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Abstract

Mineral assemblages in different samples of metamorphosed argillaceous carbonate rock, collected from a single, large outcrop in south-central Maine, record differences in the chemical potentials of CO₂ and H₂O between samples during metamorphism. Because all samples contain graphite and pyrrhotite of constant composition, differences in the chemical potentials of O₂, H₂, CH₄, and H₂S existed as well. Thermodynamic analysis of the mineral assemblages indicates that maximum chemical potential differences were on the order of 100 calories. Maximum differences in the chemical potentials of FeO, MgO, K₂O, Al₂O₃, and CaO between samples are of approximately the same magnitude. The differences in chemical potentials of CO₂ and H₂O between beds, however, correspond to a difference of only a few hundredths X CO₂ in the composition of a CO₂-H₂O fluid in equilibrium with the samples. Maximum gradients in the chemical potentials of CO₂ and H₂O during metamorphism at the outcrop were 5-6 cal/min magnitude.

Differences in chemical potentials of CO₂ and H₂O can be mapped in three dimensions in the outcrop, and they exhibit an extremely regular pattern. With the exception of one sample, there are no detectable differences in chemical potentials parallel to bedding and schistosity (which have identical orientations in the outcrop). All other differences occur between different beds. The mapped differences in chemical potentials can be used to quantitatively estimate upper bounds on mass transfer of CO₂ and H₂O during metamorphism at the outcrop. The estimated upper bounds on mass transfer are consistent with (but do not require) a model of channelized fluid transfer during metamorphism in which fluid transfer primarily occurs in directions parallel to bedding and schistosity.

Introduction

Metamorphism involves the liberation of CO₂ and H₂O from rocks, and there must exist during metamorphism some volatile-rich fluid (or fluid-like) phase containing CO₂ and H₂O. The volatile phase, however, is not preserved, except for rocks that contain fluid inclusions, and its behavior during metamorphism must be inferred from mineral equilibria. The classical model of metamorphism assumes that the fluid phase in any particular rock is in communication with some external agent that controls the fluid's composition. The composition of the volatile phase in an outcrop, therefore, is regarded to be controlled externally and hence to be constant. Petrologic investigations indicate that in some cases the classical model of metamorphism appears to be valid (Carmichael, 1970). Several studies, however, have concluded that variations in the composition of the volatile phase exist within single outcrop-size areas during metamorphism (see, for example, James and Howland, 1955; Guidotti, 1970; Hewitt, 1973; Osberg, 1974a; Rumble, 1974, 1978). In addition, the study of metamorphosed carbonate rocks reveals that the composition of the fluid phase during the course of prograde metamorphism is to a large degree internally controlled by the rock's mineral assemblage rather than by some external agent (Hewitt, 1973; Greenwood, 1975; Ferry, 1976a; Rice, 1977a, b).

The classical model of metamorphism in some cases must be incorrect, and the behavior of the volatile phase during metamorphism should be reevaluated. Some aspects of the behavior of the volatile phase during metamorphism have been studied on a regional scale (Ferry, 1978a). Important constraints on the behavior of the fluid phase in metamorphic processes can also be deduced from the study of single outcrops that contain a variety of mineral as-
semblages. Rumble (1974, 1978) pioneered developments in the latter kind of study. Rumble's results apply to the behavior of O-H fluids in rocks that underwent dehydration during metamorphism. This report presents mineralogical and petrological data from a single, large outcrop of metamorphosed argillaceous carbonate rock that reveal aspects of the behavior of C-O-H-S fluids during metamorphism which involves simultaneous dehydration and decarbonation. Specifically the report (1) documents differences in the chemical potentials of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O in the volatile phase in the outcrop during metamorphism; (2) presents the geometric pattern of the chemical potential differences in three dimensions; (3) relates the pattern of chemical potential differences to the local structural geology; (4) interprets the pattern of chemical potential differences in terms of a model of fluid transfer during metamorphism in which transfer of fluids preferentially occurs in channelways parallel to bedding and schistosity.

**Geological setting**

Samples were collected in the limestone member of the Silurian Waterville Formation from the vertical walls of the Blue Rock Quarry in south-central Maine (Fig. 1), in the town of Sidney. The bedding in the quarry is vertical and strikes N25E. Compositional layering is on a scale of 1-8 cm. The age of the rocks, as well as the lack of reaction textures between minerals, suggests that the rocks were subjected to one metamorphic episode (corresponding to the Devonian Acadian Orogeny). Porphyroblasts of most minerals crosscut schistosity, which indicates that metamorphism followed all or almost all deformation. The grade of metamorphism in nearby pelitic schists corresponds to that of the garnet zone. The stratigraphy, structural geology, and the metamorphism of the pelitic schists have been discussed in more detail by Osberg (1968, 1971, 1974a, b); the metamorphism of the argillaceous carbonate rocks has been studied on a regional scale by Ferry (1976a, b).

**Methods of investigation**

Approximately 80 samples were collected from the quarry, and the location of samples within the quarry is diagrammed in Figure 2. The floor of the quarry is approximately 13 meters below ground surface. Figure 2 illustrates the size and shape of the quarry when samples were collected in 1976 and 1977. Since this time the quarry has been deepened approximately 3 meters and the north end extended approximately 25 meters. Two sets of samples were collected. The first set was collected parallel to the north face of the quarry along a 100-meter traverse that cut across bedding. These samples are identified by a "B" prefix. The numerical suffix corresponds to the distance in meters along the traverse (from at the quarry's west end) at which the sample was collected. The second set was collected from several beds exposed on the west face of the quarry. These samples are identified by a numerical prefix that identifies the bed. The alphabetical suffix refers to the location of the samples within the bed. Rock samples collected from individual beds are separated both in horizontal (N-S) and in vertical (up-down) dimensions.

Mineral assemblages in each sample were identified by both petrography and X-ray diffractometry. An assemblage of minerals was judged to be in equilibrium using the same criteria listed by Ferry (1976a). Compositions of minerals in approximately 40 samples were determined using the automated MAC electron microprobe at the Geophysical Laboratory (Finger and Hadidiacos, 1971), natural and synthetic carbonate and silicate mineral standards, and the MAGIC data reduction program (a ZAF correction scheme) at the Geophysical Laboratory microprobe facility.

**Mineralogy and mineral chemistry**

**Observed mineral assemblages**

All samples contain calcite, chlorite, quartz, plagioclase, pyrrhotite, biotite, and graphite. Five distinct mineral assemblages have been observed, differentiated by the mineral(s) associated with those above: (a) muscovite, (b) muscovite + ankerite, (c) calcic amphibole, (d) calcic amphibole + ankerite, (e) muscovite + garnet. The five mineral assemblages will be referred to in the remainder of the report by these identification letters.

