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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of sillimanite and andalusite have been refined from intensity data collected at $25,400,600,800$, and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . R$ factors following refinement were $0.033 \pm .002$ and $0.029 \pm .002$ for the sillimanite and andalusite data sets respectively. For kyanite 2140, 1773 , and 1741 reflections were measured at 25,400 , and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the final $R$ factors were $0.033,0.031$, and 0.036 respectively. Unit-cell dimensions of all three polymorphs vary linearly with temperature. Although the unit-cell dimensions determined at room temperature agree within error limits with those of Skinner et al. (1961), significant deviations occur between the two data sets at elevated temperatures. All the Al octahedra exhibit considerable expansions with increasing temperature. In contrast, Al- or Si-tetrahedra in all three polymorphs remain relatively constant in size and shape as temperature is increased. Within the five-coordinated $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ polyhedron in andalusite the four short bonds remain relatively unchanged, whereas the longest bond, $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$, expands considerably. The orientation of the long $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bonds in sillimanite and andalusite, which are more expandable than the other octahedral Al-O bonds, determines the direction of maximum unit-cell expansion. The chains of fully stretched tetrahedra (and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ trigonal bipyramids in andalusite) restrict expansion in the $c$ cell direction for these two minerals. The greater number of shared octahedral edges in kyanite, as well as the lack of continuous tetrahedral chains, results in more evenly distributed coefficients of unit-cell expansion. Polymorphic transitions involve major reconstructive transformations. In addition, the andalusite-sillimanite transition requires diffusive interchange of half the Si and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms. Consequently, although metastable coexistence of two or three polymorphs is commonly observed, coherent replacement textures are rare. The present volume-temperature data agree well with the experimentally-derived thermodynamic properties of the aluminum silicate minerals.


## Introduction

Andalusite, kyanite, and sillimanite, the three $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$ polymorphs that commonly occur in metamorphosed pelitic sediments, have been the subject of a great deal of study in the fields of metamorphic and experimental petrology. Due to the small changes in thermodynamic properties associated with the polymorphic phase transitions, metastable coexistence of these phases is common, and the mode of occurrence and relative fields of stability of the polymorphs are not completely clear.
The crystal structures of sillimanite and andalusite were first determined by Taylor (1928, 1929), using estimated intensities from rotation photographs. The
kyanite structure was deduced by inference from the staurolite structure by Naray-Szabo et al. (1929). From diffractometer-counter data, the structures of andalusite, sillimanite, and kyanite were refined by Burnham and Buerger (1961) and Burnham (1963a, b) respectively. Refinements of sillimanite and andalusite structures based on neutron diffraction data have been performed by Finger and Prince (1972). Thermal expansions of the three polymorphs were determined by Skinner et al. (1961), using the pow-der-diffraction technique with a heating stage.

We wish to relate the details of the crystal structures of the three polymorphs determined at elevated temperatures to the thermal expansion data, and thereby provide a basis for understanding the behav-
ior of these polymorphs at high temperatures. All three polymorphs have one Al atom in six-coordination and one Si atom in four-coordination. The major difference in their crystal structures is due to the coordination of the remaining Al atom, which is four in sillimanite, five in andalusite, and six in kyanite. The thermal expansions, distortions, and orientations of the various Si and Al coordination polyhedra can be related to the changes in unit-cell dimensions as temperature increases. These relationships are expected to provide a better understanding of the causes and mechanisms of the polymorphic transformations.

## Experimental

## Collection of intensity data

The andalusite used was a large, clear, light pink crystal from Minas Gerais, Brazil (S. Ghose collection). Several fragments were ground to spheres by the method of Bond (1951). A sphere of $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter was selected for analysis and the quality of crystallinity checked by transmission Laue photographs. The crystal sphere was cemented to a silica-glass fiber and sealed in an evacuated silica-glass capillary. Sillimanite from Brandywine Springs, Delaware (University of Chicago \#2261) was light brown and contained small, rod-like lamellae of quartz ( $<5$ percent by volume). A relatively quartz-free cube of suitable crystallinity and about $280 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter was selected and mounted in a silica-glass capillary. Kyanite proved to be considerably more difficult to work with. Several samples were crushed and carefully searched for fragments suitable for single-crystal Xray analysis. However, severely bent crystals were common, presumably the result of crushing. Finally, careful crushing of a sample from Burnsville, North Carolina (Smithsonian Institution, NMNH \#121285) produced small fragments displaying fewer deformational effects. A nearly cubic fragment just under $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along an edge was found which produced sharp Laue diffraction spots. This crystal was mounted directly in an evacuated silica-glass capillary.

Details of the single-crystal microfurnace and the procedures used for X-ray intensity data collection at high temperatures are given by Winter et al. (1977). The refinement of unit-cell dimensions of all three polymorphs was based on 15 reflections evenly distributed in a quadrant of reciprocal space between 18 and $50^{\circ} 2 \theta(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)$. For the intensity data collection, a variable $2 \theta$ scan rate of $2^{\circ} /$ minute minimum was
used along with graphite-monochromatized MoKa radiation. Intensity data of reflections between 2 and $65^{\circ} 2 \theta$ were collected for andalusite and sillimanite. 2140 reflections were measured for the kyanite sample within this range at room temperature; higher-temperature data collections were limited to $2 \theta=60^{\circ}$ to prolong furnace life. Intensity data for andalusite and sillimanite were collected at 25,400 , 600,800 , and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Kyanite data were collected at 25,400 , and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ run, the microfurnace failed, having served for several months at temperatures up to $1080^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Refinement of the crystal structures

Corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects (but not for absorption and extinction) were applied, and the intensity data were converted to structure factors. Full-matrix least-squares refinements using the initial atomic coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors of Burnham and Buerger (1961) and Burnham (1963a,b) for andalusite (space group Pnnm), sillimanite (space group Pbnm), and kyanite (space group $P \overline{1}$ ) respectively, were performed using the program Rfine (Finger, 1969). Atomic scattering factors for $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Si}$, and O atoms were taken from Cromer and Mann (1968), and anomalous dispersion corrections were made according to Cromer and Liberman (1970). The observed structure factors ( $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ 's) were weighted by $1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)$, where $\sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ is the standard deviation of $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ as measured by counting statistics. Three cycles of scale factor refinement followed by three cycles of anisotropic temperature factor refinement varying atomic positions only were made prior to full refinement. Convergence was attained using four or fewer cycles of full anisotropic temperature factor refinement. The glass wall of the microfurnace produces a halo of diffuse-scattered X-rays which may have interfered with the intensity measurements of a few reflections. Due to possible errors resulting from this effect or from secondary extinction, all reflections with $\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|>5.0$ were rejected in the final refinement cycle. The number of reflections so affected ranged in number from 1 to 9 and resulted in negligible shifts in atomic parameters. Low-intensity reflections, $F_{\mathrm{o}}<3 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$, were not included in the refinement. Final $R$ factors for all reflections and unrejected reflections are listed in Table 1.

