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Abstract

Hydrogen may be recycled into the Earth’s lower mantle by subduction and stabilized in solid solutions between phase H (MgSiO₄H₂), δ-AlOOH, ε-FeOOH, and SiO₂ post-stishovite. In high-pressure oxyhydroxide phases, hydrogen is incorporated following the typical (OHO) sequence, adopting the asymmetric configuration O-H···O that evolves into a symmetric disordered state upon compression. Moreover these iron-/aluminum-bearing oxyhydroxides [δ-(Al,Fe)OOH] present a structural phase transition from P2₁nm to Pnmm as pressure increases. Here, the single-crystal elasticity of the P2₁nm phase of δ-(Al₀.₉₇,Fe₀.₀₃)OOH has been experimentally measured across the P2₁nm→Pnmm transition up to 7.94(2) GPa by simultaneous single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Brillouin spectroscopy at high pressures. The transition appears to be continuous, and it can be described with a second, fourth and six order terms Landau potential. Our results reveal an enhanced unit-cell volume compressibility, which is linked to an increase of the b- and a-axes linear compressibility in the P2₁nm phase of δ-(Al₀.₉₇,Fe₀.₀₃)OOH prior to the transition. In addition, we observed the presence of elastic softening in the P2₁nm phase that mostly impacts the elastic stiffness coefficients c₁₂, c₂₂ and c₂₃. The observed elastic anomalies cause a significant change in the pressure dependence of the adiabatic bulk modulus (Kₛ). These results provide a better understanding of the relation between elasticity, P2₁nm→Pnmm structural phase transition and hydrogen dynamics in δ-(Al₀.₉₇,Fe₀.₀₃)OOH, which may be applied to other O-H···O-bearing materials.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen can be stored in mantle minerals, affecting their physical properties. Investigating its distribution throughout the Earth’s interior is thus relevant to understanding deep geodynamic processes and geochemical cycles (Bercovici & Karato, 2003; Ohtani et al., 2004;
Ohtani, 2020). In the Earth’s interior, hydrogen is mostly present as hydroxyl groups (OH⁻) bonded to the crystal structure of hydrous phases, as well as incorporated as hydrous defect in nominally anhydrous minerals (Ishii et al., 2022; Jacobsen, 2006; Ohtani, 2015).

Solid solutions between isostructural compounds phase H (MgSiO₄H₂), δ-AlOOH, ε-FeOOH, and SiO₂ post-stishovite may act as main carriers of hydrogen into the lower mantle due to their ability to remain stable at relatively high-temperatures and pressures (Ishii et al., 2022; Nishi et al., 2019; Ohira et al., 2014). In iron-bearing aluminum oxyhydroxides [δ-(Al,Fe)OOH], hydrogen is expected to be bonded via hydrogen bonds adopting an asymmetrical configuration (O-H···O) at low pressures (Sano-Furukawa et al., 2018). Upon compression, symmetrization of the hydrogen bonds (O-H-O) is achieved with a symmetric proton disordered state acting as a precursor to the symmetrization (Sano-Furukawa et al., 2018). The phenomenon of hydrogen bond symmetrization is predicted to impact physical properties, potentially enhancing the stability of these oxyhydroxides down to the core-mantle boundary (Nishi et al., 2019; Ohira et al., 2014).

At ambient conditions, the crystal structure of δ-AlOOH and ε-FeOOH (Supplementary Figure S1a) has space group P2₁nm and consists of chains of edge sharing (Al,Fe)O₆ octahedra extending parallel to the c-axis and connected with each other through vertices (Bolotina et al., 2008; Komatsu et al., 2006; Kuribayashi et al., 2014; Pernet et al., 1975; Suzuki et al., 2000; Suzuki, 2010). The octahedral cation site is coordinated by three oxygen atoms and three hydroxide, which gives rise to a 2D hydrogen bond network in the a-b plane, with the hydrogen bonds lying almost parallel to the [120] direction (Kuribayashi et al., 2014; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2018). Below 10 GPa, a structural phase transition occurs in δ-AlOOH, resulting in the symmetry increasing from P2₁nm to Pnnm (Supplementary Figure S1b) (Kuribayashi et al., 2014; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009; Simonova et al., 2020). Within the same pressure range, neutron diffraction
experiments by Sano-Furukawa et al. (2018) showed a change in the hydrogen bond from an asymmetric ordered configuration (O-H···O) to a symmetric disordered one where hydrogen atoms are equally distributed between two symmetrically-equivalent sites across the center of the O···O line (Supplementary Figure S1b). The disordering of hydrogen in the 9-18 GPa range has been initially attributed to the presence of proton tunneling, similarly to previous observations in high-pressure H2O ices (Lin et al., 2011; Meier et al., 2018; Trybel et al., 2020), whereas more recent studies suggested that it is actually dynamic disorder (Meier et al., 2022; Trybel et al., 2021). Centering of the hydrogen bond, i.e. with the hydrogen locked in the mean position along the O···O line and covalently bonded to the two oxygens (O-H-O), occurs in δ-AlOOH at around 16-18 GPa (Sano-Furukawa et al., 2018), or possibly at even lower pressures (14.7 GPa, Trybel et al., 2021). Therefore, proton disordering appears to act as precursor to the hydrogen bond symmetric centered configuration.