**Mineral chemistry**

The composition of all minerals in 22 samples was determined by electron microprobe; the composition of chlorite and plagioclase in 19 additional samples was determined. Table 1 and Figures 3–4 summarize the compositions. Although the minerals contain up to seven oxide components, the variations in mineral compositions are surprisingly simple. Plagioclase is a binary albite–anorthite solid solution. Chlorite, calcite, ankerite, biotite, muscovite, and calcic amphibole may be considered binary Fe–Mg solid solutions.
with end-members and variations in Fe/(Fe + Mg) listed in Table 1A. Figure 3 illustrates compositions of all analyzed chlorite and biotite on a diagram that projects through SiO$_2$, H$_2$O, KAl$_3$O$_8$, and NaAl$_2$O$_3$ (i.e., considers minerals that coexist with quartz, H$_2$O-bearing fluid phase of constant $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, and K–Na muscovite of fixed K/Na). Figure 3 demonstrates that chlorite and biotite minerals are close to binary Fe–Mg solid solutions, with compositions adequately expressed by the structural formulas in Table 1A (represented by the solid horizontal lines in Fig. 3). Ankerite and amphibole compositions are illustrated in Figure 4. The coordinate of the apex of the figure is CaO when ankerite compositions (circles) are considered; the ankerite diagram projects through CO$_2$. Figure 4 demonstrates that ankerite in rocks from the quarry is close to a binary Fe–Mg compound whose formula is listed in Table 1A (and represented in Fig.
The composition of pyrrhotite in seven samples was determined by electron microprobe. Pyrrhotite is a binary FeS-S$_2$ solid solution with remarkably monotonomous $X_{FeS}$: $0.945\pm0.005$, where the analytical error in the measurement of $X_{FeS}$ is approximately $\pm0.01$.

**Attainment of equilibrium**

Two observations can be used to evaluate the attainment of chemical equilibrium among mineral phases. First, no minerals are observed to have textures indicative of a reaction relationship. Second, Fe and Mg are partitioned in a very systematic fashion between pairs of Fe–Mg-bearing minerals (Table...
### Table 1. Mineral composition data

A. Structural formulas for minerals; Fe-Mg variations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Mineral symbol</th>
<th>Approximate structural formula (determined by electron microprobe)</th>
<th>Range in Fe/ (Fe + Mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>Qt</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Na$<em>x$Ca$</em>{1-x}$(Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{0.2}$Mg$</em>{0.8}$Al$<em>{1.2}$Si$</em>{1.8}$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>0.20 - 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>K$<em>{0.7}$Fe$</em>{0.3}$Mg$<em>{0.2}$Al$</em>{1.2}$Si$<em>{1.8}$O$</em>{10}$(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>0.24 - 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca$<em>{0.95}$Fe$</em>{0.05}$Mg$_{0.06}$O$_2$</td>
<td>0.26 - 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>An</td>
<td>Ca(Fe$<em>{0.2}$.Mg$</em>{0.8}$)(CO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>0.13 - 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>K(Fe$<em>{0.2}$,Mg$</em>{0.8}$)Al$<em>{1.2}$Si$</em>{1.8}$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>0.21 - 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcic amphibole</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>K$<em>{0.95}$Fe$</em>{0.05}$Al$<em>{1.2}$Si$</em>{1.8}$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>0.30 - 0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Additional compositional parameters of minerals that were used to devise the structural formulas in Table 1A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Compositional variable</th>
<th>Average value of variable (all analyzed minerals)</th>
<th>Standard deviation of variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>K/ (K+Na+Ca+□)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>□/ (K+Na+Ca+□)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Mg/ (Fe+Mg+Mn+Ti+Al$^{III}$)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Ti/ (Fe+Mg+Mn+Ti+Al$^{III}$)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>K/ (K+Na+Ca)</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>Mg/ (Fe+Mg+Mn+Ti+Al$^{III}$)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>Ti/ (Fe+Mg+Mn+Ti+Al$^{III}$)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Na/ (Na+K+□) A site</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Na/ (Na+K+□) A site</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Ca/ (Al+Na+Ca)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Al/ (Al+Na+Ca)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Na/ (Al+Na+Ca)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Fe-Mg partitioning between coexisting mineral phases.

\[
X = \frac{[\text{Fe/Mg}]_1}{[\text{Fe/Mg}]_2}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Standard deviation of X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>1.515</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Observed textures and measured partitioning of Fe and Mg between minerals is consistent with the attainment of chemical equilibrium among phases during the metamorphic episode.

Temperature of metamorphism

Two calibrated mineral thermometers occur: garnet–biotite and calcite–ankerite. Table 2 summarizes compositional parameters of biotite, garnet, and calcite coexisting with ankerite that were used as input for calculations of metamorphic temperatures. The mole fraction of MgCO₃ in calcite, when referred to the calibration of Ferry (1976b), based on the experiments of Goldsmith and Newton (1969), indicates equilibrium temperatures of 441°–471°C (±20°C) for seven calcite–ankerite pairs. The Fe/Mg ratios of biotite and garnet, when referred to the calibration of Ferry and Spear (1978), indicate equilibrium temperatures of 460°–479°C (±5°C) for two biotite–garnet pairs. When compositions of biotite–garnet pairs are referred to the geothermometer of Goldman and Albee (1977), equilibration temperatures of 424°–432°C are recorded by the same two biotite–garnet pairs. The temperature at the time of chemical equilibrium during metamorphism at the quarry was near 450°C. In the thermodynamic treatment of phase equilibria that follows, it is assumed that minerals equilibrated at 450°C.

Determination of differences in chemical potentials of volatile components between samples during metamorphism: methods and assumptions

In terms of the system MgO–FeO–CaO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–K₂O–Na₂O–C–S–CO₂–H₂O, considering that all assemblages contain two plagioclase feldspars, assemblages (b) and (d) are trivariant, whereas assemblages (a) and (c) are quadrivariant. The trivariant mineral assemblages plot along curves on isothermal, isobaric μCO₂–μH₂O diagrams, whereas the quadrivariant assemblages plot in the regions between the curves. The two isobaric, isothermal univariant curves, along which assemblages (b) and (d) plot, meet at an invariant point (Fig. 5), corresponding to the (unobserved) equilibrium among calcite, ankerite, muscovite, biotite, chlorite, quartz, calcic amphibole, pyrrhotite, graphite, and two plagioclase feldspars. Curves radiating out from the invariant point correspond to mineral reactions among various combinations of these eleven mineral phases. The relative positioning of the curves in Figure 5 was determined by the method of Schreinemakers. The regions of the
Table 2. Compositions of coexisting biotite and garnet and of calcite coexisting with ankerite; metamorphic temperatures calculated from compositions of biotite-garnet and calcite-ankerite pairs

### A. Composition of calcite coexisting with ankerite; temperature from expression (17) of Ferry (1975b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>A. Average</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-3</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B56</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5TA</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B578</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B93</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B95</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. (Mg/Fe) for coexisting garnet-biotite pairs; temperature from expression (7) of Ferry and Spear (1978) at P = 3500 bars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Mg/Fe: garnet</th>
<th>Mg/Fe: biotite</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FERRY: CHEMICAL POTENTIAL DIFFERENCES

The value of $\bar{G}_{FECCh}$ was estimated on the assumption that Fe and Mg mix ideally in the chlorite solid solution, that is:

$$\bar{G}_{FECCh} = 6.68RT/[X_{FECch}(1 - X_{FECch})] = \frac{6723}{X_{FECch}(1 - X_{FECch})}$$

at 450°C (Rumble, 1976).