The results of the refinements of the structures of all three polymorphs at the various temperatures are given as follows: cell dimensions in Table 2, strain components of thermal expansion in Table 3, atomic positions and anisotropic temperature factors in

Table 1. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: number of reflections measured and $R$ factors

| Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Al1 Ref1. |  | Unrej. |  | Refl. | Low I $\left[F_{0}{ }^{-F_{c}}\right]>5$ rej. rej. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | R | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | R |  |  |  |
| Sillimanite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 659 | 120 | 2 |
| 400 | 0.044 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 660 | 137 | 2 |
| 600 | 0.039 | 0.045 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 661 | 150 | 1 |
| 800 | 0.037 | 0.045 | 0.031 | 0.032 | 662 | 163 | 1 |
| 1000 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.037 | 0.035 | 663 | 174 | 2 |
| Andalusite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.063 | 0.035 | 0.031 | 0.028 | 682 | 62 | 5 |
| 400 | 0.055 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.027 | 685 | 77 | 4 |
| 600 | 0.061 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 686 | 70 | 6 |
| 800 | 0.078 | 0.039 | 0.031 | 0.029 | 688 | 87 | 4 |
| 1000 | 0.060 | 0.041 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 690 | 88 | 4 |
| Kyanite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.044 | 0.040 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 2140 | 248 | 7 |
| 400 | 0.044 | 0.037 | 0.034 | 0.031 | 1733 | 192 | 9 |
| 600 | 0.051 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.036 | 1741 | 214 | 8 |

Table 4, axes of atomic thermal ellipsoids and isotropic equivalent temperature factors in Table $5^{1}$, $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ interatomic distances and angles in Tables 6 and $7^{1}$ respectively. Room-temperature refinements both preceding and following high-temper-

[^0]Table 3. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: principal strain components of thermal expansion (with standard deviations in parentheses)

| Variable Range | $\begin{gathered} \text { Principal Strain } \\ \text { Components } \\ \text { per } 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ \left(\times 10^{-5}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Orientation of Principal Axes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Angle <br> $+\alpha$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ect to: } \\ +c \end{gathered}$ |
| Kyanite |  |  |  |  |
| $25 \rightarrow 400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.22 (7) | 108 (5) | 79(6) | 12(4) |
|  | 0.77 (6) | 66(9) | 40 (8) | 96(7) |
|  | 0.48 (5) | 31 (7) | 127 (8) | 80 (4) |
| $25 \rightarrow 600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | C $1.20(4)$ | 112 (5) | 77 (4) | 15(3) |
|  | 0.83 (4) | 47 (7) | 61(7) | 89(6) |
|  | 0.57 (3) | 129(5) | 32 (6) | 105 (3) |
| $25 \rightarrow 800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.10 (3) | $109(5)$ | 76 (3) | 15 (3) |
|  | 0.81 (3) | 40 (6) | 68 (6) | 91 (5) |
|  | 0.60 (2) | 123(5) | 27 (5) | 105 (3) |
| Sillimanite |  |  |  |  |
| $25+800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | C 0.66 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 0.40 | 90 | 90 | 0 |
|  | 0.16 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
| Andalusite |  |  |  |  |
| $25 \rightarrow 800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | C 1.29 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
|  | 0.87 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 0.23 | 90 | 90 | 0 |

ature analysis were conducted and found to match within $\pm 2 \sigma$ for all parameters. Only the initial analysis is included in the tables. The room-temperature atomic positions of andalusite and sillimanite agree

Table 2. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: cell dimensions as a function of temperature (with standard deviations in parentheses)

|  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sillimánite |  |  |  |  |  |
| a ( $\AA$ ) | 7.4883 (7) | 7.4932 (9) | 7.4967 (8) | $7.4998(8)$ | 7.5035 (8) |
| b ( $\AA$ ) | 7.6808 (7) | 7.7035 (9) | 7.7136 (8) | 7.7255 (8) | 7.7387 (8) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 5.7774 (5) | 5.7872 (5) | 5.7921 (6) | 5.7978 (6) | 5.8040 (6) |
| V ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 332.29 (5) | 334.06 (6) | 334.94 (6) | 335.92 (6) | 337.02 (6) |
| $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | 50.049 (7) | 50.315 (9) | 50.448(9) | 50.595 (9) | 50.761 (9) |
| Andalusite |  |  |  |  |  |
| a ( $\AA$ ) | $7.7980(7)$ | 7.8355 (13) | $7.8556(14)$ | $7.8759(14)$ | $7.8976(16)$ |
| b (A) | $7.9031(10)$ | 7.9289 (18) | 7.9424 (18) | $7.9567(18)$ | 7.9735 (20) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 5.5566 (5) | $5.5611(10)$ | 5.5642 (10) | 5.5664 (10) | 5.5695 (11) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 342.45 (6) | 345.50 (11) | 347.17(11) | 348.82 (11) | 350.72 (13) |
| ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | $51.58(1)$ | 52.04 (2) | 52.29 (2) | 52.54 (2) | 52.82 (2) |
| Kyanite |  |  |  |  |  |
| a (A) | 7.1262 (12) | 7.1423 (8) | 7.1582 (9) | 7.1687 (9) |  |
| b (A) | 7.8520 (10) | 7.8724 (10) | 7.8821 (11) | 7.8917 (11) |  |
| c ( $(\mathrm{A})$ | 5.5724 (10) | 5.5968 (6) | 5.6089 (6) | 5.6182 (6) |  |
| $\alpha$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 89.99 (2) | 89.94 (1) | 89.90(1) | 89.89 (1) |  |
| $B\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 101.11(2) | 101.18(1) | 101.21(1) | 101.20(1) |  |
| $Y\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 106.03 (1) | 105.99(1) | 105.98(1) | 105.98 (1) |  |
| $\checkmark\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 293.60 (9) | 296.31(7) | 297.99(7) | $299.29(7)$ |  |
| ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | 44.22 (1) | 44.63(1) | 44.88 (1) | 45.08(1) |  |

Table 4. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: atomic positional coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors as a function of temperature (with standard deviations in parentheses)

with the data of Burnham and Buerger (1961) and Burnham (1963a) as well as those of Finger and Prince (1972) within $\pm 2 \sigma$. The kyanite atomic positions show somewhat poorer agreement with the data of Burnham (1963b), but within $3 \sigma$ nonetheless. The temperature factors in this study, as a rule, are con-
siderably larger than those of Burnham and Buerger (1961) and Burnham (1963a,b), most likely due to the neglect of the absorption correction. The volumes and distortions of the $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ polyhedra are given in Table 8, and the observed and calculated structure factors are compared in Table $10^{1}$.