Theoretical studies suggest that the completion of the symmetrization of the hydrogen bonds would severely alter the elastic properties of δ-AlOOH (Cortona, 2017; Panero & Stixrude, 2004; Pillai et al., 2018; Tsuchiya et al., 2002; Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya, 2009). Experimental observations of this occurrence were provided by Brillouin scattering measurements on polycrystalline samples of δ-AlOOH (Mashino et al., 2016) and δ-(Al0.95Fe0.05)OOH (Su et al., 2020) that detected a sharp change in acoustic wave velocities at ~15 and ~20 GPa, respectively. However, previous $P-V$ experimental investigations on δ-(Al,Fe)OOH contrast with this interpretation since a reduction in the axial compressibility was observed at much lower pressures (~ 8 GPa; e.g., Ohira et al., 2019; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009), hence suggesting that it is the $P2_1/nm \rightarrow Pnnm$ transition that mostly impacts the compression behavior of these oxyhydroxides.
At the Fe-rich end of the solid solution series, recent experimental studies pinpointed the $P2_{1}nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition in $\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_{0.7})\text{OOH}$ and $\varepsilon$-FeOOH at $\sim$10 GPa and 18 GPa, respectively (Ikeda et al., 2019; Meier et al., 2021; Thompson et al., 2017, 2020). Additionally, a high-to-low spin transition in Fe has been found to occur in $\varepsilon$-FeOOH at about 45 GPa (Gleason et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2020), but the symmetrization pressure in $\varepsilon$-FeOOH is still poorly constrained. This has been first suggested to occur around 45 GPa, and linked to the Fe spin transition (Gleason et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013). However, more recent experimental studies on $\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_{0.7})\text{OOH}$ showed that these are two distinct phenomena (Meier et al., 2022). Other studies suggest the symmetrization to occur at lower pressures, between 10 and 20 GPa, and possibly triggering the $P2_{1}nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition in $\varepsilon$-FeOOH (Thompson et al., 2017). Therefore, in spite of the number of recent studies (e.g., Buchen et al., 2021; Insixiengmay & Stixrude, 2023; Luo et al., 2022; Mashino et al., 2016; Meier et al., 2022; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2018; Satta et al., 2021; Strozewski et al., 2023; Thompson et al., 2020; Trybel et al., 2021; Tsuchiya et al., 2020), the relation between elastic behavior, hydrogen bond disorder/symmetrization, and structural phase transition in $\delta-(\text{Al,Fe})\text{OOH}$ is still poorly constrained, hampering our understanding of the deep recycling of hydrogen into the Earth’s lower mantle. Here, we performed simultaneous high-pressure X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Brillouin spectroscopy experiments on $\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}$ samples to provide the first full and comprehensive description of the single-crystal elasticity of the $P2_{1}nm$ phase of $\delta-(\text{Al,Fe})\text{OOH}$ at high pressure. Our experiments were performed up to 7.94(2) GPa with relatively small pressure steps across the $P2_{1}nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition in order to better constrain any small elastic change associated with the transition. Our results, that include the description of all the elastic stiffness
coefficients at high pressures, provide new insights on the relation between single-crystal elasticity
at high pressures, structural transition and proton disordering in $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples

In this study, the same two single-crystal platelets of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH studied at
pressures above 8 GPa by Satta et al. (2021), namely H4765x1 and H4765x2, were measured.
Details on the synthesis procedure, chemical compositions of each crystal platelet, as well as
sample selection, orientation and preparation, can be found in Satta et al. (2021).

2.2. High-Pressure Experiments

High-pressure experiments were performed using a BX90 diamond anvil cell (DAC)
(Kantor et al., 2012) equipped with Boehler-Almax type diamonds (Boehler & De Hantsetters,
2004) having a culet size of 400 $\mu$m. A circular pressure chamber (250 $\mu$m diameter) was obtained
by laser drilling a Re gasket previously indented to a final thickness of about 50 $\mu$m. Both sample
platelets were loaded in the pressure chamber (Schulze et al., 2017), together with a ruby
(Cr:Al$_2$O$_3$) sphere for pressure determination. Ruby fluorescence measurements on the pressurized
ruby and on a ruby sphere kept at room pressure were performed before and after each set of
experiments. Room pressure and pressurized rubies were kept at the same temperature for at least
4 hours before the fluorescence measurements. The ruby calibration of Dewaele et al. (2004) was
used to derive pressures from the ruby fluorescence signal.