Quadivariant regions clockwise from the curves labeled B and D are contoured by lines of constant composition. Following Rumble’s (1974) treatment of quadivariant equilibria, the set of contour lines extending from curve D has slope $-1.023$; the set of contour lines extending from curve B has slope

$$10.000(\frac{d\mu_{H_2O}}{dX_{FeCh}}) = -\bar{G}_{FECCh}(0.144X_{FeCc} + 2.567X_{FeCh} + 0.051X_{FeMu} - 1.575X_{FeBi} - 1.189X_{FeAk})$$

and

$$10.000(\frac{d\mu_{CO_2}}{dX_{FeCh}}) = -\bar{G}_{FECCh}(1.826X_{FeAk} + 0.060X_{FeMu} + 0.080X_{FeCh} - 1.875X_{FeBi} - 0.092X_{FeCa})$$

The curve labeled B in Figure 6 corresponds to the assemblage chlorite + calcite + quartz + muscovite + biotite + ankerite + graphite + pyrrhotite + two plagioclases and is the numerical integration of (see Appendix I for all notation):

$$4.259(\frac{d\mu_{CO_2}}{dX_{FeCh}}) = -\bar{G}_{FECCh}(0.638X_{FeAc} + 0.250X_{FeCh} - 0.865X_{FeAm} - 0.022X_{FeCa})$$

and

$$8.304(\frac{d\mu_{H_2O}}{dX_{FeCh}}) = -\bar{G}_{FECCh}(0.148X_{FeCc} + 2.485X_{FeCh} - 1.416X_{FeAm} - 1.216X_{FeAc})$$

Fig. 5. Equilibria among chlorite, muscovite, biotite, calcite, quartz, ankerite, calcic amphibole, plagioclase An$_{30}$ (30), and plagioclase An$_{85}$ (85) on an isobaric, isothermal $\mu_{CO_2}, \mu_{H_2O}$ diagram. See Appendix I for notation. Phases have compositions listed in Table 1A. Positioning of curves around the invariant point is consistent with the method of Schreinemakers. Assemblage types (a), (b), (c), and (d) occur in parts of the diagram marked by the appropriate letters.

To obtain a copy of Appendix 2, order Document AM-79-108 from the Business Office, Mineralogical Society of America, 2000 Florida Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20009. Please remit $1.00 in advance for the microfiche.
Fig. 6. Quantitative isobaric, isothermal $\Delta \mu_{\text{CO}_2}$-$\Delta \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ diagram representing selected equilibria from Figure 5. $\Delta \mu_i = (\mu_i)_\text{sample} - (\mu_i)_\text{pure}$, i.e., the scale is relative to sample 5-3 ($0 \mu_{\text{CO}_2}, 0 \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$). The origin is arbitrarily positioned and as such has no particular significance. Positioning of curves and their segmentation by composition described in the text. The curves are segmented and labeled with values of the composition variable $X_{FeCl}$. Circles are assemblage type (b); square, assemblage type (d); dotted lines, assemblage type (a); dashed lines, assemblage type (c). Inset illustrates the shape of the loci of points corresponding to a C–O–H–S fluid of constant fluid pressure coexisting with graphite and pyrrhotite of constant composition. Plotted assemblage types are those in Table 3.

Assemblage types (a) and (c) lie along these contour lines. The two sets of contour lines in the quadrivariant regions intersect along a third unmarked curve in Figure 6 which corresponds to the unobserved mineral assemblage muscovite + chlorite + calcite + quartz + calcic amphibole + biotite + graphite + pyrrhotite + two plagioclases. The unmarked curve is the numerical integration of
2.187(d\(\mu_{CO_2}\)/d\(X_{FeCh}\)) = -\(T_{FeFeCh}(1.875\ X_{FeB} i + 0.028\ X_{FeC} + 0.635\ X_{FeCh} - 2.476\ X_{FeAm} - 0.060\ X_{FeFeM})
\)

and

1.882(d\(\mu_{H_2O}\)/d\(X_{FeCh}\)) = -\(T_{FeFeCh}(0.138\ X_{FeCh} + 0.051\ X_{FeMu} + 1.384\ X_{FeAm} - 1.575\ X_{FeB})
\)

The three curves in Figure 6 intersect at an isobaric, isothermal invariant point, and the intersection must occur at \(X_{FeCh} = 0.14\pm0.005\) in order for the trivariant curves and the contours in the quadrivariant regions to have a topology consistent with Schreinemakers analysis and to have the proper slopes dictated by equations 1-7.

The only assumptions made in constructing Figure 6 were: minerals have compositions listed in Table 1A; Fe and Mg partition between minerals as listed in Table 1C; pressure is constant; \(T = 450\)°C; and Fe and Mg mix ideally in chlorite solid solution. The scale in Figure 6 is accurate, but the actual position of the curves in \(\mu_{CO_2}-\mu_{H_2O}\) space cannot be determined without thermochemical data. The origin has no particular significance; it has been arbitrarily positioned so that for sample 5-3 \(\Delta\mu_{CO_2} = \Delta\mu_{H_2O} = 0\). Values of \(\Delta\mu_{CO_2}\) and \(\Delta\mu_{H_2O}\) read from Figure 6, therefore, represent differences in \(\mu_{CO_2}\) and \(\mu_{H_2O}\) between a specimen of interest and the reference sample 5-3. The tick marks on the trivariant curves represent \(X_{FeCh}\) of the chlorite in the mineral assemblage diagrammatically represented by the curve. The composition contours in quadrivariant regions are referred to \(X_{FeCh}\). The compositions of all other phases at any point in the diagram can be reconstructed from the composition of chlorite at that point and the information in Table 1.