Table 4. (continued)


## Results

## Unit-cell parameters

Unit-cell parameters of andalusite, sillimanite, and kyanite vary linearly as a function of temperature (Figs. 1-4). Although the room-temperature data of Skinner et al. (1961) based on powder-diffraction
data agree with those of the present study within $3 \sigma$, significant deviations in the high-temperature data are obvious, as shown in Figures 1-4. Since the hightemperature data of Skinner et al. (1961) are based on only 4,4 , and 6 independently-measured $d$ values for andalusite, sillimanite, and kyanite respectively, and no estimated errors are given, it is possible that the discrepancies in cell dimensions at elevated temper-

Table 4. (continued)

atures fall within the range of uncertainties involved in their measurements.

Since kyanite is triclinic, there are no symmetry restraints on the orientation of the principal axes of the thermal expansion ellipsoid. These ellipsoids have been calculated between $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 400,600 , and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, using the STRAIN program (Ohashi and Finger, 1973), and the magnitude and orientation of the principal axes are given in Table 3. Included is a similar calculation for andalusite and sillimanite between 25 and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, so that the relative magnitudes of thermal expansion for the three polymorphs can be directly compared. A stereogram of the orientations of the ellipsoids for kyanite is shown in Figure 5.

## Bond lengths and angles

Octahedral Al-O bond lengths and volumes increase substantially with increasing temperature (Tables 6 and 8). The rate of increase of octahedral bond distances with temperature in a given polyhedron is a function of the distance itself. Shorter bonds have a higher bond strength than longer ones, and therefore increase less with increasing temperature. Distortion of the polyhedral angles and dimensions has been calculated (except for the five-fold $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atom in andalusite) using the program Distort of Hamil (1971, private communication) (Table 8). Al-O octahedra are more highly distorted than $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ tetrahedra and tend to become very slightly more so with increasing temperature. These changes are of a magnitude comparable to the calculated standard deviations in bond length; nevertheless, a trend toward increased distortion is evident. Longitudinal strain
$\left[\left(\Sigma_{1}\left|\left(l_{1} / l_{0}\right)\right|\right)\right.$ where $l_{1}$ is the individual bond length and $l_{0}$ is the "ideal" bond length, based on a perfect polyhedron with bond length $l_{0}$ having the same volume as the real coordination polyhedron] is higher than shear distortion $\left[\left(\Sigma_{1}\left|\tan \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{0}\right)\right|\right)\right.$, where $\theta_{1}$ is the individual $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angle and $\theta_{0}$ is the ideal bond angle, being $90^{\circ}$ for an octahedron and $109.5^{\circ}$ for a tetrahedron] for a given polyhedron. The increased octahedral distortions in sillimanite and andalusite are probably the result of restrictions imposed on the expansion of $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bonds caused by the shared octahedral edge $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$. The longer $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bonds in these two minerals are more free to expand. In contrast, tetrahedral bond lengths, volumes, and distortions remain nearly constant, although most bond lengths and volumes increase slightly. Only two tetrahedral bonds, the short $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bonds in sillimanite, appear to decrease markedly. The $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atom in andalusite is in an unusual five-coordination, which can be considered as a distorted trigonal bipyramid (Figs. 6 and 7). These $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms occur in pairs sharing a common polyhedral edge $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ repulsion results in longer $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bonds and a short $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ distance. The shorter $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bonds are much like tetrahedral bonds and change very little with increasing temperature, while the longer $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bond exhibits greater elasticity (Figs. 6 and 7).

## Discussion

## Bond distances

The thermal response of the tetrahedral bond lengths in the aluminum silicates is different from

Table 6. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths (A) as a function of temperature (with standard deviations in parentheses)