High-pressure experiments were performed in two distinct runs, with He or Ne acting as
pressure-transmitting media (Supplementary Table S1). Both Ne and He ensure a quasi-hydrostatic
environment within the investigated pressure range (Klotz et al., 2009). Gas loadings were performed using the system installed at the BGI (Kurnosov et al., 2008). Simultaneous single-crystal XRD and Brillouin spectroscopy experiments at high pressure were conducted up to 7.94(2) GPa using the combined XRD and Brillouin spectroscopy system installed at the BGI (Trots et al., 2011, 2013).

The unit-cell parameters and volumes of the two single-crystal platelets (Table S1) were determined for each platelet by centering a minimum of 12, and up to 17 Bragg reflections (21° < 20 < 40°) belonging to the <111>, <120>, <021>, <211>, <121>, <030>, <301>, <112>, <031>, <131>, <202>, <212>, <411> and <222> families of crystallographic planes. The centering routine employed in our study relies on the eight position protocol described by King & Finger (1979) to correct for diffractometer aberrations and sample offsets using a Huber four-circle diffractometer. The diffractometer is equipped with an ultra-high intensity MoKα rotating anode X-ray source (FR-E+ SuperBright, Rigaku) coupled with multilayer VaryMax™ focusing optics and a point detector, and is operated using the SINGLE software (Angel & Finger, 2011). Due to the non-homogeneous $K\alpha_1/K\alpha_2$ ratio produced by the X-ray focusing optics, individual reflection profiles were refitted using the WinIntegrStp software (Angel, 2003). The unit-cell parameters of the two crystals (Table S1) are not identical due to their slightly different Fe contents, that is 3.24(15) wt% for H4765x1 and 2.71(15) wt% for H4765x2 (Satta et al. 2021). Note, however, that such subtle difference in Fe content has a negligible effect on both the compressibility and elasticity behavior of the two crystals (see Results and Discussion below).

Brillouin spectroscopy experiments were performed in a 80° forward symmetric scattering geometry (Speziale et al., 2014; Whitfield et al., 1976) using a coherent Verdi V2 solid state Nd:YVO₄ frequency doubled laser ($\lambda_0 = 532.0$ nm), and a six-pass scanning Fabry-Pérot
interferometer (Lindsay et al., 1981; Sandercock, 1982) coupled to a single pixel photon counter
detector. Measurements were performed at a total of nine distinct pressure points, from room
pressure to 7.94(2) GPa. Brillouin spectra were collected for each pressure point and for each
platelet at different rotation angles (χ) with 10-20° interval over a 360° angular range. The external
scattering angle (θ_{ext}) was calibrated with a reference silica glass. Frequency shifts were
converted into velocities using the equation (Speziale et al., 2014; Whitfield et al., 1976):
\[ v = \frac{\Delta \omega \lambda_0}{2 \sin \left( \frac{\theta_{ext}}{2} \right)} \]  

Where Δω is the measured frequency shift, λ_0 is the laser wavelength in air (and vacuum) and
θ_{ext} = 80°. The \(P2_{1}nm\) phase of \(\delta-(\text{Al,Fe})\text{OOH}\) crystalizes in the orthorhombic system, hence its
single-crystal elasticity is described by nine independent, non-zero elastic stiffness coefficients
\(c_{ij}\). In Voigt notation (Nye, 1985), these are: \(c_{11}, c_{22}, c_{33}, c_{44}, c_{55}, c_{66}, c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{23}\). All nine \(c_{ij}\) were
constrained at each pressure point (Table S3) through a least-square fit of the Christoffel equation
(Haussühl, 2007):
\[ |c_{ijkl}n_j n_l - \rho v^2 \delta_{lk}| = 0 \]  

where \(c_{ijkl}\) are the elastic stiffness coefficients in full tensorial notation, \(n_j\) and \(n_l\) are the phonon
direction cosines, \(\rho\) is the density and \(\delta_{lk}\) is the Kronecker delta. The least-square fitting routine of
the Christoffel equation was implemented in an Origin software (OriginLab corporation,
Northampton, MA, USA) script following the formulation by Buchen (2018). The densities of the
two platelets were calculated taking into account the different compositions of the two crystals
(Table S1) as well as the fact that the synthesis was performed using a 96% \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) enriched starting
material (Satta et al. 2021). The two densities were found to be identical within uncertainties, hence
an averaged value (Table S3) was used in the fitting routine. Voigt and Reuss bounds of the

8
adiabatic bulk \((K_S)\) and shear moduli \((G)\) were calculated at each pressure point using the \(c_{ij}\) and the elastic compliance coefficients, \(s_{ij}\), respectively (Table S4).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. \(P_{21}nm\rightarrow P_{nnm}\) transition pressure

The evolution of the \(0\bar{3}0\) reflection of sample H4765x2 was followed as a function of pressure in order to pinpoint the \(P_{21}nm\rightarrow P_{nnm}\) transition pressure (Figure 1). This reflection is intense in the \(P_{21}nm\) space group and is a systematic extinction in the \(P_{nnm}\) space group in which only the \(0k0\), with \(k=2n\) reflections can be observed. The intensity of the \(0\bar{3}0\) reflection has been normalised with respect to that of the \(1\bar{3}0\) reflection, which is present in both \(P_{21}nm\) and \(P_{nnm}\) space groups. For this purpose, the omega rocking curves (inset in Figure 1) for the two reflections were measured at each pressure using omega steps of 0.005° and exposure times up to 10 s/step.