Results of determination of differences in chemical potentials of volatile components between samples during metamorphism

The mineral assemblages are plotted in Figure 6 according to the type of mineral assemblage, (a)-(d), and to the composition of chlorite in the assemblage. Table 3 summarizes the assemblages, their location within the quarry, and the composition of chlorite in the particular assemblage. When observed mineral assemblages are plotted on Figure 6, it is apparent that they record differences in \(\mu_{H_2O}\) and \(\mu_{CO_2}\) during metamorphism. At constant temperature and pressure, because the rocks contain graphite and pyrrhotite of constant composition,

\[
d\mu_{CO_2} = d\mu_{CO_2} - d\mu_{C} = d\mu_{CO_2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
d\mu_{CO} = d\mu_{C} + \frac{1}{2} d\mu_{O_2} = \frac{1}{2} d\mu_{CO_2} \tag{9}
\]

\[
d\mu_{H_2} = \frac{1}{2}(2d\mu_{H_2O} - d\mu_{O_2}) = \frac{1}{2}(2d\mu_{H_2O} - d\mu_{CO_2}) \tag{10}
\]

\[
d\mu_{CH_4} = d\mu_{C} + 2d\mu_{H_2} = 2d\mu_{H_2} = 2d\mu_{H_2O} - d\mu_{CO_2} \tag{11}
\]

\[
d\mu_{H_2S} = d\mu_{H_2} + \frac{1}{2} d\mu_{S} = d\mu_{H_2} = \frac{1}{2}(2d\mu_{H_2O} - d\mu_{CO_2}) \tag{12}
\]

The rocks record differences in the chemical potentials of all major volatile components in the system C-O-H-S present during metamorphism. The magnitudes of the maximum differences (and their estimated errors) in terms of both calories and in partial pressures are listed in Table 4 (ideal mixing of components in the volatile phase was assumed in the calculation of the ratios of partial pressures). Errors were estimated by multivariate error analysis (Clifford, 1973), and represent the estimated errors in calculated chemical potential differences when an error of \(\pm0.01\ X_{FeCh}\) is propagated through the calculations detailed in Appendix 2.

Values of \(\mu_{CO_2}\) and \(\mu_{H_2O}\) in calories relative to sample 5-3 are plotted where the samples were collected on a traverse across the north end of the quarry (Fig. 7). Error bars represent the estimated uncertainty in each of the calculated relative chemical potentials. There are no regional trends in \(\mu_{CO_2}\) or \(\mu_{H_2O}\) across beds in the quarry. The beds appear to record \(\mu_{CO_2}\) and \(\mu_{H_2O}\) values inconsistent with metamorphism at constant activity of volatile components or with metamorphism under some fixed, regionally-controlled gradient in the activity of volatile components perpendicular to the beds.

In Figures 8–10 values of \(\mu_{CO_2}\) in calories relative to sample 5-3 are plotted where samples were collected along traverses parallel to three beds (1, 2, and 4). Samples collected within each bed differed in their location both in horizontal and vertical dimensions within the quarry. In contrast to samples collected along the traverse across beds, samples collected within a single bed (with the exception of sample 1C) record no detectible differences in chemical potentials of CO$_2$. For example, all samples collected from bed 2 (Fig. 9) are consistent with \(\mu_{CO_2}\) 14–27 cal less than \(\mu_{CO_2}\) in sample 5-3; samples collected from bed 4 (Fig. 10) are consistent with \(\mu_{CO_2}\) 5–11 cal greater than \(\mu_{CO_2}\) in sample 5-3; samples collected from bed 1
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Table 3. Composition of chlorite from metacarbonate rocks collected in the quarry

A. Samples collected along traverse across beds on the north side of the quarry (see text and Figs. 2, 7 for locations).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Assemblage type</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Assemblage type</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>B72</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12A</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>B78</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12B</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>B87A</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>B91</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B24</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>B95</td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B47</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>B97</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B52</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Samples collected along traverses parallel to beds on the west side of the quarry (see text and Figs. 2, 8, 9, 10 for locations). All samples contain mineral assemblage type (b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Samples collected from east side of quarry in 1972 - 1973; exact locations unknown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Assemblage type</th>
<th>$X_{\text{FeCh}}(\pm0.01)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-1</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-3</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(with the exception of 1C) are consistent with $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ 46-59 cal greater than $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ in sample 5-3. Sample 1C records $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ that could be as little as 2 cal different from $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ recorded by the other four samples collected in bed 1. From Figure 6 and equations 8-13, it can be seen that if no differences in $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ existed during metamorphism between samples in a single bed, then no differences in the chemical potential of H$_2$O or of any other C-O-H-S component existed either.

The lack of detectible differences in $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ between samples within individual beds is significant, because differences in $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ between samples were detected in the traverse across beds (see Fig. 7) over distances similar to the lengths of the traverses parallel to beds (6-10 m). Eleven samples were collected along traverses parallel to beds, but only one of the eleven (1C) is inconsistent with constant $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ during metamorphism within a given bed. In contrast, of the nine samples collected along the traverse across beds plotted in Figure 7, no more than any three samples are consistent with metamorphism at constant $\mu_{\text{CO}_2}$ across beds.

The data in Figures 7-10 are consistent with a simple pattern of chemical potential differences in three dimensions in the outcrop: differences in the chemical potentials of CO$_2$, H$_2$O, and other volatile components of at least 75 calories exist on a scale of less than ten meters in directions that cut across bed-
Table 4. Maximum differences in the chemical potentials of volatile components between rock samples in the quarry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Maximum Difference (calories)</th>
<th>Relative Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>-74 ± 7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>+63 ± 8</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>-211 ± 22</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>-106 ± 11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>+63 ± 3</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>+32 ± 4</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Maximum differences in μ₃ CO₂: samples B52 and B66.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Maximum Difference (calories)</th>
<th>Relative Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>+104 ± 12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>-39 ± 5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>-182 ± 22</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>-91 ± 11</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>+104 ± 12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>+52 ± 6</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences in the chemical potentials of metal oxide components between samples during metamorphism

In Appendix 2, expressions of the form \( \frac{\partial \mu_{\text{CO}_2}}{\partial X_{\text{FeCO}_3}} \) and \( \frac{\partial \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{\partial X_{\text{FeCO}_3}} \) were derived from a thermodynamic analysis of phase equilibria for rock specimens collected. The expressions were then used to compute differences in chemical potentials between samples within the quarry. The same kind of analysis can also be used to derive expressions of the form \( \frac{\partial \mu_{\text{MO}}}{\partial X_{\text{FeCO}_3}} \), where \( \mu_{\text{MO}} \) is the chemical potential of metal oxide components in the system MgO-FeO-CaO-Al₂O₃-K₂O-Na₂O-SiO₂-C-S-H₂O-CO₂. Furthermore, the expressions for metal oxide components can be used to compute differences in the chemical potentials of the metal oxide components between rock samples. Although such computations have not been done systematically for all samples, results are presented in Table 5 for two rock pairs. Because all rocks contain quartz, graphite, and pyrrhotite of constant composition, no differences in \( \mu_{\text{SiO}_2} \), \( \mu_{\text{C}} \), or \( \mu_{\text{S}} \) existed during metamorphism. Differences in the chemical potentials of MgO, FeO, CaO, Al₂O₃, K₂O, and Na₂O did exist between samples during metamorphism. The magnitude of the chemical potential differences in Table 5 are very similar, with the exception of those for MgO and
FeO, to the magnitude of the chemical potential differences for CO₂ and H₂O. Differences in the chemical potentials of MgO and FeO between samples are somewhat larger than the differences for the other oxides.

