

## Compositional variations of the Unterrupsroth beidellite

P. H. NADEAU, V. C. FARMER, W. J. MCHARDY AND D. C. BAIN

Department of Mineral Soils  
The Macaulay Institute for Soil Research  
Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen AB9 2QJ, Scotland, UK

### Abstract

The Unterrupsroth beidellite is shown to be composed of separable materials of varying montmorillonitic and beidellitic character. After Na-saturation, the beidellitic portion is concentrated in the  $>3 \mu\text{m}$  fraction whereas a more montmorillonitic portion is concentrated in the  $<1.4 \mu\text{m}$  fraction. This fractionation is indicated by infrared spectroscopy (IR) and supported by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) chemical analysis and  $^{27}\text{Al}$  and  $^{29}\text{Si}$  solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR). Differences in the morphology of the two materials are also established by electron microscopy. The beidellitic portion is composed of large complex aggregate grains whose surfaces exhibit a delicate rosette habit, whereas the montmorillonitic fraction is composed of very thin, well defined, curled sheets and plates, in addition to irregular masses. X-ray diffraction (XRD) confirms the smectite nature of the various size fractions but is unable to distinguish any structural differences between them. The results demonstrate the utility of IR, XRF and NMR in the identification of beidellite in smectite clay materials. As the montmorillonitic and beidellitic fractions have similar layer charge, the difference in their dispersibility when Na-saturated is related to the site of the layer charge and/or morphological differences of the mineral aggregates. The beidellitic fraction disperses when Li-saturated.

### Introduction

Although the status of beidellite has been the subject of some controversy, (Ross and Hendricks, 1945; Weir and Greene-Kelly, 1962) it is now accepted that the mineral represents the Al-for-Si tetrahedrally substituted end member of the dioctahedral smectite series whereas montmorillonite represents the Mg-for-Al octahedrally substituted end member (Brindley, 1980). Complete characterization of beidellitic clays is not always possible because the bulk clay material is often heterogeneous in composition, being found primarily in veins of hydrothermally altered igneous rocks, often in association with zeolites. Moreover, because of the nature of their geological occurrence, beidellitic clay deposits are limited in extent and most of the classic localities are now, unfortunately, depleted.

The present investigation concerns a white clay sample of the Unterrupsroth Beidellite, number B2 collected in 1959 by A. Weiss (courtesy of G. Lagaly), and is analogous to sample R studied by Glaeser and Mering, (1975). The Unterrupsroth clay (Fig. 1) occurs either in veins between pillars of phonolite (where the clay is relatively pure with a reddish color) or in almond-shaped cavities in association with zeolites (Heide, 1928). Because initial work indicated that the beidellite portion of sample B2 is concentrated in the coarse size fractions of the Na-dispersed material, a more detailed investigation was undertaken to characterize fully the various size fractions of the clay. Indirect tech-

niques to detect beidellite by X-ray diffraction (XRD) involving Li-saturation, and heat treatment (Hoffman and Klemen, 1950; Greene-Kelly, 1952) have been used extensively, but are unfortunately, subject to some uncertainties (Byström Brusewitz, 1975). Because of this, more direct analytical methods were employed here to determine the beidellitic nature of the Unterrupsroth material.

### Experimental procedure

Sample B2 from Unterrupsroth, Germany, was characterized by XRD (Fe filtered  $\text{CoK}\alpha$  radiation on a Philips 2 kW diffractometer) and infrared spectroscopy (IR). The sample was then Na-saturated and separated into  $>3.0 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $3.0\text{--}1.4 \mu\text{m}$  and  $<1.4 \mu\text{m}$  fractions by centrifugation. The size fractions were again characterized by XRD using the sedimented aggregate and powder camera techniques, (Brown and Brindley, 1980). IR absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 580-B spectrometer over a range of  $4000\text{--}200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  using 13-mm-diameter KBr pressed disks containing 2 mg of sample. Weakly adsorbed water was removed by heating the disks for 16 h at  $150^\circ\text{C}$ . Certain samples were also examined by scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM) and  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and  $^{27}\text{Al}$  solid state nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometry (NMR). Portions of the various clay fractions were also Ca-saturated and analyzed by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) using the method of Norrish and Hutton (1969) for sample fusion and corrections for background and interelement effects. The  $\text{K}\alpha$  lines were measured on a Philips PW 1540 spectrometer equipped with a 2 kW Cr tube and a flow proportional counter fitted with a  $1 \mu\text{m}$  polypropylene window, using pulse-height discriminator settings appropriate to each element.