The crystalline quality of both platelets, assessed from the full width half maximum of investigated reflection peaks in omega rocking curves, was found to be preserved after the phase transition. Our results show that the intensity ratio \(I(0\bar{3}0)/I(1\bar{3}0)\) decreases continuously and non-linearly with pressure, eventually reaching zero with the disappearance of the \(0\bar{3}0\) reflection between \(P_{ruby} 7.59(3)\) GPa and 7.94(2) GPa. If we assume that this intensity ratio varies as the square of the order parameter, \(Q\), driving the \(P_{21}nm\rightarrow P_{nnm}\) phase transition, then a Landau free energy \((G)\) expansion having second, fourth and sixth order terms \(G = \frac{1}{2} a (P - P_c) Q^2 + \frac{1}{4} b Q^4 + \frac{1}{6} c Q^6\) (e.g., Carpenter et al., 1998) can be used to derive the variation of \(Q^2\) with pressure (Boffa Ballaran et al., 2000; Carpenter et al., 1990):

\[
Q^2 = \frac{I(0\bar{3}0)}{I(1\bar{3}0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{b}{a} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{c}{a} (P - P_c)} \right] \frac{c}{a}
\]
With $P_c$ being the transition pressure, and $a$, $b$ and $c$ the Landau coefficients. Note that only relative values of the coefficients have been used since the absolute values of $a$, $b$ and $c$ cannot be constrained without additional information (e.g. excess heat capacity). Fit to the intensity ratio data (Figure 1) gives $P_c = 7.7(2)$ GPa, and the ratio between the coefficients (in GPa) are $10(5)$ and $56(19)$ for $b/a$ and $c/a$, respectively.

The disappearance of the 010 and 120 reflections of the $\delta$-AlOOH end-member has been previously observed to occur at lower pressures (i.e. at 4.83 GPa and at 6.53 GPa, respectively) in neutron diffraction experiments (Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009). On the other hand, single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments on the same end-member $\delta$-AlOOH, reported the disappearance of selected $0kl$ reflections with $k + l = \text{odd}$ (i.e. systematic extinction in the $Pnnm$ space group) between 6.1 and 8.2 GPa (Kuribayashi et al., 2014), hence in excellent agreement with our results. The disappearance of the 030 reflection is associated with a change in the pressure dependence of the unit-cell axial ratios $a/b$, $b/c$ and $a/c$ (Supplementary Figure S2), which becomes particularly evident when our data are plotted together with those of the $Pnnm$ phase of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH from Satta et al. (2021). A similar behavior in axial ratios was previously observed within the same pressure range in $\delta$-AlOOH (Kuribayashi et al., 2014; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009), (Al$_{0.832}$Fe$_{0.117}$)OOH$_{1.15}$ and (Al$_{0.908}$Fe$_{0.045}$)OOH$_{1.14}$ (Ohira et al., 2019), suggesting that the incorporation of about 3% of Fe$^{3+}$ into the $\delta$-AlOOH structure has a negligible effect on the $P2_{1}nm \rightarrow Pnnm$ transition pressure. Note that larger Fe contents, however, are expected to increase the transition pressure, since the $P2_{1}nm \rightarrow Pnnm$ phase transition in the end-member $\varepsilon$-FeOOH was observed at 18 GPa (Thompson et al., 2020).

Typically, a substituting atom in a solid solution only affects the transition point of the end-member once the microscopic strain fields created by the individual substitutions start to overlap.
As Fe$^{3+}$ atoms are added into the $\delta$-AlOOH structure, we would expect the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition pressure to remain constant below a critical composition, which is a direct reflection of the magnitude of the strain fields created by the cation substitution (e.g., Boffa Ballaran et al., 2000; Carpenter et al., 1999; Carpenter et al., 2009; Hayward & Salje, 1996). As there are two cation sites in the unit-cell, the richest Fe-bearing $\delta$-AlOOH studied by Ohira et al. (2019) (i.e., sample Fe12) consist of $\approx$ one atom of Fe$^{3+}$ for every 4 unit-cells. Since no effect on the transition pressure has been observed also for our samples, we expect that a larger concentration of Fe is necessary to observe any change in the behavior of the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition as a result of the Al-Fe cation substitution.

### 3.2. Compression behavior

The unit-cell volumes of H4765x1 and H4765x2 are listed in Supplementary Table S1, and their variations with pressure are reported in Figure 2a. The two platelets show the same compressional behavior up to the last pressure point measured. Only unit-cell volumes observed at $P_{ruby}\leq 6.46(2)$ GPa have been fitted using a 3rd-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (BM3) (Birch, 1947) implemented in the EoSFit7 software (Angel et al., 2014) to give insight on possible volume anomalies associated with the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition. The volume at room pressure, $V_0$, as well as the isothermal bulk modulus, $K_{T0}$, and its pressure derivative, $K'_{T0}$, were fitted using data weighted by the uncertainties in both $P$ and $V$. The resulting BM3 parameters are reported in Supplementary Table S2.