Effect of minor components in mineral solid solutions on calculated chemical potential differences

The mineral formulas in Table 1A are to some degree idealized, and some consideration of minor components neglected in the formulas is in order. The calculated chemical potential differences in Tables 4 and 5 are not large, and it is desirable to demonstrate that neglected minor components in minerals do not have a significant effect on calculated chemical potential differences. Rather than compute the effects of all neglected minor components, two representative minor components are investigated, and the effect of other minor components is assumed to be similar.

Consideration of a sodium component of muscovite

Analyzed muscovites from rocks collected at the quarry contain a sodium component, Na(Fe,Mg)₉(Al₂,8 Si₁,Os(OH)). The mole fraction of this component is reasonably constant in all rocks: 0.056 esd 0.018. Chemical potential differences in CO₂ and H₂O were computed using the formula for muscovite, K₀.₉₄Na₀.₀₆(Fe₂,Mg)₀,₉₁Al₂,Si₁,Os(OH), rather than that in Table 1A. Computations are detailed at the end of Appendix 2. The calculated chemical potential differences using a Na-bearing muscovite formula, however, do not differ from differences using a Na-free muscovite composition by more than two percent relative. The neglect of a sodium component in muscovite, therefore, has a negligible affect on the values listed in Tables 4 and 5.

Consideration of a titanium component of biotite

The largest neglected minor component in any mineral is the titanium component of biotite. The
atom fraction of Ti in octahedral sites in analyzed biotites is fairly constant: 0.028 ± 0.01. If titanium substitutes in biotite by the mechanism \(2(\text{Fe},\text{Mg}) = \text{Ti}\), then the titanium component of biotite is \(K_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Si}_5\text{O}_{10}\text{(OH)}_2\). The mole fraction of the titanium component in biotite solid solutions is therefore 0.06 and considered to be constant. Chemical potential differences in CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O were computed, considering a constant 0.06 mole fraction titanium component in biotite (rather than using the Ti-free biotite formula in Table 1A). Computations are summarized at the end of Appendix 2. The calculated chemical potential differences using the Ti-bearing biotite composition differed from those in Table 4 by no more than two percent relative. The neglect of a titanium-bearing component in biotite, therefore, has only a very small effect on the values listed in Tables 4 and 5.

If Ti in biotite and Na in muscovite are representative of all other neglected minor components, then the neglect of these minor components has not introduced any serious errors into the calculated results summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

### Chemical potential gradients during metamorphism

The data presented have been in terms of differences in chemical potentials between samples. Gradients in chemical potentials, however, are the fundamental forces that drive mass transfer by diffusion. Because one of the objectives is to evaluate the geometry of mass transfer of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O during metamorphism, gradients in the chemical potentials of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O must be evaluated. Chemical potential gradients may be computed from the data in Figures 7-10 by dividing the difference in \(\mu\), between samples by the distance between samples.

The magnitudes of the maximum gradients in \(\mu_{\text{CO}_2}\) and \(\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\) required by the data along the traverse across beds are 5.9 cal/m (samples B52 and B66) and 6.0 cal/m (samples B87 and B95), respectively (Fig. 7). Figure 11 shows that the data permit much larger chemical potential gradients. For example, the magnitude of the maximum possible gradient in the chemical potential of CO\(_2\) across beds is 27 cal/m between samples B50 and B52. The maximum possible chemical potential gradient is somewhat misleading, because the error bars permit large gradients for closely-spaced samples even when the samples record identical values of calculated \(\Delta\mu\). Two samples with identical values of measured \(\Delta\mu_{\text{CO}_2}\), located one meter apart could be consistent with a gradient in \(\mu_{\text{CO}_2}\) of 22 cal/m, simply because the values of \(\Delta\mu_{\text{CO}_2}\) are uncertain to ±11 cal. Because of the uncertain significance of maximum chemical potential gradients permitted by the data, only chemical potential gradients required by the data will be discussed.

The magnitudes of the maximum required gradients in \(\mu_{\text{CO}_2}\) parallel to beds are much smaller than

### Table 5. Differences in the chemical potentials of selected oxide components between rock samples in the quarry in calories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(x)</th>
<th>(\mu_{\text{B}66} - \mu_{\text{B}52})</th>
<th>(\mu_{\text{B}52} - \mu_{\text{B}3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>+39 ± 8</td>
<td>+80 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>-65 ± 7</td>
<td>-30 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>-47 ± 11</td>
<td>-08 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>-157 ± 29</td>
<td>-198 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>+47 ± 11</td>
<td>+58 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>-47 ± 11</td>
<td>-08 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>-8 ± 54</td>
<td>-185 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>+588 ± 86</td>
<td>+516 ± 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
maximum required gradients in \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) across beds: 0 cal/m (beds 2 and 4)–2.9 cal/m (bed 1). Maximum gradients in \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) parallel to beds are smaller in magnitude: 0 cal/m (beds 2 and 4)–1.1 cal/m (bed 1). If only required chemical potential gradients are considered, maximum gradients in \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) are twice as large across beds as gradients parallel to beds. Maximum gradients in \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) are five times greater across beds than parallel to beds. Note that the existence of any chemical potential gradients parallel to beds is based entirely on one sample (1C, Fig. 8). For two of the beds studied, no gradients in \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) or in \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) can be measured with certainty.

Fluid composition and fluid transfer during metamorphism

Mass transfer of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) across beds during metamorphism

The gradients in the chemical potentials of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) illustrated in Figure 7 record the existence of forces that would tend to drive mass transfer of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) between beds at the quarry site. Mass transfer down chemical potential gradients, however, eventually eliminates the gradients by fluid-mineral reactions that obliterate differences in chemical potentials along the path of mass transfer. The very existence of gradients in \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) across beds, therefore, can be used to estimate upper limits on the amount of mass transfer of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) across beds during some interval of the metamorphic episode.

