Kegelite from Tsumeb, Namibia: A redefinition
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ABSTRACT

Kegelite, previously an incompletely described species, is redefined. It is monoclinic, $A2/m$, $A2$, or $Am$, with $a = 21.04(1)$, $b = 15.55(1)$, $c = 8.98(6)$ Å, and $\beta = 91.0(1)$. The new chemical formula is $\text{Pb}_4\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_4(\text{SO}_4)_4(\text{CO}_3)_4(\text{OH})_3\text{O}_{30}$, with $Z = 3$.

INTRODUCTION

Kegelite was first described from the Tsumeb Mine in Namibia by Medenbach and Schmetzer (1976). The material they studied was not of sufficient quality to permit single-crystal studies, but they were still able to characterize it as a Pb-Zn-Al-sulfosilicate, reporting the formula $\text{Pb}_4(\text{Zn,Fe})_2\text{Al}_4\text{Si}_{12}\text{S}_2\text{O}_{45}$. We have restudied kegelite, using Tsumeb material that has been found to be cospecific with type kegelite; the X-ray powder-diffraction patterns of these two samples are nearly identical. We provide unit-cell data for kegelite and, because our chemical data are at variance with those previously reported by Medenbach and Schmetzer (1976), we propose a new formula on an interim basis. A more precise characterization of the chemical formula of kegelite must await the discovery of material suitable for a crystal structure determination. The sample studied (NMNH no. 147460) is in the collection of the Smithsonian Institution. This redefinition of kegelite was approved by the Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names, I.M.A.

DESCRIPTION

The sample studied consists of a small, compact mass of pearly white kegelite intimately associated with quartz; some relict galena is also present. The kegelite forms colorless, translucent to transparent, chlorite-like, platy 0.3-mm crystals, with (100) as the dominant form and a perfect (100) cleavage. The crystals are extremely flexible and are distorted by any contact. The loose, porous nature of the available kegelite aggregates caused determination of the density to be difficult, but it was determined by the position of the densest flakes relative to reference mineral grains distributed in a density gradient in supersaturated Clerici solution (for details see appendix 1). Kegelite was dispersed over a wide density range, apparently owing to varied amounts of adhering and included air bubbles. A small proportion of kegelite flakes was denser than olivenite from the Tintic district, Utah (Mrose obtained a density of 4.46 g/cm$^3$ for material from this area; see Palache et al., 1951). Kegelite flakes were noted down to the level of magnesioferrite from Lángban ($D = 4.549 - 4.544$ g/cm$^3$ for this locality; van de Pijpekamp et al., 1974). This places the density of kegelite at approximately 4.5 g/cm$^3$.

X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

The best kegelite crystals produced rather poor precession photographs and, as a result, crystallographic interpretations were difficult. The extreme flexibility of the crystals resulted in a pronounced streaking of diffraction nodes in all $b$-axis and $c$-axis precession photographs. The $0k1$ zone, which produced the best precession photographs, showed only slight deviations of intensity from hexagonal symmetry. Kegelite is monoclinic with the following space-group absence conditions: (a) $hk1$ with $k + l \neq 2n$, (b) $h0l$ with $l \neq 2n$, (c) $0kl$ with $k + l \neq 2n$, and (d) $hk0$ with $k \neq 2n$. The permissible space groups are $A2/m$, $A2$, or $Am$, and the diffraction aspect is $A^*_a$.

A fully indexed powder pattern is given in Table 1. Unit-cell parameters, calculated from measurements of zero-level precession films, were refined on the basis of 19 powder reflections. These refined unit-cell parameters are $a = 21.04(1)$, $b = 15.55(1)$, $c = 8.986(6)$ Å, and $\beta = 91.0(1)$. The cell volume is 2939.5 (5.4) Å$^3$ and the axial ratio $a:b:c = 1.3531:1.0:0.5779$.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Kegelite was chemically analyzed using a variety of methods. Heavy elements were determined by electron microprobe, utilizing an operating voltage of 15 kV and a sample current of 0.025 μA, measured on brass. A wavelength-dispersive microprobe scan indicated the absence, in measurable quantities, of any elements with atomic
The H₂O and CO₂ contents were estimated from TGA-EGA (evolved gas analysis) were performed together with those of Medenbach and Schmetzer (1976). Our analysis, calculated on the basis of 48 O atoms, gives a calculated formula of Pb₈Al₄Si₈(SO₄)₄(CO₃)₄·4(OH)₂O₉. With Z = 3 this formula yields a calculated density of 4.76 g/cm³, which is in good accord with the measured density of 4.5 g/cm³.
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Appendix 1: Density Determination

A 1-ml flat-bottomed glass vial was half filled with Clerici solution and placed under a heat-lamp in a fume-hood until steam evolution ceased, which occurred at a temperature where gas bubbles were just forming. The vial was then cooled and centrifuged to obtain a metastable density gradient of 4.3 to 4.6 g/cm³. A sample of kegelite was wetted in saturated Clerici solution and dispersed into small flakes to minimize entrainment of air bubbles. A few grains of each reference mineral were also added and wetted. The suspension was then gently placed by means of a pipette onto the surface of the supersaturated Clerici solution, and the vial was centrifuged once again. The relative levels of the various minerals were observed using a stereo microscope at high magnification, with diffuse illumination through the bottom of the vial.