Fig. 1. Generalized geologic map modified from Walther and Zitzmann (1969) showing Unterrupsroth beidellite sample locality. Solid areas represent phonolite, dotted areas Tertiary basalt, dashed areas Triassic limestone, and unshaded areas Triassic (New Red) sandstone. Faults are indicated by heavy lines.

**Results**

The X-ray diffraction trace of the air-dried sedimented aggregates of the bulk material shows that it is a smectite (Fig. 2A). Notable features are the 15Å basal spacing, which indicates that the clay is saturated with a divalent




Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction patterns (maxima in Å) of air-dried sedimented aggregates of Unterrupsroth clay,  $\text{CoK}\alpha$  radiation; A = bulk sample, B = Na-saturated  $<3 \mu\text{m} > 1.4 \mu\text{m}$ , C = Na-saturated  $<1.4 \mu\text{m}$ . Higher basal spacing of bulk sample (15.0Å) indicates that the clay in the natural state is saturated with divalent exchangeable cations.




Fig. 3. X-ray powder camera photographs of the  $>3.0 \mu\text{m}$  (A) and  $<1.4 \mu\text{m}$  (B) fractions of the Na-saturated Unterrupsroth clay. Line numbers refer to Table 1. Note the similarity of the two powder photographs.

Table 1. X-ray powder film data

Line (Fig. 4)	d (Å) A >3.0 μm	I*	d (Å) B <1.4 μm	I*	00l, hk indices after Brindley, 1980
1	12.4	100	12.4	100	001
2	6.19	40	6.2	50	002
3	4.47	70	4.48	80	02, 11
4	3.1	50	3.1	60	004
5	2.57	20	2.57	25	20, 13
6	2.231	2	2.238	5	04, 22
7	2.060	5	2.064	10	006
8	1.691	5	1.693	10	24, 31, 15
9	1.494	10	1.496	10	06, 33
10	1.293	2	1.293	2	26, 40
11	1.241	2	1.240	2	0010, 17, 35, 42

\* I - approximate intensity

cation, probably  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  (MacEwan and Wilson, 1980), and the presence of the *hk* diffraction band at  $4.49\text{\AA}$  which shows that some of the material is randomly oriented. The XRD traces of the sedimented aggregates of the Na-dispersed finer materials (Fig. 2B-C) are those of Na-saturated smectites and do not show the *hk* band present in the bulk material. The  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  and  $<1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  fractions were examined as random powders using X-ray film methods. The results (Fig. 3, Table 1) confirm that both fractions are Na-saturated smectites, the relationship between the silicate layers being turbostratic (Brindley, 1980). The size fractions are indistinguishable based on XRD data alone. Minor amounts of NaCl present are responsible for the weak lines at  $2.82$  and  $2.0\text{\AA}$ .

The IR spectra of the three size fractions (Fig. 4) demonstrate some concentration of the beidellitic portion (Si-O-Al bands at  $818\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $770\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) in the  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  coarse fraction and concentration of the montmorillonitic portion ( $\text{MgAl}(\text{OH})_2$  band  $845\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) in the  $<1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  fine fraction (Farmer and Russell, 1967).

The  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  material, when examined by SEM, is composed of large grains of complex clay aggregates (Fig. 5a-d). The surface of the aggregates exhibits a delicate "rosette" pattern of platy clay layers, showing a lack of preferred orientation. The finer grained portions when examined by TEM (Fig. 5e-f) are primarily composed of well-defined, often very thin flakes which commonly show a tendency to fold or "scroll" (c.f. Mering and Oberlin, 1971; Guven and Pease, 1975) and other irregular masses which are typical of montmorillonites.

The  $^{27}\text{Al}$  and  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectra for the  $<1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  and  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  fractions are shown on Figure 6. The  $^{27}\text{Al}$  spectra show a higher proportion of tetrahedral Al ( $69.1\ \text{ppm}$ ) in the  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  fraction than in the  $<1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  material. This observation is supported by the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  spectra where the effect of Al for Si tetrahedral substitution is indicated by the three silicons, one aluminum nearest neighbor maximum at  $-87.3$  ( $-87.7$ ) ppm compared with four silicons nearest neighbor maximum at  $-92.3$  ( $-92.6$ ) ppm.