One upper limit on the amount of mass transfer across beds is the minimum amount of \( \text{CO}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O} \) fluid with composition in equilibrium with a particular bed needed to obliterate the mineral assemblages in nearby beds that record values of \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) different from the chemical potentials in the reference bed. The least upper bound on cross-bed mass transfer of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) will be calculated when two samples record large differences in \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) during metamorphism and when one sample has a small buffer capacity. In this regard, sample B66 is chosen as the reference sample, and sample B52 is paired with it. The least upper bound on cross-bed mass transfer is estimated as the amount of \( \text{CO}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O} \) fluid in equilibrium with a particular bed needed to exhaust the capacity of sample B52 to buffer \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) at values different from \( \mu_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) in B66. Specifically, what is needed to set the upper limit on mass transfer between samples B66 and B52 is: (1) the composition of a \( \text{CO}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O} \) fluid in equilibrium with samples B66 and B52 and (2) a formulation of the buffer reaction in sample B52.

Composition of a \( \text{C-O-H-S} \) fluid in equilibrium with specimen B95. The composition of the fluid in equilibrium with specimen B95 during metamorphism can be estimated from the assemblage ankerite + quartz + calcite + calcic amphibole in the rock. The mineral assemblage records the equilibrium relation:

\[
5\mu_{\text{MgAm}} + 8\mu_{\text{ Qtz}} + \mu_{\text{ H}_2\text{O}} = \mu_{\text{ H}_2\text{O}} + 3\mu_{\text{ CaCO}_3\text{Cc}} + 7\mu_{\text{ CO}_2\text{Fl}}
\]

Relation 14 can be quantified using procedures outlined in Ferry (1976b) and numerical expressions in Skippen (1974). The following values of activity were used, estimated from compositions of minerals in B95 and the activity–composition relations of Ferry (1976b): \( a_{\text{CaCO}_3\text{Cc}} = 0.933; a_{\text{MgAm}} = 0.762; a_{\text{Tz,Am}} = 0.0421 \). At \( T = 450^\circ\text{C}, P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + P_{\text{CO}_2} = P = 3500 \text{ bars} \). \( X_{\text{CO}_2} \) of the fluid in equilibrium with the minerals calcite, quartz, ankerite, and calcic amphibole in specimen B95 can be calculated from the numerical equivalent of relation 14. The 3500 bar pressure dur-
ing metamorphism was taken from Ferry (1976b). Calculated $X_{CO_2} = 0.59$.

For completeness, the composition of a C–O–H–S fluid in equilibrium with the minerals in specimen B95 was calculated following the procedure outlined by Ferry (1976b). Fugacity coefficients for components other than CO$_2$ and H$_2$O were taken from the tabulation of Ryzhenko and Volkov (1971). Results are summarized in Table 6. A C–O–H–S fluid in equilibrium with the minerals in rock B95 is essentially a CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid: 99.9 mole percent CO$_2$ + H$_2$O with CO$_2$/ (CO$_2$ + H$_2$O) = 0.59.

A second calculation of $X_{CO_2}$ for a fluid in equilibrium with the mineral assemblage in specimen B95 can be made independent of any thermochemical data. Furthermore, $X_{CO_2}$ calculated by this second method is significant because it is made without any assumption regarding the nature of the fluid (e.g., whether it is a gas, liquid, intergranular film, etc.). In addition, the estimate is independent of either the temperature or pressure of metamorphism at the quarry. The only assumption made is that CO$_2$ and H$_2$O mix ideally in the fluid over the limited range of compositions in equilibrium with samples. The estimate considers sample B95 and any other sample from the quarry. Sample B52 is chosen because differences in chemical potentials of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O between these two samples have already been presented (Table 4). If both specimens B95 and B52 were in equilibrium with a CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid, then there are four unknown variables: $(X_{CO_2})_{B95}$, $(X_{H_2O})_{B95}$, $(X_{CO_2})_{B52}$, and $(X_{H_2O})_{B52}$, where numerical subscripts refer to the specimens. Table 4 lists two relations among the four variables: $(p_{CO_2})_{B95}/(p_{CO_2})_{B52} = (X_{CO_2})_{B95}/(X_{CO_2})_{B52} = 1.0448$; $(p_{H_2O})_{B95}/(p_{H_2O})_{B52} = (X_{H_2O})_{B95}/(X_{H_2O})_{B52} = 0.9498$. Although the values of $\Delta \mu$ in Table 4 are temperature-dependent, the ratios of partial pressures (and hence values of $X$) are not. Two other relations, $(X_{CO_2})_{B95} + (X_{H_2O})_{B95} = 1$ and $(X_{CO_2})_{B52} + (X_{H_2O})_{B52} = 1$, allow evaluation of all four variables; specifically $(X_{CO_2})_{B95} = 0.55$, in good agreement with the estimate based on thermochemical data for the equilibrium among ankerite, quartz, calcic amphibole, calcite, and CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid.

Sample B95 may be paired with any other sample from the quarry and $X_{CO_2}$ calculated. Values of $(X_{CO_2})_{B95}$ range from 0.55 to 0.63, in each case supporting the value of 0.59 calculated from thermochemical data.

$X_{CO_2}$ of a fluid in equilibrium with any specimen. Once $X_{CO_2}$ of a fluid in equilibrium with one specimen has been estimated (specifically, B95), $X_{CO_2}$ can be estimated for fluids in equilibrium with all others. If $X_{CO_2}$ of a fluid in equilibrium with the mineral assemblage of bed $i$ is $(X_{CO_2})_{i}$, then $(X_{CO_2})_{i} = [(X_{CO_2})_{B95}] \times (\exp \left(\Delta \mu_i/RT\right))$, where $\Delta \mu_i = (\mu_{CO_2})_i - (\mu_{CO_2})_{B95}$ read from Figure 6.

The mineral reaction among muscovite, calcite, quartz, chlorite, ankerite, biotite, plagioclase, and fluid. Finally, the isobaric, isothermal univariant reaction in rocks containing the assemblage muscovite + calcite + quartz + chlorite + ankerite + biotite + two plagioclase feldspars must be evaluated. The exact reaction depends only very slightly on the composition of the minerals involved. For the specific example of the assemblage containing chlorite of $X_{Fe^{3+}} = 0.23$, the reaction is

\[
3.460Qz + 6.470Cc + 1.999Ch
+ 2.701Mu + 1.178An_{85} =
3.001Bi + 1.763Ak + 5.496An_{85}
+ 7.696H_2O + 2.944CO_2
\] (15)

An estimate of the upper limit on the amount of fluid transfer across beds during metamorphism. CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluids in equilibrium with samples B52 and B66 are characterized by $X_{CO_2} = 0.5647$ and 0.6071, respectively (if $X_{CO_2}$ of fluid in equilibrium with specimen B95 is 0.590). When a fluid of $X_{CO_2} = 0.6071$ makes contact with sample B52, reaction 15 will proceed in an effort to change $X_{CO_2}$ of the fluid to 0.5647. Reaction 15 will proceed either until the fluid, initially at $X_{CO_2} = 0.6071$, is changed in composition to $X_{CO_2} = 0.5647$ or until the least abundant reactant mineral (chlorite) is exhausted by the reaction. Every 1000 cm$^3$ of rock B52 contains 8 cm$^3$ chloride ($X_{Fe^{3+}} = 0.20$), which corresponds to 0.0380 moles chloride.