|  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sillimanite |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Al}_{1}-0 & (\mathrm{X} 2) \\ -0^{\mathrm{A}} & (\mathrm{X} 2) \\ -0_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{D}} & (\mathrm{X} 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.913(1) \\ & 1.868(1) \\ & \frac{1.955(1)}{1.912} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.918(2) \\ & 1.870(2) \\ & 1.966(2) \\ & \hline 1.918 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.920(2) \\ & 1.871(2) \\ & 1.970(2) \\ & \hline 1.920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.923(2) \\ & 1.871(2) \\ & \frac{1.975(2)}{1.923} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.922(2) \\ & 1.872(2) \\ & \frac{1.978(2)}{1.924} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \\ &-0_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \\ & \\ &-0_{\mathrm{D}} \end{aligned} \text { (x2) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.751(2) \\ & 1.711(3) \\ & 1.796(2) \\ & \hline 1.763 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.754(3) \\ & 1.706(3) \\ & 1.798(2) \\ & \hline 1.764 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.755(3) \\ & 1.705(4) \\ & 1.798(2) \\ & \hline 1.764 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.759(3) \\ & 1.705(4) \\ & 1.801(2) \\ & \hline 1.767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.762(3) \\ & 1.701(4) \\ & \frac{1.803(2)}{1.768} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Si}-0 \\ -0_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{C}} & \\ -0_{\mathrm{D}} & \quad(\mathrm{X} 2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.641(2) \\ & 1.574(3) \\ & \frac{1.645(2)}{1.627} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.641(3) \\ & 1.574(3) \\ & \frac{1.645(2)}{1.626} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.642(3) \\ & 1.573(4) \\ & \frac{1.647(2)}{1.627} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.642(3) \\ & 1.572(4) \\ & \frac{1.646(2)}{1.627} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.648(3) \\ & 1.574(4) \\ & 1.651(2) \\ & \hline 1.631 \end{aligned}$ |
| Andalusite |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{AI}_{1}-0 & (\mathrm{x} 2) \\ \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{A}} & (\mathrm{(2}) \\ -0_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{D}} & (\mathrm{x} 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.827(3) \\ & 1.891(3) \\ & \frac{2.086(2)}{1.935} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.828(2) \\ & 1.892(2) \\ & \frac{2.112(2)}{1.944} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.828(2) \\ & 1.892(2) \\ & \frac{2.126(2)}{1.949} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.829(1) \\ & 1.893(1) \\ & \frac{2.138(1)}{1.953} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.831(2) \\ & 1.893(2) \\ & \frac{2.154(2)}{1.959} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Al}_{2}-0 \\ -0 \\ -0^{\mathrm{C}} \\ -0 \\ -0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.816(4) \\ & 1.839(4) \\ & 1.899(4) \\ & \frac{1.814(3)}{1.836} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.818(4) \\ & 1.845(4) \\ & 1.907(4) \\ & \frac{1.816(3)}{1.840} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.821(2) \\ & 1.846(2) \\ & 1.909(2) \\ & \frac{1.816(2)}{1.842} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.823(2) \\ & 1.851(2) \\ & 1.913(2) \\ & 1.817(1) \\ & \hline 1.844 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.823(3) \\ & 1.853(3) \\ & 1.922(3) \\ & \frac{1.818(2)}{1.847} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Si}-0_{\mathrm{B}}  \tag{2X}\\ -0_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \\ -\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.645(4) \\ & 1.618(4) \\ & \frac{1.630(2)}{1.631} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.646(4) \\ & 1.616(4) \\ & \frac{1.629(3)}{1.630} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.647(2) \\ & 1.619(2) \\ & 1.630(2) \\ & \hline 1.632 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.646(2) \\ & 1.619(2) \\ & \frac{1.629(1)}{1.631} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.650(2) \\ & 1.618(3) \\ & \frac{1.628(2)}{1.631} \end{aligned}$ |
| Kyanite |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Al}_{1} 1_{-0}^{-0} \\ -0_{\mathrm{F}} \\ -0^{\mathrm{G}} \\ -0^{\mathrm{H}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{M}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.873(2) \\ & 1.884(2) \\ & 1.971(2) \\ & 1.987(2) \\ & 1.847(1) \\ & \frac{1.848(2)}{1.902} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.877(2) \\ & 1.889(2) \\ & 1.984(2) \\ & 2.003(2) \\ & 1.849(2) \\ & \frac{1.853(2)}{1.909} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.883(2) \\ & 1.896(2) \\ & 1.990(2) \\ & 2.008(2) \\ & 1.852(2) \\ & \frac{1.857(2)}{1.914} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{A} 1_{2}-0 \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C} \\ -0^{\mathrm{D}} \\ -0 \mathrm{~F} \\ -0^{\mathrm{F}} \\ -\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.938(2) \\ & 1.881(2) \\ & 1.889(2) \\ & 1.913(2) \\ & 1.930(2) \\ & \frac{1.925(1)}{1.913} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.945(2) \\ & 1.886(2) \\ & 1.893(2) \\ & 1.919(2) \\ & 1.940(2) \\ & \frac{1.931(2)}{1.919} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.948(2) \\ & 1.891(2) \\ & 1.900(2) \\ & 1.920(2) \\ & 1.944(2) \\ & \frac{1.935(2)}{1.923} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{A}_{3}-0 \\ { }^{-0} \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ -0 \\ \hline \mathrm{~F} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.986(2) \\ & 1.923(2) \\ & 1.862(2) \\ & 1.882(2) \\ & 1.968(1) \\ & \frac{1.884(2)}{1.919} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.996(2) \\ & 1.934(2) \\ & 1.863(2) \\ & 1.884(2) \\ & 1.978(2) \\ & 1.891(2) \\ & \hline 1.924 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.002(2) \\ & 1.937(2) \\ & 1.864(2) \\ & 1.886(2) \\ & 1.987(2) \\ & \frac{1.894(2)}{1.928} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Al}_{4}-0 \\ { }_{-0} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}} \\ -0_{0}^{\mathrm{B}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{D}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}} \\ -\mathrm{O}^{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.816(2) \\ & 1.997(2) \\ & 1.846(1) \\ & 1.910(2) \\ & 1.933(2) \\ & \frac{1.875(2)}{1.896} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.815(2) \\ & 2.012(2) \\ & 1.850(2) \\ & 1.919(2) \\ & 1.944(2) \\ & \frac{1.878(2)}{1.903} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.818(2) \\ & 2.019(2) \\ & 1.850(2) \\ & 1.926(2) \\ & 1.949(2) \\ & \frac{1.881(2)}{1.907} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Si}_{1}-0 \\ -0 \\ -0^{\mathrm{E}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{H}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.631(2) \\ & 1.643(2) \\ & 1.621(2) \\ & \frac{1.646(2)}{1.635} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.634(2) \\ & 1.642(2) \\ & 1.621(2) \\ & \frac{1.648(2)}{1.636} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.631(2) \\ & 1.646(2) \\ & 1.621(2) \\ & \frac{1.649(2)}{1.637} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Si}_{2}-0{ }_{-0}^{\mathrm{A}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{G}} \\ -0_{\mathrm{K}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.640(2) \\ & 1.629(2) \\ & 1.627(2) \\ & \frac{1.649(1)}{1.636} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.642(2) \\ & 1.632(2) \\ & 1.627(2) \\ & \frac{1.648(2)}{1.637} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.642(2) \\ & 1.632(2) \\ & 1.629(2) \\ & \frac{1.651(2)}{1.639} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Table 8. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: polyhedral volumes and strain as a function of temperature