The XRF chemical analyses of the three size fractions

indicate that the samples are primarily composed of  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{MgO}$  (Table 2). The coarse fraction is enriched in Al and deficient in Si and Mg relative to the finer fractions. In order to establish that the Ca content of the Ca-saturated clay was totally exchangeable, determinations for Ca as well as for S and Cl were performed on Sr-saturated samples. The results revealed that there was  $<0.05$  weight percent of these elements present.

The structural formulae based on the chemical analyses (Table 2) confirm the IR and NMR data showing increased Al for Si tetrahedral substitution in the  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  fraction. Interestingly the Mg for Al octahedral substitution decreases proportionally with increasing Al for Si tetrahedral substitution and thus the overall layer charge, although quite high for a smectite, is approximately constant for the three size fractions at about 1.2 per formula unit. This information is in good agreement with the interlayer Ca contents of the Ca-saturated clays. Ammonium cation exchange capacities (CEC) on Li-saturated samples show uniform CEC's of the size fractions of about  $160\ \text{mEq}/100\ \text{g}$  on an ignited basis, which is also in good agreement with the interlayer Ca and total layer charge (Table 2).

## Discussion

The analytical results demonstrate the differences in Al-for-Si tetrahedral and Mg-for-Al octahedral isomorphous substitution of the various size fractions of the Unterrup-roth beidellite. The XRD data establish the isostructural nature of the clay. IR is useful in determining the beidellitic vs. montmorillonitic content. The intensity of the  $818$  and  $770\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  bands are not, however, a sensitive indicator of




Fig. 4. Infrared spectra of the Na-saturated  $>3.0\ \mu\text{m}$  (A),  $<3.0\ \mu\text{m} >1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  (B) and  $<1.4\ \mu\text{m}$  (C) size fractions of the Unterrup-roth clay. Note increase in intensity of absorption bands at  $818$  and  $770$  and decrease in intensity at  $845$  with increasing particle size.




Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of the Na-saturated Unterrupstoth  $>3.0 \mu\text{m}$  fraction; e and f transmission electron micrographs of the  $<3.0 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $>1.4 \mu\text{m}$  and  $<1.4 \mu\text{m}$  fractions respectively. Bar scales are in  $\mu\text{m}$ .

tetrahedral Al. They may reflect the presence of long-ranged Si-O-Al-O-S structures, whose concentrations will depend on the nature and amount of the Al substitution in the tetrahedral layer. In contrast, the band at  $845 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which arises from AlMgOH groupings, is more nearly proportional to octahedral Mg content, and indicates the pres-

ence of Mg in the  $>3 \mu\text{m}$  fraction, where it contributes only 19% of the total layer charge.

Although the Unterrupstoth beidellite is heterogeneous in composition, the calculated structural formulae, which necessarily assume homogeneity, are useful in assessing the extent of isomorphous substitution within the various size




Fig. 6.  $^{27}\text{Al}$  and  $^{29}\text{Si}$  nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis in 9.395 T of the  $>3.0$  and  $<1.4$   $\mu\text{m}$  fractions of the Na-saturated Unterrupstoth clay.

fractions. The  $>3.0$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction whose chemical formula on a half cell basis is,  $\text{R}_{0.61}(\text{Al}_{1.87}\text{Fe}_{0.02}^{3+}\text{Mg}_{0.12})$   $(\text{Si}_{3.50}\text{Al}_{0.50})\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$ , has an almost identical composition to that of the beidellite from Black Jack Mine, Idaho (Weir and Greene-Kelly, 1962). In addition, however, the coarse fraction has some Mg-for-Al octahedral substitution which gives it a higher overall charge. The  $<1.4$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction, although having lower Al-for-Si tetrahedral and higher Mg-for-Al octahedral isomorphous substitution, still derives more than half of its total layer charge from tetrahedral substitution.

The work of Lippmaa et al. (1980), Klinoski et al. (1981), Smith et al. (1983) and de Jong et al. (1983) has established criteria whereby the degree of silica polymerisation, the amount of Al for Si substitution, and the proportion of Al in tetrahedral as opposed to octahedral sites can be derived from  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and  $^{27}\text{Al}$  NMR spectra. The NMR data presented here clearly show that there is more tetrahedral Al in the  $>3.0$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction and less, but still some, in the  $<1.4$