### Table 6. Composition of a C–O–H–S fluid in equilibrium with the mineral assemblage in sample B95. Mole fraction $X_{FeS}$ in pyrrhotite is 0.945. See text for details of the computations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_{CO_2}$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{CO_2}$</td>
<td>2065 bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{H_2O}$</td>
<td>1435 bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$lnf_{S_2}$</td>
<td>-15.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$lnf_{O_2}$</td>
<td>-57.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{FeS}$</td>
<td>1.59 bars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P* values are given in bars.
from molar volume data of McOnie et al. (1975). A mass balance calculation indicates that 1.374 moles fluid ($X_{CO_2} = 0.6071$) will exhaust 0.0380 moles chlorite through reaction 15. The volumetric fluid–rock ratio needed to destroy the buffer capacity of sample B52 is 49.9 cm$^3$ fluid/1000 cm$^3$ rock = 0.050 (from molar volume data of Burnham et al., 1969 and of Shmonov and Shmulovich, 1974).

The volumetric fluid–rock ratio needed to obliterate the buffer capacity of sample B52 depends on the $X_{CO_2}$ of the fluid with which it comes in contact. In general, for fluids that deviate more greatly from $X_{CO_2} = 0.6071$, the ratio is less than 0.050. For example, if the fluid is pure CO$_2$ ($X_{CO_2} = 1$), the required fluid–rock ratio is only 0.006. If the fluid is pure H$_2$O, the fluid–rock ratio is less than approximately 0.001 (depending on the exact location of the curve for reaction 15 on the H$_2$O-rich side of a T–$X_{CO_2}$ diagram).

**Mass transfer of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O parallel to beds during metamorphism**

The largest difference in $\mu_{CO_2}$ that can be measured with certainty between samples in bed 1 is 2 cal (Fig. 8). One upper limit on the amount of mass transfer parallel to beds is the amount of CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid with composition in equilibrium with samples 1A, 1B, 1D, and 1E needed to obliterate the mineral assemblage in sample 1C that buffers $\mu_{CO_2}$ at a value 2 cal less than $\mu_{CO_2}$ in the other four samples collected in the bed. Samples 1A, 1B, 1D, and 1E are consistent with equilibrium between minerals and a fluid with $\mu_{CO_2}$ 46 cal greater than $\mu_{CO_2}$ in sample 5-3 (corresponding to a CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid with $X_{CO_2} = 0.5927$). If sample 1C was in equilibrium with a CO$_2$–H$_2$O fluid with $\mu_{CO_2}$ 44 cal greater than $\mu_{CO_2}$ in sample 5-3, the fluid is characterized by $X_{CO_2} = 0.5919$. The least abundant reactant mineral in sample 1C is chlorite, and every 1000 cm$^3$ of rock 1C contains 4 cm$^3$ chlorite ($X_{PYR} = 0.27$) which corresponds to 0.019 moles chlorite (McOnie et al., 1975). A mass balance calculation indicates that 39.794 moles fluid ($X_{CO_2} = 0.5927$) will exhaust 0.019 moles chlorite through reaction 15. The volumetric fluid–rock ratio needed to destroy the buffer capacity of sample 1C is 1429 cm$^3$ fluid/1000 cm$^3$ rock = 1.429.

Because samples from beds 2 and 4 are consistent with metamorphism at constant chemical potentials of volatile components (no differences in $\Delta \mu$, within beds), any amount of mass transfer of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O could occur along beds 2 and 4, providing the CO$_2$ and H$_2$O were contained in a fluid (or fluid-like) phase in equilibrium with the minerals present in beds 2 and 4.

**Constraints on the geometry of mass transfer of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O during metamorphism**

The estimates of upper bounds on mass transfer of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O during metamorphism refer to only a specific time portion of the entire metamorphic episode. Because the estimates refer to the buffer assemblages in the rocks discussed, the upper limits on mass transfer apply only to that portion of the rocks' metamorphic history following the formation of the buffer assemblage. Consequently it is difficult to compare the estimated upper limits with other calculations of amounts of fluid transfer during metamorphism. For example, Ferry (1978b) estimated amounts of fluid transfer up to fluid/rock = 0.406 near the quarry, but these values refer to decidedly different metamorphic conditions at higher temperatures close to the granitic stocks in Figure 1. The volume of fluid produced by dehydration–decarbonation reactions in rocks during metamorphism at the quarry site is approximately 230 cm$^3$ fluid/1000 cm$^3$ rock (estimated from the mineralogy of sample 5-3 in Table 7 and the prograde mineral reactions listed by Ferry, 1976a). The volume of fluid derived from dehydration–decarbonation reactions, however, was produced in rocks over an interval of time longer than the time the buffer assemblages in the quarry rocks existed.

In spite of the uncertainty as to whether the estimated upper limits on fluid transfer at the quarry site are large or small relative to actual amounts of fluid transfer during metamorphism, the estimated upper limits on fluid transfer do provide some constraints on the geometry of fluid transfer during metamorphism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Modal percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Modal proportions of minerals in sample 5–3 in volume percent (based on 1000 counted points)
ism at the quarry site. During the time interval that
the buffer assemblages existed in the rocks at the
quarry, if the volume of fluid transfer corresponded
to volumetric fluid/rock values of ~0.050 or less,
fluid transfer could have occurred in any direction.
During the same time interval if fluid transfer were
in volumetric amounts of ~0.05 < fluid/rock < ~1.5,
then fluid transfer could have occurred parallel to
beds but not across beds. Cross-bed fluid transfer of
these volumes would have eliminated the chemical
potential differences now measured between beds. If
fluid transfer in the same time interval were in vol-
umetric amounts of fluid/rock > ~1.5, then fluid
transfer could have occurred parallel to some beds
(for example, beds 2 and 4) but not others (for ex-
ample, bed 1).

Because of the uncertainty in the actual amounts
of fluid transfer during metamorphism, the data do
not require any particular geometric pattern of fluid
transfer at the quarry site. If fluid transfer occurred
in moderate volumes, however, then the transfer
must have taken place preferentially in directions
parallel to bedding in the quarry. The data are con-
sistent with (but do not require) fluid transfer parallel
to bedding even at low volumes of fluid transfer.