|  |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sillimanite |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | Volume ( $\mathrm{A}^{3}$ ) | 9.173 | 9.258 | 9.292 | 9.329 | 9.339 |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.103 | 0.111 | 0.114 | 0.119 | 0.119 |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.815 | 0.821 | 0.821 | 0.819 | 0.849 |
|  | Total Strain | 0.918 | 0.932 | 0.935 | 0.938 | 0.968 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | Volume | 2.787 | 2.793 | 2.793 | 2.805 | 2.810 |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.076 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.078 | 0.081 |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.380 | 0.375 | 0.380 | 0.374 | 0.355 |
|  | Total Strain | 0.455 | 0.452 | 0.457 | 0.453 | 0.436 |
| Si | Volume | 2.207 | 2.204 | 2.208 | 2.205 | 2.223 |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.062 | 0.065 | 0.067 | 0.068 | 0.071 |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.156 | 0.157 | 0.165 | 0.159 | 0.165 |
|  | Total Strain | 0.218 | 0.222 | 0.232 | 0.227 | 0.236 |
| Andalusite |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A1 | Volume | 9.538 | 9.666 | 9.729 | 9.794 | 9.878 |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.303 | 0.334 | 0.352 | 0.365 | 0.384 |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.635 | 0.640 | 0.645 | 0.647 | 0.636 |
|  | Total Strain | 0.937 | 0.974 | 0.979 | 1.012 | 1.020 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | Volume | 5.151 | 5.187 | 5.197 | 5.220 | 5.250 |
| Si | Volume | 2.212 | 2.209 | 2.216 | 2.213 | 2.214 |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.282 | 0.273 | 0.268 | 0.266 | 0.268 |
|  | Total Strain | 0.301 | 0.294 | 0.287 | 0.283 | 0.288 |
| Kyanite |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | Volume | 8.973 | 9.073 | 9.143 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.148 | 0.161 | 0.161 |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 1.133 | 1. 149 | 1.161 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 1.281 | 1.310 | 1.322 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ |  | 9.135 | 9.219 | 9.277 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.071 | 0.076 | 0.072 |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 1.133 | 1.204 | 1.205 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 1.244 | 1.280 | 1.277 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ |  | 9.159 | 9.247 | 9.304 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.130 | $0.140$ | $0.146$ |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 1.325 | 1.350 | 1.351 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 1.455 | 1.490 | 1.497 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | Volume | 8.917 | 9.007 | 9.063 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.160 | 0.174 | 0.181 |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 1.088 | 1.105 | 1.105 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 1.247 | 1.279 | 1.286 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {S }}{ }_{1}$ | Volume | 2.240 | 2.244 | 2.246 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.026 |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.177 | 0.180 | 0.179 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 0.200 | 0.201 | 0.205 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{2}$ | Volume | 2.242 | 2.246 | 2.252 |  |  |
|  | Longit. Strain | 0.020 | 0.019 | $0.020$ |  |  |
|  | Shear Strain | 0.230 | 0.228 | 0.220 |  |  |
|  | Total Strain | 0.250 | 0.247 | 0.240 |  |  |

that for low albite (Winter et al., 1977), in which many of the $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths appear to decrease with increasing temperature. No corrections for thermal vibrations, following the method of Busing and Levy (1964), have been applied to the bond lengths in the aluminum silicates, since the exact nature of mutual vibration of the individual atom pairs is unknown. The result of thermal vibration is an apparent reduction in calculated interatomic distances. The


Fig. 1. Andalusite: unit-cell dimensions and volumes as a function of temperature. Error bars represent $\pm$ one standard deviation.


Fig. 3. Kyanite: unit-cell dimensions and volumes as a function of temperature. Error bars represent $\pm$ one standard deviation.


Fig. 2. Sillimanite: unit-cell dimensions and volumes as a function of temperature. Error bars represent $\pm$ one standard deviation.


Fig. 4. Kyanite: unit-cell angles as a function of temperature. Error bars represent $\pm$ one standard deviation.


Fig. 5. Kyanite: stereographic projection of the minimum ( $x$ ), intermediate ( $y$ ), and maximum ( $z$ ) axes of the thermal strain ellipsoids for kyanite at $25^{\circ} \rightarrow 400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25^{\circ} \rightarrow 600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $25^{\circ} \rightarrow$ $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively in the direction of the arrows. Unit-cell axes are also shown.
albite structure permits more freedom of atomic motion, and thus much higher vibrational amplitudes at a given temperature, than does the structure of the aluminum silicate minerals. Therefore, reduction in observed bond lengths due to thermal vibration is greater in albite than in the aluminum silicates at high temperatures, and the uncorrected bond length values appear progressively smaller as the temperature and therefore the magnitude of the correction increases. The lesser vibrational amplitudes in the aluminum silicate minerals (usually less than one-half the value for low albite at a corresponding temperature) is insufficient to decrease the calculated bond lengths enough to overcome the slight thermal expansions of the strong tetrahedral bonds.

As mentioned above, the only tetrahedral bonds in the aluminum silicates which appear to decrease at high temperature are the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bonds in sillimanite. If the only cause for an apparent decrease in bond lengths is the thermal vibrations, one would expect large amplitudes of vibration to be associated with these atoms. Indeed, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ in sillimanite is the only atom in all three polymorphs that is bonded to only two cations instead of three and is thus relatively free to vibrate. The isotropic equivalent temperature factor, B for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$, is nearly twice that of the other oxygen atoms in all three polymorphs. These results for the aluminum silicates strongly support the contention of Winter et al. (1977) that, although tetrahedral Si-O and Al-O bonds in silicates are quite strong and are not subject to large expansions at temperatures up to $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the apparent contractions in these bond lengths at high temperatures are strictly the result of errors in the calculation of true bond lengths caused by thermal vibration.


Fig. 6. Projection of the andalusite structure on (001) (after Burnham and Buerger, 1961). Atoms are represented by striped circles at $z=0$, stippled circles at $z \cong 1 / 4$, and clear circles at $z=1 /$ 2. Chains of Si and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ polyhedra are outlined. Dashed circles and arrows show the hypothesized shifts of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ to positions adopted in the kyanite structure.

## Thermal expansion of the unit-cell dimensions

The rigid tetrahedra and more elastic octahedra with pronounced expansion along $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ have a profound effect on the characteristics of cell expansion of the aluminum silicate minerals. In andalusite, the $a$ cell dimension expands more than $b$, which in turn expands much more than $c$ (Fig. 1). Parallel to $c$ are not only chains of octahedra, but also fully extended chains of alternating Si tetrahedra and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ trigonal bipyramids (see Fig. 7). The bonds involved in the latter chains along the $c$ direction vary little


Fig. 7. Andalusite: polyhedral chains parallel to the $c$ axis (after Burnham and Buerger, 1961).
with temperature and thus limit expansion in the $c$ direction. Within the $a-b$ plane, the more elastic $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bond is approximately $30^{\circ}$ to either side of the $a$ axis (Fig. 6). Thus, the average direction of the large $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ expansion is effectively the $a$ direction. Therefore the relative magnitudes of axial expansion in andalusite are $a>b>c$.