The value of 150.6(11) GPa for $K_{T0}$ obtained in this study is in good agreement with previous experimental studies on the $P2_1nm$ phase of $\delta$-AlOOH and $\delta$-(Al,Fe)OOH (Ohira et al., 2019; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009) which, however, have used a 2nd-order Birch-Murnaghan for...
fitting the $P$-$V$ data. Our results, instead, tightly constrain the $K'_{T0}$ of the $P2_1nm$ phase of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH, which appears to be slightly smaller than 4 (Supplementary Table S2).

Additionally, it is possible to observe a subtle deviation of the volume data from the BM3 EoS fit at $P_{\text{ruby}} > 6.46(2)$ GPa (Figure 2a and inset). Specifically, the observed volumes are smaller than those predicted by the BM3, suggesting a softening of $K_T$ prior to the $P2_1nm\rightarrow P\text{nnm}$ transition.

Similar observations have been reported for high-pressure H$_2$O ices (e.g. Méndez et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2021; Sugimura et al., 2008) and other mineral phase transformations (e.g. Arlt & Angel, 2000; Carpenter et al., 2003; Carpenter & Zhang, 2011; McConnell et al., 2000).

The linear moduli, $k$, and their first pressure derivatives, $k'$, have been obtained by fitting our observed unit-cell parameters at $P_{\text{ruby}} \leq 6.46(2)$ (Supplementary Table S1) with linearized BM3 implemented in EosFit7 (Angel et al., 2014). Fit results are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The unit-cell $a$ and $b$ parameters measured at $P_{\text{ruby}} > 6.46(2)$ deviate from the BM3 fit, whereas the $c$-axis does not exhibit any anomalous behavior in the investigated pressure range (Figure 2b).

The axial compressibility of the $P2_1nm$ phase follows the scheme $\beta_b > \beta_a > \beta_c$ (Figure 2b), in agreement with previous reports (Ohira et al., 2019; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009). This compressibility scheme is due to the different axial response of the $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH structure to compression, with the $a$-$b$ plane being relatively compressible due to the presence of hydrogen bonds in the asymmetric configuration (Supplementary Figure S1a), whereas chains of edge-sharing octahedra extend along the $c$-axis giving rise to a stiffer unit to be compressed. With increasing pressure, however, the compressibility of the $a$-axis (and to smaller extent that of the $b$-axis) decreases quickly as indicated by their relatively large moduli pressure derivatives, which may be due to the shortening of the O···O distance and a consequent increase of the strengths of the hydrogen bonds, as already suggested by previous studies on $\delta$-AlOOD (Sano-Furukawa et al.,
After the transition to the high-symmetry phase, a stiffening of the \( a \)- and \( b \)-axes in \( \delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH} \) have been previously observed, with the axial compressibilities evolving into a \( \beta_c > \beta_a > \beta_b \) scheme (Satta et al., 2021). Thus, our results confirm that the phase transition in \( \delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH} \) induces a marked stiffening of the \( a-b \) plane, supporting previous findings (Ohira et al., 2019; Sano-Furukawa et al., 2009).

### 3.3. Single-crystal elasticity

A representative Brillouin spectrum collected for crystal H4765x2 at high pressures is shown in Figure 3a, while measured and calculated acoustic velocities obtained for both platelets at the same pressure are shown in Figure 3b. Full elastic stiffness tensors of \( \delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH} \) constrained at nine different pressure points are reported in Supplementary Table S3, and individual elastic stiffness coefficients are plotted against pressure in Figure 4.

The \( P2_1nm \) is a polar space group (i.e. it is not centrosymmetric). Thus, piezoelectricity may exert an influence on acoustic waves velocities propagating in the \( P2_1nm \) phase of \( \delta-(\text{Al},\text{Fe})\text{OOH} \) along specific directions (Every, 1980; Nye, 1985). Here, possible contributions to the acoustic wave velocities from the piezoelectricity were neglected, as in previous Brillouin spectroscopy experiments on \( \delta-\text{AlOOH} \) (Wang et al., 2022). We find this to be a reasonable assumption given the good agreement between our \( K_{T0} \) resulting from X-ray diffraction experiments (hence not sensitive to piezoelectricity), and \( K_{S0} \) resulting from \( c_{ij} \) determined via inversion of observed acoustic wave velocities in a least square fitting of the Christoffel equation. Note also that for those materials for which the piezoelectric tensor has been determined at room pressure, e.g., quartz (Ohno, 1990) and \( \text{Ca}_3\text{TaGa}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{14} \) (Ma et al., 2017), a correction of \( c_{ij} \)
accounting for piezoelectric contribution is <1%, hence further suggesting that the piezoelectric contribution to acoustic wave velocity can be reasonably neglected in our study.