Discussion and conclusions

The pattern of chemical potential differences in three
dimensions at the quarry site

The differences in chemical potentials of volatile
and metal oxide components, estimated from the
study of mineralogy and phase equilibria of rocks
collected at the outcrop, have a simple, regular geo-
metric pattern. Lithologic layering at the outcrop is
vertical and strikes N25E. With the exception of one
sample, there are no detectable differences in chem-
ical potentials parallel to layering, either in the hori-
zontal or vertical dimensions. Significant differences
in the chemical potentials of components, however,
are observed between different layers along a tra-
verse approximately horizontal and perpendicular to
the layering. Most differences are on the order of sev-
eral tens of calories.

Magnitude of observed chemical potential differences
relative to other studies

Three other studies (Spear, 1977b; Rumble, 1978;
Grew, 1978) have documented chemical potential
differences in single outcrops using the same analysis
as in this report. Grew, Rumble, and Spear report
maximum differences in $\mu_{H_2O}$ that are up to one order
of magnitude larger than those in this study (300-
1200 cal vs. 75 cal in Table 4). Grew and Rumble,
however, report maximum differences in $\mu_{O_2}$ (4500-
42,000 cal) that are two orders of magnitude larger
than those tabulated in Table 4. The presence of
graphite in all rocks of this study accounts for the
much smaller differences in $\mu_{O_2}$ reported here. Of the
three studies, only Rumble reports the distance be-
tween samples; for the outcrop he studied, maximum
gradients in $\mu_{H_2O}$ and $\mu_{O_2}$ are 74.7 cal/m and 4902
cal/m, respectively. The gradients reported by
Rumble are much larger than the maximum $\mu_{H_2O}$ and
$\mu_{O_2}$ gradients determined from my data (6.0 cal/m
and 5.9 cal/m, respectively).

Volatile vs. metal oxide components

Tables 4 and 5 list chemical potential differences in
both volatile components ($H_2O$, $CO_2$, $CH_4$, etc.) and
metal oxide components ($MgO$, $FeO$, $Al_2O_3$, etc.).
Differences in chemical potentials of metal oxide
components are generally similar in magnitude to
differences in those of the volatile components. Dif-
fences in $\mu_{FeO}$ and $\mu_{MgO}$, however, are up to five
times greater than differences in the chemical poten-
tials of other components.

Local variations in fluid pressure or in the concentra-
tion of non-C-O-H-S components of the fluid during
metamorphism

The shape of the loci of points satisfying the condi-
tion of constant fluid pressure for a C-O-H-S fluid
coexisting with graphite at constant $\mu_{bg}$ is shown in
the inset to Figure 6. Samples of assemblage type (b)
plot in an array that is consistent with equilibrium
with a C-O-H-S fluid (positive differences in $\mu_{CO_2}$
between samples are accompanied by negative differ-
ences in $\mu_{H_2O}$). The behavior of type (b) assemblages
is significant because nowhere in the determination
of the $\mu_{CO_2}$ and $\mu_{H_2O}$ differences was equilibrium with
a fluid in any way considered or implied. The pattern
of assemblage types (b) and (d), taken together, how-
ever, is inconsistent with equilibrium between min-
ger assemblages and a C-O-H-S fluid of the same
fluid pressure. The inconsistency between the array
of points in Figure 6 representing the samples from
the quarry and the shape of the curve for a C-O-H-
S fluid of constant fluid pressure has two possible ex-
planations: first, the thermodynamic analysis does
not consider non-C-O-H-S components, such as Na,
K, Cl, Ar, and F, that could be in metamorphic fluid.
The presence of these components in the fluid and variation in their concentration from sample locality to sample locality during metamorphism might account for some points in Figure 6 lying off the curve for a C-O-H-S fluid of constant fluid pressure. Second, the mineral assemblages may record differences in fluid pressure during metamorphism. At present there is no way to determine which of the two possibilities is correct.

For two samples 1 and 2, \((\mu_1) - (\mu_2) = R T \ln \left\{ \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right\} \). The magnitude of the maximum possible differences in fluid pressure or in concentration of non-C-O-H-S components between samples in the quarry can therefore be quantitatively evaluated, where sample 1 is B52 and sample 2 is B66. Lithostatic and fluid pressure during metamorphism in the area was near 3500 bars (Ferry, 1976b). If the value of \(X_{CO_2} \) for a fluid in equilibrium with sample B95 is 0.59, the \(X_{CO_2} \) of a fluid in equilibrium with sample B66 is also 0.59, corresponding to \(p_{CO_2} = 2065 \) bars and \(p_{H_2O} = 1435 \) bars. From the above relation between chemical potentials and partial pressures and the data in Table 3A and Figure 6, the fluid in equilibrium with sample B52 is characterized by \(p_{CO_2} = 1927 \) bars and \(p_{H_2O} = 1471 \) bars (\(p_{CO_2} + p_{H_2O} = 3398 \) bars). Samples B66 and B52 indicate that if differences in fluid pressure between beds did exist during metamorphism, they were approximately 100 bars or less. This fluid pressure difference could likely be supported by the strength of rocks (Brace et al., 1970). If non-C-O-H-S components were contained in a metamorphic fluid of constant fluid pressure, the analysis of samples B66 and B52 indicates that their concentration varied over the outcrop on the order of 0.03 mole fraction or less. For example, if the mole fraction of non-C-O-H-S components in the metamorphic fluid was zero at location 866, it was a maximum of 0.03 at location B52. Quantitative evaluation of maximum differences in fluid pressure and in the concentration of non-C-O-H-S components in the fluid, permitted by the data in Figure 6, indicates that either possibility is a plausible explanation for the inconsistency between the array of data points in Figure 6 and equilibrium of all samples with a C-O-H-S fluid of constant \(\mu_{CO_2} \) and constant fluid pressure.

**Physical constraints of fluid transfer during metamorphism**

The layering in the quarry is twofold. First, there is vertical, N25E oriented compositional layering (bedding). Second, there is a schistosity imparted by ori-
entation of chlorite and muscovite grains parallel to axial planes of folds in the region (also oriented N25E, vertical). Folding in the region, and hence the present orientation of the layering, predated the peak of metamorphism. The layering, therefore, formed natural barriers during the metamorphic event. The three-dimensional pattern of chemical potential differences in the quarry suggests (but does not require) little fluid transfer across layering during metamorphism. The lack of significant chemical potential differences parallel to layering is consistent with any amount of fluid transfer parallel to layering. Fluid transfer during metamorphism may have been controlled, therefore, by sedimentary bedding and (identically-oriented) schistosity. A similar model of fluid transfer has already been presented (Ferry, 1978b), based on a regional study of variations in the volume of fluid transfer during metamorphism.
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