In sillimanite the elastic $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bond is now $30^{\circ}$ to either side of $b$ (Fig. 8). Thus, $b$ would be expected to expand more than $a$, which is the case. The $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bond in sillimanite is considerably longer than it is in andalusite. This is a result of the $\mathrm{Al}_{1}^{\mathrm{VI}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{Si}^{\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{V}}}$ linkage in sillimanite $v$ s. the $\mathrm{Al}_{1}^{\mathrm{VI}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}$ linkage in andalusite. The $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bond in sillimanite has a higher bond strength ( 1.0 valence units; Pauling, 1960) than the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bond in andalusite ( 0.6 v.u.). The $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bond in sillimanite is correspondingly weaker, and therefore longer. An opposite though lesser effect for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ may also be observed. The $\mathrm{Si}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bond in andalusite and $\mathrm{Al}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (as well as $\mathrm{Al}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) bond in sillimanite have bond strengths of 1.0 and 0.75 v.u. respectively. The overall result is a slightly lower $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bond strength in sillimanite than in andalusite. This, in turn, is compensated by a somewhat stronger and shorter $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bond in sillimanite, which expands less with increasing temperature
than the same bond in andalusite. The $b$ unit-cell axis, which bisects the two possible orientations of these $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ bonds, also expands less. In spite of the tetrahedral chains which run parallel to $c$ in sillimanite, $c$ expands more than $a$. The double chains of Si and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ tetrahedra, which crosslink the octahedral chains (Fig. 9), leave open tunnels parallel to $c$ (Fig. 8). These tunnels permit minor rotations of the chains to occur. As temperature increases, the double chains labelled $A$ in Figure 8 rotate clockwise and those labelled $B$ rotate counterclockwise. This chain rotation facilitates the expansion of the $b$ axis and works against the expansion of the $a$ axis. In andalusite, this rotation is prevented by $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bonds, which bridge across the tunnels and create a three-dimensional network of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}$ polyhedra (Fig. 6).

The orientation of the maximum thermal expansion direction for kyanite is within $12^{\circ}$ of $c$ (Fig. 5). There are four crystallographically-distinct Al atoms in kyanite. Continuous chains of alternating $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ octahedra occur parallel to $c$ (Fig. 10). $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ occupy octahedral sites adjacent to the chains in a step-like fashion (Fig. 11), in a manner representing a distorted cubic close-packing. These chains are linked by isolated silicate tetrahedra. Since there are no continuous tetrahedral chains parallel to $c$ in kyanite,


Fig. 8. Sillimanite: partial projection of the structure between $z=0$ and $z=1 / 2$ (after Burnham, 1963a). Tetrahedral chains are outlined. Dashed circles and arrows show the hypothesized shifts of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms for the sillimanite-andalusite and sillimanite-kyanite inversions. Note that one of the shifts for the sillimanite-kyanite inversion appears to involve a Si atom. This actually represents a shift for an $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atom at $z=3 / 4$.


Fig. 9. Sillimanite: polyhedral chains parallel to the $c$ axis (after Burnham, 1963a).
there is no rigid constraint to thermal expansion in this direction. In addition, the complex octahedral chains share several edges (five each for $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$, four each for $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ ), resulting in no clearly dominant octahedral expansion directions. Therefore, the magnitude of thermal expansion is more uniformly distributed. The rigid silicate tetrahedral edges joining $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ octahedra along $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{D}}$ (Fig. 10) cause rotation about $a$ of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ octahedral chains as temperature increases. Other tetrahedral edges linking $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ octahedral chains to $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ via $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{M}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ cause similar rotations of $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$. These rotations decrease the $\gamma$ angle and result in the $y>x$ expansion shown in Figure 10.

## Polymorphic phase transformations

The detailed crystal structures of the three aluminum silicate polymorphs, now available at high temperatures, lead one to speculate on the mechanisms of the polymorphic transformations. The dense tetrahedral $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ chains in sillimanite separated by large voids (Fig. 8) are of limited stability, as indicated by the unusual behavior of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}$ atom. With two very short bond distances to $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ and Si along one direction and two large voids in the other direction, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ displays a large amplitude of vibration normal to the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ plane. As a result of this uneven distribution of cations, the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atom (labeled $X$ in Fig. 8) is compelled to break the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bond and bridge the void toward another $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}$, as shown by arrow \#1 in


Fig. 10. Kyanite: projection of the structure on (001) (after Burnham, 1963b).

Figure 8. A second Al atom (an obvious choice is labeled $Y$ in Fig. 8) would bridge in like manner, creating the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Si}$ chains of andalusite outlined in Figure 6. An $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ atom set free by the previous rupture of an $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bond would migrate 0.5 c and be bonded to two joined $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms. Unfortunately


Fig. 11. Kyanite: polyhedral chains parallel to the $c$ axis.
for this simple mechanism, one of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms which shifted ( $X$ in Fig. 8) is at $z=0.25$, while the other $(Y)$ is at $z=0.75$. Such a mechanism would require diffusion and interchange of half of the Si and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ atoms. Alternative choices of bridging $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ shifts encounter the same problem. The diffusion of Si and Al in silicates is a very sluggish process ( $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ order/ disorder reactions in feldspars are quite slow, see e.g. McConnell and McKie, 1960). Thus the diffusion required for the andalusite-sillimanite transformation is a major inhibiting factor. $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ disorder in sillimanite would greatly facilitate this transformation. Calorimetric data (Navrotsky et al., 1973), heattreating experiments (Beger et al., 1970), as well as theoretical considerations (Holdaway, 1971; Greenwood, 1972) indicate that some degree of $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ disorder occurs in sillimanite.

In andalusite, the unusual five-coordinated $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ polyhedron expands very unevenly as temperature increases. The longest $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bond expands at a disproportionately greater rate than the other four $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (Table 6). Thus, at higher temperatures the five-coordination becomes unstable, and the structure transforms to sillimanite. If the reverse of the previously described mechanism for the sillima-nite-to-andalusite transformation were to take place, $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Al}$ diffusion would not be such an obstacle, and disordered sillimanite would be produced. The resulting sillimanite would have chains of alternating dimers of $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Al}$ tetrahedra along $c$. There is no direct evidence yet for such fine domain structure in sillimanite. Perhaps any such domains are quickly destroyed, in accordance with the aluminum avoidance rule.