The elastic stiffness tensor obtained at room pressure in this study shows a good agreement with the theoretical predictions reported by Cortona (2017), with the exception of $c_{22}$ which is about 20% higher than that determined in this study (Figure 4). Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya (2009) generally report smaller $c_{ij}$ values than those constrained in this study; $c_{11}$, for example, is about 20% smaller. On the other hand, Pillai et al. (2018) report generally larger $c_{ij}$ values compared to those measured here (up to 30%). Our results are also in good agreement with previous Brillouin spectroscopy measurements performed at room pressure on the $P2_1nm$ phase of pure $\delta$-AlOOH (Wang et al., 2022) (Figure 4).

We found that the pressure dependence of all $c_{ij}$ determined in this study up to $P_{\text{ruby}} = 6.46(2)$ GPa can be described by a third-order finite strain expression reported for individual $c_{ijkl}$ by Stixrude & Lithgow-Bertelloni (2005):

$$c_{ijkl} = (1 + 2f)^{5/2} \{c_{ijkl,0} + (3K_0c'_{ijkl,0} - 5c_{ijkl,0})f + \left[6K_0c'_{ijkl,0} - 14c_{ijkl,0} - \frac{3}{2}K_0\delta^{ij}_{kl}(3K'_0 - 16)\right]f^2\}$$

with the Eulerian strain $f = \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} - 1\right]/2 = \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} - 1\right]/2$, with $c_{ijkl,0}$ and $c'_{ijkl,0}$ being the elastic stiffness coefficients and their pressure derivative at room pressure expressed in full tensorial notation, respectively and with $\delta^{ij}_{kl}$ being -3 for $c_{1111}$, $c_{2222}$ and $c_{3333}$ and -1 for the other six independent coefficients of the elastic tensor.

At high pressures, our results are generally in good agreement with previous theoretical predictions at 5 GPa for the $P2_1nm$ phase of $\delta$-AlOOH (Cortona, 2017), except for $c_{22}$ and the off-diagonal $c_{ij}$. Additionally, our results clearly show an elastic softening at $P_{\text{ruby}} > 6.46(2)$ GPa.
affecting $c_{12}$, $c_{22}$ and $c_{23}$. This observation corroborates the presence of an elastic softening observed by our XRD experiments on the axial and volume compression within the same pressure range (Figure 2). The transition to the high-symmetry phase gives rise to an abrupt step increase ($\sim 50\%$) of $c_{12}$, $c_{22}$ and $c_{23}$, while all the other $c_{ij}$ show relatively low sensitivity to the phase transition. In general, theoretical studies suggest that the hydrogen bond symmetrization has a severe influence on the elastic behavior of $\delta$-AlOOH. This has been either expressed by a smooth stiffening of the compressional and off-diagonal $c_{ij}$ (Cortona, 2017; Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya, 2009), or by a more complex behavior that may include softening and/or hardening within a relatively short symmetrization pressure range (11-16 GPa) (Pillai et al., 2018). Conversely, our results clearly show that important modifications in the single-crystal elasticity of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$,Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH occur at much lower pressures than previously predicted, and are associated with the structural phase transformation. Additionally, we observed that shear $c_{44}$, $c_{55}$ and $c_{66}$ only show a limited sensitivity to the transition, in agreement with previous theoretical studies (Cortona, 2017; Pillai et al., 2018; Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya, 2009). Ultimately, it is possible that elastic softening of $c_{12}$, $c_{22}$ and $c_{23}$ observed in this study may couple with the softening of the O-H stretching optical modes observed in the $P2_{1}nm$ phase in previous Raman spectroscopy investigations (Wang et al., 2022).

3.4. Landau model

As observed above, a 2-4-6 Landau potential well describes the variation with pressure of the order parameter associated with the $P2_{1}nm \rightarrow Pnmm$ transition in $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$,Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH. The same Landau potential can also be used to describe the evolution of the spontaneous strains associated with a displacive phase transition (Carpenter and Salje, 1998), with the Landau expansion being:
\[ G = \frac{1}{2} a(P - P_c)Q^2 + \frac{1}{4} bQ^4 + \frac{1}{6} cQ^6 + \lambda_1 e_1 Q^2 + \lambda_2 e_2 Q^2 + \lambda_3 e_3 Q^2 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^0 e_i e_j \]

Equation (5)

We note that Equation 5 is identical to that provided by Carpenter et al. (2003) for the \textit{Pmcn} to \textit{P2}_\textit{1cn} phase transition occurring in lawsonite, but for pressure replacing temperature dependency. In Equation 5, \( \lambda_{1-3} \) are the coupling coefficients between the components of the spontaneous strains and the order parameter \( Q \), \( e_{1-3} \) are the spontaneous strain components, and \( c_{ij}^0 \) are the “bare”, i.e. not including the effect of the phase transition, elastic stiffness coefficients.