$\mu\text{m}$  fraction. Due to differences in peak widths and possibly also in side band structure it is not possible to make a quantitative assessment of the proportion of  $\text{Al}^{\text{IV}}$  by simply comparing the peak height of the  $\text{Al}^{\text{IV}}$  signal (69.1 ppm) with that of the  $\text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$  (2.4 ppm). However these problems do not arise for the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR signals and the ratio of  $\text{Al}^{\text{IV}}/\text{Si}$  can be calculated from the formula

$$\frac{2\text{Si}^2 + (\text{Si}^1 - 4\text{Si}^2)/3}{\text{Si}^0 + \text{Si}^1 + \text{Si}^2}$$

where  $\text{Si}^0$ ,  $\text{Si}^1$  and  $\text{Si}^2$  represent the intensity of the NMR peaks for Si atoms with zero ( $\sim -92$  ppm), one ( $\sim -87$  ppm), and two ( $\sim -82$  ppm) first neighbor tetrahedral sites occupied by Al. The ratio of  $\text{Si}^0:\text{Si}^1:\text{Si}^2$  is around 42:25:2 for the  $>3.0$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction, and 43:14:1 for the  $<1.4$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction. These ratios predict an Al substitution per unit cell of 0.98 for the  $>3.0$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction, and 0.67 for the  $<1.4$   $\mu\text{m}$  fraction. The nearly exact agreement with the analytical data may be somewhat fortuitous, in that the

Table 2. Chemical analyses (by X-ray fluorescence) and structural formulae of Ca-saturated sample

	<1.4 $\mu\text{m}$	>1.4<3 $\mu\text{m}$	>3 $\mu\text{m}$		<1.4 $\mu\text{m}$	>1.4<3 $\mu\text{m}$	>3 $\mu\text{m}$
SiO <sub>2</sub>	48.03	48.97	45.08	Tetrahedral			
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.01	0.01	0.04	Si	7.33	7.25	7.00
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	23.23	24.76	25.83	Al	0.67	0.75	1.00
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *	0.03	0.05	0.34	Octahedral			
				Al	3.51	3.57	3.73
MgO	2.24	1.93	1.00	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.00	0.01	0.04
CaO	3.48	3.62	3.67	Mg	0.51	0.42	0.23
Na <sub>2</sub> O	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	$\epsilon$	4.02	4.00	4.00
K <sub>2</sub> O	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	Interlayer			
L.O.I	22.10	18.74	22.89	Ca	0.57	0.57	0.61
Total	99.12	98.08	98.87	Total layer charge	1.12	1.17	1.23
				NH <sub>4</sub> C.E.C.+ (mEq/100 g)	157	157	162

\* Total iron as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
N.D. - not determined

+ Determined on Li-saturated material

calculation is sensitive to the value for Si<sup>2</sup>, which cannot be measured with any accuracy. Nevertheless, it does appear that <sup>29</sup>Si NMR can give a good estimate of the degree of substitution of Al for Si in clays.

The results also demonstrate that the Unterruproth sample B2 can be readily fractionated into beidellitic fractions and montmorillonitic fractions by Na-saturation and centrifugation into the coarse (>3.0  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and fine (<1.4  $\mu\text{m}$ ) fractions respectively. The dispersibility of the material is not related to the total layer charge of the clay as shown in Table 2. Although the beidellitic fraction did not disperse in the Na-form, it was almost completely dispersible in the Li-form. It appears therefore, that this property is a function of tetrahedral substitution (rather than of total charge) and/or the morphological character of the mineral aggregates. Other workers have also found variations in the nature of various size fractions of smectite clays (Grim and Kulbicki, 1961).

The compositional variation described here suggests a complex genetic history of the material, which is most probably related to its geological occurrence. An early investigation of the clay concluded that it is a crystallization product from hydrothermal aqueous solution after solidification of the igneous host rock (Heide, 1928). The morphology exhibited by the aggregate grains of the coarse size fraction (Fig. 5, a-d) supports this view. Hydrothermal argillization commonly produces a wide variety of clay and other mineral products, a consequence of their complex thermal and chemical histories (cf. Schoen et al., 1974). Because the beidellitic portion occurs in coarse aggregate grains it probably formed prior to fine grained montmorillonitic material, suggesting a paragenetic sequence beidellite  $\rightarrow$  montmorillonite. Further research of these clay materials is required, however, and should consider in greater detail the geological parameters related to their occurrence.

### Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to Prof. G. Lagaly for kindly supplying the clay sample and providing background information, to Dr. J. D. Russell and Mr. A. R. Fraser for IR and CEC determinations, to Dr. C. A. Fyfe for the NMR data, to Dr. J. M. Tait for TEM data, and to I. Black, D. Duthie, L. Forsyth, S. Buchan and Y. Bisset for technical and clerical assistance.

