.

**Discussion**

The results of the infrared measurements of crocidolites show that \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) ions are enriched in \(M(2)\) positions of the crocidolite structure. However, a small proportion of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) ions occurs in the \(M(1)\) and \(M(3)\) positions with preference for the \(M(1)\) positions. The majority of \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) ions occur in \(M(1)\) and \(M(3)\) positions with relative enrichment in the \(M(1)\) positions. The relative enrichments of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) ions in the \(M(2)\) and \(M(1)\) positions, which neighbor the \(M(4)\) positions (internuclear distances: \(M(4) - M(2) = 3.18\) Å; \(M(4) - M(1) = 3.30\) Å; \(M(4) - M(3) = 5.64\) Å (Whittaker, 1949)), appears to support the hypothesis that local charge balance controls the distribution of \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) ions in the alkali amphibole structure. This distribution is strongly influenced by temperature, however, and infrared measurements of magnesioriebeckites and riebeckites of pegmatitic origins (Burns and Prentice, in preparation) show that \(\text{Fe}^{3+}, \text{Fe}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) ions are more randomly distributed than in crocidolites that formed at lower temperatures.
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