In an attempt to detect spatial disorder in the Brandywine Springs sample, a linear curve was fitted to the isotropic equivalent temperature factors of each atom $v s$. absolute temperature. Such plots are quite linear in low albite (Quareni and Taylor, 1971; Winter et al., 1977) and should extrapolate to $\mathrm{B}=0$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, where thermal motion ceases. Curiously, all such extrapolations for the aluminum silicates result in small positive values of B at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, indicating a small degree of spatial disorder. The average values of $B$ extrapolated to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ are $0.159,0.156$, and 0.246 for andalusite, kyanite, and sillimanite respectively. The values of $\mathbf{B}$ for andalusite and kyanite are within $3 \sigma$ of 0 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, whereas many of the sillimanite B values are not, indicating some $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ disorder. Perhaps a structure determination of a sillimanite grain coherently replacing an andalusite grain would exhibit the type of disorder mentioned here.

In aluminosilicates increasing pressure favors oc-tahedrally-coordinated Al , as it requires less volume. In the sillimanite $\rightarrow$ kyanite transformation, the tetrahedral $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ in sillimanite shifts into adjacent octahedral positions ( $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ in Fig. 10). This requires the breaking of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bond in sillimanite (Fig. 8) and the formation of $\mathrm{Al}_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{Al}_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$, and $\mathrm{Al}_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bonds in kyanite (a similar mechanism exists for $\mathrm{Al}_{2}($ sill $) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}_{3}(\mathrm{ky})$, Fig. 10). Rotation of the octahedral chains must also occur, but no major $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Al}$ diffusion is required as in the andalusite-sillimanite transition. A similar mechanism can be proposed for the andalusite $\rightarrow$ kyanite transition only using different $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ pairs to join a given $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ chain (see arrows in Fig. 6), thereby also avoiding the necessity of $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ diffusive interchange.

The polymorphic transformations of the aluminum silicate minerals are major reconstructive transformations, involving the breakage and formation of several bonds, as well as rotation of the octahedral chains. In addition, the andalusite-sillimanite transformation requires diffusive interchange of half of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ and Si atoms. In the light of this fact and the small changes in thermodynamic properties associated with these transformations, it is not surprising that metastable coexistence of these polymorphs is so common. It is also understandable that among the numerous reported occurrences of two or three coexisting polymorphs, the crystallographically incoherent transformation is far more common than the coherent transformation/replacement (see Pitcher, 1965, for a review of the occurrences prior to that date; also Hietanen, 1956; Woodland, 1963; Kwak, 1971). Among the few described coherent replacement textures, the andalusite $\leftrightarrow$ kyanite and sillimanite $\leftrightarrow$ kyanite transitions are much more common than the andalusite $\leftrightarrow$ sillimanite transition. This is to be expected, considering the Al-Si diffusion necessary for the latter transformation. Of the few reported replacement textures involving andalusite and sillimanite (Hietanen, 1956; Kwak, 1971), the andalusite $\rightarrow$ sillimanite transition is the more commonly observed, which may be a result of the possible andalusite $\rightarrow$ domain sillimanite transition and the more difficult ordered-sillimanite $\rightarrow$ andalusite transition mechanisms. An alternative explanation is that the andalusite $\rightarrow$ sillimanite transition is a prograde reaction, whereas the sillimanite $\rightarrow$ andalusite transition is a characteristically more sluggish retrograde reaction, except in cases where a low-pressure latethermal event overprints an earlier regional event (e.g. Idaho Batholith, Hietanen, 1956).

## The aluminum silicate phase relations

It is hoped that the new volume-temperature data will improve the compatibility of the molar volumes and $(\partial V / \partial T)_{P}$ curves with experimentally-derived data for the aluminum silicate minerals. The slopes and intercepts of the volume/temperature data based on linear fits are given in Table 9. The $\Delta V$ of reaction for the polymorphic inversions at $T^{\mathrm{eq}}$ and one atm can thus be calculated. Compressibility corrections can be neglected, since these corrections are negligible below five kbar, and the uncertainties in the compressibility data are large (Brace et al., 1969).

Although there is little agreement among the various investigators on the location of the triple point (see Zen, 1969, for a review), we accept the determination of Holdaway (1971) at $510^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3.76 kbar as the most reliable, which is also preferred by Anderson et al. (1977) on the basis of thermodynamic consistency. In combination with the one atm data of Weill (1966), Holdaway chose a one atm intercept for the andalusite-sillimanite reaction of $770^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting $d P / d T$ slope for the reaction is -13.97 bars/ degree. The average $\Delta H$ of solution for sillimanite (corrected for Fe content), measured by the calorimeter at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is $6.88 \pm 0.11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Anderson and Kleppa, 1969), while the corresponding value for andalusite is $7.55 \pm 0.21 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Anderson et al., 1977). The resulting $\Delta H_{\mathrm{r}}$ is $670 \pm 230 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Using the Clapeyron equation, the $\Delta V$ of the present study can be compared with that of Skinner et al. (1961) by calculating the corresponding $\Delta H$ from the known slope and $T$ and comparing these enthalpies with that derived calorimetrically. The $\Delta V$ of Skinner et al. (1961) results in a $\Delta H$ of $800 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (assuming $\partial \Delta H / \partial T=0$ between 700 and $770^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), while our $\Delta V$ results in a $\Delta H$ of $670 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Although both values agree with the calorimetric results within error limits, the present volume data give a better fit. This agreement in enthalpy values requires that the $\Delta S$ of $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ disorder in sillimanite be the same in the experimental and calorimetric samples (or both zero). Similar calculations of $\Delta H_{\mathrm{r}}$ for the kyanite-andalusite and the sillimanite-kyanite reactions based on the two