### References

- Brindley, G. W. (1980) Order-disorder in clay mineral structures. In G. W. Brindley and G. Brown, Eds., *Crystal Structures of Clay Minerals and their X-ray Identification*, p. 125-195. Mineralogical Society, London.
- Brown, G. and Brindley, G. W. (1980) X-ray diffraction procedures for clay mineral identification. In G. W. Brindley and G. Brown, Eds., *Crystal Structures of Clay Minerals and their X-ray Identification*, p. 305-359. Mineralogical Society, London.
- Byström Brusewitz, A. M. (1975) Studies on the Li test to distinguish between beidellite and montmorillonite. In S. W. Bailey, Ed., *Proceedings of the 5th International Clay Conference*, p. 419-429. Applied Publishers Ltd., Wilmette.
- de Jong, B. H., Schramm, C. M. and Parziale, V. E. (1983) Polymerization of silicate and aluminate tetrahedra in glasses, melts, and aqueous solutions—IV. Aluminum coordination in glasses and aqueous solution and comments on the aluminum avoidance principle. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 47, 1223-1236.
- Farmer, V. C. and Russell, J. D. (1967) Infrared absorption spectrometry in clay studies. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 15, 121-142.
- Glaeser, R. and Mering, M. J. (1975) Influence de taux de substitution isomorphe en couche tétraédrique sur les propriétés et l'organisation structurale des smectites dioctaédriques. In S. W. Bailey, Ed., *Proceedings of the 5th International Clay Conference*, p. 173-183. Applied Publishers Ltd., Wilmette.
- Greene-Kelly, R. (1952) The identification of montmorillonoids in clays. *The Journal of Soil Science*, 4, 233-237.
- Grim, R. E. and Kulbicki, G. (1961) Montmorillonite: High tem-

- perature reactions and classifications. *American Mineralogist*, 46, 1329–1369.
- Güven, N. and Pease, R. W. (1975) Electron-optical investigation on montmorillonites—II: Morphological variations in the intermediate members of the montmorillonite–beidellite series. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 23, 187–191.
- Heide, F. (1928) Beiträge zur mineralogie und petrographie der Rhön. *Chemie der Erde*, 3, 91–97.
- Hofmann, U. and Klemen, R. (1950) Verlust der austauschfähigkeit von Lithiumionen an bentonit durch Erhitzung. *Zeitschrift für Anorganische Chemie*, 262, 95–99.
- Klinowski, J., Thomas, J. M., Fyfe, C. A. and Hartman, J. S. (1981) Application of magic-angle-spinning silicon-29 nuclear magnetic resonance. Evidence for two different kinds of silicon-aluminium ordering in zeolitic structures. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 85, 2590–2594.
- Lippmaa, E., Magi, M., Samoson, A., Tarmak, M. and Engelhardt, G. (1981) Investigation of the structure of zeolites by solid-state high-resolution  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 103, 4992–4996.
- Mering, J. and Oberlin, A. (1971) The smectites. In J. A. Gard, Ed., *The Electron-Optical Investigation of Clays*, p. 193–229. Mineralogical Society, London.
- MacEwan, D. M. C. and Wilson, M. J. (1980) Interlayer and intercalation complexes of clay minerals. In G. W. Brindley and G. Brown, Eds., *Crystal structures of clay minerals and their X-ray identification*, p. 197–248. Mineralogical Society, London.
- Norrish, K. and Hutton, J. T. (1969). An accurate X-ray spectrographic method for the analysis of a wide range of geological samples. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 33, 431–453.
- Ross, C. S. and Hendricks, S. B. (1945) Minerals of the montmorillonite group: Their origin and relation to soils and clays. United States Geological Survey Professional Paper, 205-B, 23–79.
- Schoen, R., White, D. E. and Hemley, J. J. (1974) Argillization by descending acid at Steamboat Springs, Nevada. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 22, 1–22.
- Smith, K. A., Kirkpatrick, R. J., Oldfield, E. and Henderson, D. M. (1983) High-resolution silicon-29 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic study of rock forming silicates. *American Mineralogist*, 68, 1206–1215.
- Walther, H. W. and Zitzmann, S. A. (1969) Geologische Karte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover.
- Weir, A. H. and Greene-Kelly, R. (1962) Beidellite. *American Mineralogist*, 47, 137–146.

*Manuscript received, October 3, 1984;  
accepted for publication, April 25, 1985.*