ERRATA

I. Jahnsite, Segelerite, and Robertsite, Three New Transition Metal Phosphate Species.
II. Redefinition of Overite, An Isotype of Segelerite.
III. Isotypy of Robertsite, Mitridatite, and Arseniosiderite

PAUL BRIAN MOORE

Department of the Geophysical Sciences,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois 60037

The American Mineralogist, 59, 48-59, inadvertently omitted the following table from the original publication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Analyses</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As2O5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>43.56</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>43.56</td>
<td>42.67</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Jahnsite (OH3)(Ca2Mn2O4)(H2O)4, proposed formula for robertsite.
2. Ca2.5Fe3.5P2O(0.65)3(H2O)4, proposed formula for mitridatite.
3. Ca2Fe3P2O(0.7)5(H2O)4, proposed formula for arsensiosiderite.
4. Robertsite. J. Ito, Analyst. Rem. = Fe2O 0.08, MgO 0.13, MnO 0.30, Li2O 0.03, Al2O3 0.7, H2O 0.45.
5. Mitridatite. J. Ito, Analyst. Rem. = Na2O 0.1, MgO 0.01, MnO 0.24, Insol. 0.1 (quartz).
6. Arseniosiderite. Rem. = Fe2O 0.7, MgO 0.19, Insol. 0.40, Magadiite. Rem. = Fe2O 0.7, MgO 0.19, Insol. 0.40.
7. Murataite, a New Complex Oxide from El Paso County, Colorado

JOHN W. ADAMS, THEODORE BOTINELLY, W. N. SHARP, AND KEITH ROBINSON

U. S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado 80225

The American Mineralogist, 59, 172-176. The indices for d (obs) 2.622 should read 440 instead of 400.
Photograph Identification Contest

American Mineralogist, 59, 380 and 447-453.

Photograph No. 1:

We apologize for misidentifying the photographer and the minerals involved.

The photograph was published by D. June Sutor and Susan E. Wooley, Department of Chemistry, University College, Gower Street, London, W. C. I., in Science 159, 1113-1114. It was described by them as “a gallstone of almost perfect octahedral symmetry . . . composed of a mixture of crystallites of the three polymorphous forms of calcium carbonate: calcite, aragonite, and vaterite.”

Mr. Richard I. Gibson, who submitted the photograph, writes “. . . the photo I submitted is in fact identical to that in the Sutor and Wooley article. This misrepresentation was completely unintentional, but is due entirely to failings on my part.

“The photograph was among the papers of the late Carl W. Beck, of Indiana University, and was accompanied by a label identifying the minerals as I submitted them to you. I made the obviously wrong assumption that the photo was by Dr. Beck, himself an expert on human mineralogy. In addition, my own experience with such minerals indicated that the label was, indeed, correct. A further mistake of mine was in not identifying Dr. Beck as the photographer.

“I regret this confusion very much, but it is all my fault. . . . My apologies to Sutor and Wooley, and to the members of MSA.”

Photographs 4–8:

The correct word, micrograph or photomicrograph, as supplied by photographers Buseck and Iijima, should displace “microphotograph.”