to have lengthened because the ratio of $\text{Mg}^{2+}:\text{Al}^{3+}$ in the starting materials was greater than the ratio of 7:3. Brucite was present in Run V-9 and boehmite was present in Run V-10; from this it seems that the range of the solid solution of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\text{Al}^{3+}$ in the hydroxide layer is limited. Valleriite was not produced at the temperature of 700°C in any of the runs, and valleriite was also not produced at the temperature of 650°C in Run V-3. From this fact, the upper stable temperature of this mineral appears to be between 600°C and 650°C.
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**A “VALLERIITE-TYPE” MINERAL FROM NORIL’SK, WESTERN SIBERIA**

D. C. Harris, L. J. Cabri, J. M. Stewart,
Mineral Sciences Division, Mines Branch, Ottawa.

**Abstract**

Electron microprobe analysis of a “valleriite-type” mineral from Noril’sk, western Siberia, yields a formula $(\text{Cu}_{0.06}\text{Fe}_{1.06}\text{S}_{2}) \cdot 1.472[\text{Fe(OH)}_2]$, which is the most iron-rich variant of this mineral reported to date.

**Introduction**

The structure of valleriite from Loolekop, South Africa, determined very recently by Evans and Allmann (1968), has been interpreted as layers of copper-iron sulphide alternating with layers of Mg-Al hydroxide. The formula for the Loolekop material is

$$(\text{Fe}_{1.07}\text{Cu}_{0.85}\text{S}_{2}) \cdot 1.526[\text{Mg}_{0.68}\text{Al}_{0.32}(\text{OH})_2].$$

Springer (1968) re-examined valleriites from two localities: Kaveltorp, Sweden and Palabora, South Africa with the electron microprobe an-
alyzer. This work showed that the Palabora material is the ordinary Mg-
and Al-containing valleriite, whereas the Kaveltorp samples contain
more iron than can be accounted for by the sulphide sublattice. This
suggests that there must be some iron in the hydroxide lattice replacing
aluminum or magnesium. The formula derived for the Kaveltorp miner-
al is

$$(\text{Cu}_{0.81}\text{Fe}_{1.19}\text{S}_2) \cdot 1.56[\text{Mg}_{0.83}\text{Fe}_{0.17}(\text{OH})_2].$$

In addition to the ordinary valleriite at Palabora, there is also another
variety of this mineral, which occurs in the magnetite-apatite-olivine
rock of that district. This valleriite contains more iron and less aluminum
than the ordinary valleriite, and gives a formula

$$(\text{Cu}_{1.04}\text{Fe}_{0.96}\text{S}_2) \cdot 1.67[\text{Mg}_{0.75}\text{Al}_{0.09}\text{Fe}_{0.16}(\text{OH})_2].$$

Valleriite from Noril’sk, western Siberia, has been reported inde-
pendently by Genkin and Vyal’sov (1967), Cabri and Traill (1966),
and Cabri (1967). Genkin and Vyal’sov reported on valleriite and mack-
inawite, and gave X-ray powder data and optical and heating properties
for valleriite, including X-ray powder data for the Noril’sk “valleriite.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$hkl$</th>
<th>$d$(calc)Å</th>
<th>$I$(est)</th>
<th>$d$(meas)Å</th>
<th>$I$(est)</th>
<th>$d$(meas)Å</th>
<th>$I$(est)</th>
<th>$d$(meas)Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.3</td>
<td>11.382</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.6</td>
<td>5.691</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.794</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.788</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>3.257</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.259</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>3.214</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.146</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.055</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.951</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.12</td>
<td>2.846</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2.717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>2.363</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.359</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.15</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.269</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>2.252</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.242</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>2.048</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>1.956</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.958</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.902</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.18</td>
<td>1.897</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.897</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.864</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>1.864</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.869</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>1.793</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>1.712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.21</td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.577</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>1.551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*114.6 mm dia. Debye-Scherrer Camera. Fe-filtered Co Radiation Space group $R3m$ (Evans and Allmann, 1968).

$\dagger$ Extra reflections.

$\ddagger$ Talnakhite reflections.

(2) Vein formation in “cubic chalcopyrite”.

(3) Fine-grained lamellar crystals of valleriite from a cavity in “cubic chalcopyrite”.

Cabri’s identification was based on an X-ray powder pattern using a 57.3-mm-diameter Debye-Scherrer camera, and the similarity of its optical properties to those of other valleriites. He was not certain of the identity of similar finer grained material which occurs as replacements.
within cubanite lamellae and reported this also as valleriite or mackinawite; hence, a restudy of this material was undertaken.

For a description of the copper-nickel ores of the Talnakh deposit, Noril'sk, the reader is referred to a recent paper by Genkin et al. (1969).

**THE “VALLERIITE-TYPE” MINERAL**

Electron microprobe analyses were made of the Noril'sk “valleriite” in a vuggy sample kindly supplied by the Leningrad Mining Museum and labelled as “cubic chalcopyrite.” Analyses of inclusions in cubanite (Figure 1) and of larger areas of “valleriite” that had previously been X rayed, gave an average composition for several grains of Fe 43.8 ± 0.5 percent, Cu 20.0 ± 0.5 percent, S 20.3 ± 0.5 percent, total 84.1 ± 4 percent. No other elements down to, and including, sodium could be detected; magnesium and aluminum, in particular, were looked for, but not detected.

The X-ray powder diffraction data (Table 1) of the Noril'sk material, which contained a minor amount of talnakhite (name used for the new copper-iron sulfide described by Cabri, 1967), can be indexed as valleriite, using the structure of Evans and Allmann (1968), except for a few reflections. Some of these extraneous reflections were also reported by Genkin and Vyalysov for the Noril'sk “valleriite.” Since impurities other than talnakhite were not detected with the microprobe, the extra reflections must be attributed to the structure of the mineral.

Although the optical properties of the Noril'sk mineral are somewhat similar to other valleriites, the differences are distinct enough to be a guide to its identification. Chamberlain and Delabio (1965), reported the optical properties of valleriite as dull bronze, similar to graphite in color, with extreme bireflectance from creamy bronze to purple at high magnification. Anisotropism was extreme, with colors varying from white to bronze. The Noril’sk material, however, is bluish gray, with a distinct bluish color in contrast to magnetite. Bireflectance is moderate, varying from a light grey to a dark bluish gray, in comparison to the bronze color of valleriite. Anistropism is distinct, but less intense than that of valleriite, with colors varying from light yellow to reddish purple.

**DISCUSSION**

The electron microprobe analysis of the so called “valleriite” in the Noril’sk sample yields a formula

\[(\text{Cu}_{0.995}\text{Fe}_{1.005}\text{S}_2) \cdot 1.472[\text{Fe(OH)}_2].\]

From the formula, the calculated OH-concentration is 15.8 percent
which, combined with the microprobe analysis, gives a total of 99.9 percent. The X-ray powder pattern, indexed on Evans and Allmann's hexagonal cell yields cell dimensions $a$ 3.778 and $c$ 34.147 Å which are in close agreement with that of normal valleriite of $a$ 3.792 and $c$ 34.10 Å. The Noril'sk mineral appears to represent the end member of a series of “valleriite-type” minerals with the Mg-Al positions in the hydroxide layer occupied by Fe.
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