In our analysis, the evolution of \( c_{ij}^0 \) in the \textit{P2}_\textit{1nm} stability field is obtained using self-consistent finite strain equations (Equation 4), following the approach delineated by previous studies (e.g. Buchen et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2021). The extrapolation of \( c_{ij}^0 \) to experimental pressures relies on the room pressure elastic stiffness coefficients and respective pressure derivatives constrained for the \textit{Pnnm} phase of \( \delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH} \) by Satta et al. (2021). Spontaneous strain components are defined as (Carpenter et al. 1998):

\[ e_1 = \frac{a_L - a_H}{a_H}; \quad e_2 = \frac{b_L - b_H}{b_H}; \quad e_3 = \frac{c_L - c_H}{c_H} \]

Equation (6)

Where the unit-cell axial lengths (\( a_L, b_L \) and \( c_L \)) of the \textit{P2}_\textit{1nm} phase were determined via XRD in this study, and the values of the \textit{Pnnm} phase (\( a_H, b_H \) and \( c_H \)) at \( P < P_c \) were determined using linearized BM3 and the parameters given in Satta et al. (2021).

Ultimately, the coupling coefficients \( \lambda_{1-3} \) were constrained in a least-square fitting procedure of spontaneous strain expressions obtained from the Landau expansion under equilibrium conditions (Equations 2-4 in Carpenter et al. 2003). We find the Landau model to provide an excellent description of spontaneous strain components as a function of unit-cell volume compression (Supplementary Figure S3).
The variation of individual $c_{ij}$ due to a structural phase transition (Carpenter and Salje 1998) can be defined using the relationship (Slonczewski and Thomas 1970):

$$c_{ij} = c_{ij}^0 - \sum_{m,n} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial e_i \partial Q_m} \left( \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial Q_m \partial Q_n} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial e_j \partial Q_n}$$

(7)

and considering that for the $P2_1\text{nm} \to Pnnm$ transition only one order parameter $Q$ is active. The relationships between $c_{ij}^0$ and $Q$ are identical to those previously reported for lawsonite (Equations 17-25 in Carpenter et al., 2003). Accordingly, the susceptibility, $\chi$, is defined as:

$$\chi^{-1} = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial Q^2} = a(P - P_c) + \frac{3b}{a} aQ^2 + \frac{5c}{a} aQ^4 + 2\lambda_1 e_1 + 2\lambda_2 e_2 + 2\lambda_3 e_3$$

(8)

which can be seen an adaptation to the expression reported by Carpenter et al. (2003). This adaptation was employed to take into account constraints on $b/a$ and $c/a$, as well as values the coupling coefficients $\lambda_{1-3}$. Here, these parameters were determined in a least-square fitting of $Q^2$ to the intensity ratio $I(0\overline{3}0)/I(1\overline{3}0)$, as previously described in Section 3.1, hence making $a$ the only refined parameter in Equation 8 for $c_{11}, c_{22}, c_{33}, c_{12}, c_{13}$ and $c_{23}$. As for the coupling coefficient $\lambda_{4-6}$, these have been determined by fitting measured $c_{44}, c_{55}$ and $c_{66}$ with appropriate expressions derived from Equation 7, and using the order parameter formulation described above (Equation 3).

We found the Landau-based predictions on the high-pressure behavior of $c_{ij}$ to be only in partial agreement to those observed experimentally (Figure 4). Specifically, we note an excellent agreement between observations and Landau-based predictions for the shear $c_{44}, c_{55}$ and $c_{66}$ including a hardening prior to the $P2_1\text{nm} \to Pnnm$ transition. Also, there is a good agreement between observed and predicted $c_{33}$ values, with the only difference being the Landau model predicting a subtle softening prior to the onset of the $P2_1\text{nm} \to Pnnm$ transition and in the $P2_1\text{nm}$ stability field which was, however, not observed experimentally. On the other hand, only a poor agreement is reached for all other $c_{ij}$, with experiments and theory agreeing solely on the presence
of a softening affecting the $c_{12}$, $c_{22}$ and $c_{23}$ prior to the onset of the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ transition. Ultimately, the Landau-based model also predicts a softening of the $c_{11}$ and $c_{13}$, hence contrasting with our experimental observations. Such discrepancies between Landau-based model and experimental observations may suggest that the order parameter associated with the displacive processes is not able to entirely describe the structural changes occurring in $\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97},\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}$. Therefore, taking into consideration higher order terms of the coupling between order parameter and spontaneous strain, and/or coupling coefficients dependent on pressure, may be necessary to obtain a better match between Landau prediction and experimental observations. At the same time, it is also possible that differences in relaxation times between proton ordering/disordering and displacive order parameter may play a role in the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ phase transition.