Table 9. Sillimanite, andalusite, and kyanite: molar volumes and $(\partial V / \partial T)_{P}$ slopes

|  | $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $(\delta \mathrm{V} / \delta \mathrm{T})_{\mathrm{P}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Sillimanite | $50.049(7)$ | $7.230 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Andalusite | $51.579(9)$ | $12.926 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Kyanite | $44.221(14)$ | $11.774 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Table 11. Calculated and calorimetric reaction enthalpies at atmospheric pressure and equilibrium temperature for the aluminum silicate polymorphic inversion reactions

| Reaction | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {eq }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Slope } \\ & \text { (bars deg. }{ }^{-1} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H$ at $T_{\text {eq }}$ (cals/mol.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Skinner } \\ & \text { et al. } \end{aligned}$ | Present Study | Calorimetric* |
| And.-Sill. | $770^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -13.97 | 800 | 670 | $670 \pm 230$ |
| Ky. -And. | $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12.49 | 1051 | 1043 | $956 \pm 200$ |
| Sill.-Ky. | $326^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20.19 | -1647 | -1617 | $-1720 \pm 170$ |
| *See text for sources. |  |  |  |  |  |

molar volume data sets are compared with those calculated from the calorimetric data using the heat capacity equations of Pankratz and Kelley (1964) as shown in Table 11. No corrections have been made to $\Delta H_{\mathrm{r}}$ of the sillimanite-kyanite reaction for $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Si}$ disorder in sillimanite. Although the discrepancies between the present volume data and those of Skinner et al. (1961) are relatively large, due to the uncertainties in enthalpy values, both volume sets are consistent with experimentally-determined thermodynamic properties of the aluminum silicates.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Professor P. B. Moore, University of Chicago, and Mr. John S. White, Jr., Smithsonian Institution, for the sillimanite and kyanite samples respectively, and to Professors B. W. Evans and J. A. Vance, University of Washington, and Professor C. W. Burnham, Harvard University, for critical reviews. This research has been supported by NSF (Geochemistry) grant EAR 76-13373.

## References

Anderson, P. A. M. and O. J. Kleppa (1969) The thermochemistry of the kyanite-sillimanite equilibrium. Am. J. Sci., 267, 285-290.
———, R. C. Newton and O. J. Kleppa (1977) The enthalpy change of the andalusite-sillimanite reaction and the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$ diagram. Am. J. Sci., 277, 585-593.
Beger, R. M., C. W. Burnham and J. F. Hays (1970) Structural changes in sillimanite at high temperature (abstr.). Geol. Soc. Am. Abstracts with Programs, 2, 490-491.
Bond, W. L. (1951) Making small spheres. Rev. Sci. Instrum., 22, 344-345.
Brace, W. F., C. H. Scholz and P. N. LaMori (1969) Isothermal compressibility of kyanite, andalusite, and sillimanite from synthetic aggregates. J. Geophys. Res., 74, 2089-2098.
Burnham, C. W. (1963a) Refinement of the crystal structure of sillimanite. Z. Kristallogr., 118, 127-148.

- (1963b) Refinement of the crystal structure of kyanite. $Z$. Kristallogr., 118, 337-360.
- and M. J. Buerger (1961) Refinement of the crystal structure of andalusite. Z. Kristallogr., 115, 269-290.
Busing, W. R. and H. A. Levy (1964) The effect of thermal motion on the estimation of bond lengths from diffraction measurements. Acta Crystallogr., 17, 142-146.
Cromer, D. T. and J. B. Mann (1968) X-ray scattering factors
computed from numerical Hartree-Fock wave functions. Acta Crystallogr., A24. 321-324.
and D. Liberman (1970) Calculation of anomalous scattering factors for X-rays. J. Chem. Phys., 53, 1891-1898.
Finger, L. W. (1969) Determination of cation distribution by leastsquares refinement of single-crystal X-ray data. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book, 67, 216-217.
and E. Prince (1972) Neutron diffraction studies: andalusite sillimanite. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book, 71, 496-500.
Greenwood, H. J. (1972) $\mathrm{Al}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{SiI}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ disorder in sillimanite and its effects on phase relations of the aluminum silicate minerals. Geol. Soc. Am. Mem., 132, 553-571.
Hamilton, W. C. (1959) On the isotropic temperature factor equivalent to a given anisotropic temperature factor. Acta Crystallogr., 12, 609-610.
Hietanen, A. (1956) Kyanite, andalusite, and sillimanite in the schist in Boehls Butte quadrangle, Idaho. Am. Mineral., 41, I27.

Holdaway, M. J. (1971) Stability of andalusite and the aluminum silicate phase diagram. Am.J. Sci., 271, 91-131.
Kwak, T. A. P. (1971) The selective replacement of the aluminum silicates by white mica. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 32, 193-210.
McConnell, J. D. C. and D. McKie (1960) The kinetics of the ordering process in triclinic $\mathrm{NaAlSi}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$. Mineral. Mag., 32, 436454.

Naray-Szabo, St., W. H. Taylor and W. W. Jackson (1929) The structure of kyanite. Z. Kristallogr., 71, 117-130.
Navrotsky, A., R. C. Newton and O. J. Kleppa (1973) Sillimanite disordering enthalpy by calorimetry. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 37, 2497-2508.
Ohashi, Y. and L. W. Finger (1973) Lattice deformations in feldspars. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book, 72, 569-573.

Pankratz, L. B. and K. K. Kelley (1964) High temperature heat contents and entropies of andalusite, kyanite and sillimanite. U.S. Bur. Mines Rept. Investigations 6370.

Pauling, L. (1960) The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. Cornell University Press, New York.
Pitcher, W. S. (1965) The aluminum silicate polymorphs. In W. S. Pitcher and G. W. Flinn, Eds., Controls of Metamorphism, p. 327-341. Wiley, New York.

Quareni, S. and W. H. Taylor (1971) Anisotropy of the sodium atom in low albite. Acta Crystallogr., 27B, 281-285.
Skinner, B. J., S. P. Clark and D. E. Appleman (1961) Molar volumes and thermal expansions of andalusite, kyanite, and sillimanite. Am. J. Sci., 259, 651-668.
Taylor, W. H. (1928) The structure of sillimanite and mullite. $Z$. Kristallogr., 68, 503-521.

- (1929) The structure of andalusite, $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$. Z. Kristallogr., 71, 205-218.
Weill, D. F. (1966) Stability relations in the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{AlF}_{6}$ system. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 30, 223-227.
Winter, J. K., S. Ghose and F. P. Okamura (1977) A high-temperature study of the thermal expansion and the anisotropy of the sodium atom in low albite. Am. Mineral., 62, 921-931.
Woodland, B. G. (1963) Petrographic study of thermally metamorphosed pelitic rocks in the Burke area, north-eastern Vermont. Am. J. Sci., 261, 354-375.
Zen, E-an (1969) The stability relations of the polymorphs of aluminum silicate: a survey and some comments. Am. J. Sci., 267, 297-309.

Manuscript received, April 17, 1978: accepted for publication, October 18, 1978.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To receive a copy of Tables $5,7,10$, order Document AM-79096 from the Business Office, Mineralogical Society of America, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Please remit $\$ 1.00$ in advance for the microfiche.