3.5. Aggregate properties

All our results on the aggregate properties of $\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97},\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}$ are provided in Supplementary Table S4. The adiabatic bulk, $K_S$, and shear, $G$, moduli determined in this study at room pressure match previous experimental estimates for $\delta$-$\text{AlOOH}$ (Wang, et al., 2022), and are in good agreement with most previous theoretical results (Cortona, 2017; Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya, 2009). The $K_S$ and $G$ values are shown in Figure 5a as function of pressure. Our results show a marked softening of $K_S$ above 6.46(2) prior to the onset of the $P2_1nm\rightarrow Pnnm$ phase transition, followed by a ~30% increase after the transition to the high-symmetry phase is completed. This elastic softening in $K_S$ is linked to the softening of $c_{12}$, $c_{22}$ and $c_{23}$ observed in our single-crystal elasticity study. On the other hand, $G$ increases smoothly with pressure and no marked stiffening is observed after the phase transition. The Reuss bound of the adiabatic bulk modulus ($K_S^R$, Table 1) and its pressure derivative are in good agreement with our XRD results. Our results confirm
that Al-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution may decrease both $K_S$ and $G$ since values reported for $\varepsilon$-FeOOH are sensibly smaller [$K_S = 133(7)$ GPa and $G = 71(2)$ GPa, Ikeda et al., 2019].

The calculated aggregate compressional, $v_P = \sqrt{K_S^H/\rho + 4/3G_H^H}$ and shear, $v_S = \sqrt{G_H^H/\rho}$, wave velocities are listed in Supplementary Table 4. The room pressure $v_P$ and $v_S$ values constrained in this study are in perfect agreement with previous Brillouin spectroscopy results on $\delta$-AlOOH single-crystal elasticity (Wang et al., 2022). At the same time, $v_P$ and $v_S$ determined in this study are respectively about 4% and 7% higher than those observed in polycrystalline $\delta$-AlOOH (Mashino et al., 2016), and about 4% and 10% than those on polycrystalline $\delta$-(Al$_{0.95}$Fe$_{0.05}$)OOH (Su et al., 2020). These discrepancies between single-crystal and polycrystalline data cannot be ascribed to different Fe content, as Fe substituting Al decreases acoustic wave velocities (Ikeda et al., 2019), but may be related to the presence of lattice preferred orientation in the polycrystalline samples, selective elasto-optic coupling along specific directions (Speziale et al., 2014), the contribution of grain boundaries (Marquardt et al., 2011), or grain-grain-interactions (Wang et al., 2023). The pressure dependencies of both aggregate wave velocities are shown in Figure 5b. As $v_P$ is proportional to the bulk modulus, it is possible to observe a slight softening above 6.46(2) GPa and a jump after the $P2_1nm$ to $Pnnm$ phase transition, whereas $v_S$ show a smooth increase with pressure.

4. Implications

In this study, we provided accurate experimental constraints on the high-pressure, single-crystal elasticity of the $P2_1nm$ phase of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH and characterised the $P2_1nm$→$Pnnm$ phase transition occurring between $P_{ruby}$ 7.59(3) GPa and 7.94(2) GPa. A 2-4-6 Landau potential

\begin{equation}
E = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho}{K_S^H} \right) K_S^H \left( \frac{\rho}{v_P} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho}{G_H^H} \right) G_H^H \left( \frac{\rho}{v_S} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{\rho}{v_P} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\rho}{v_S} \right)^2 \text{d}V
\end{equation}
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has been used to describe the variation with pressure of the \( I(0\overline{3}0)/I(1\overline{3}0) \) ratio constraining the transition pressure to be \( P_c = 7.7(2) \) GPa.

Both XRD and Brillouin scattering results show elastic softening occurring in the \( P2_1nm \) phase prior to the onset of the structural transition. The compressibility of the \( b \)- and \( a \)-axes increase from 6.46(2) GPa, up to the transition to the \( Pnnm \) phase, and is accompanied by a marked softening of the elastic stiffness coefficients \( c_{12}, c_{22} \) and \( c_{23} \). These experimental findings were compared to those predicted by a 2-4-6 Landau model which show that the transition has a substantial displacive component with the order parameter and the spontaneous strain having a relaxation time on the order of that probed by the X-ray measurements. However, the Landau model does not reproduce the high-pressure evolution of most of the \( c_{ij} \) affected by transition, suggesting that proton order/disorder contributions to the transition may need to be taken into account to describe the elastic behavior of the \( P2_1nm \) \( \delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97},\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH} \).

The incorporation of the FeOOH component in \( \delta-(\text{Al},\text{Fe})\text{OOH} \) shifts the structural transition toward transition zone pressures (Thompson et al., 2020). If the boundary between the \( P2_1nm \) and \( Pnnm \) phases is defined by a positive Clapeyron slope, the structural transition and the elastic softening of \( \delta-(\text{Al},\text{Fe})\text{OOH} \) might be occurring in the uppermost Earth’s lower mantle (depth \( >660 \) km). The relevance of these phenomena in terms of seismic detectability will depend mostly on the volume fraction of \( \delta-(\text{Al},\text{Fe})\text{OOH} \) in subducted lithologies.

Ultimately, and in a broader perspective, our results on \( \delta-(\text{Al},\text{Fe})\text{OOH} \) also provide a better understanding of the relation between elasticity and hydrogen dynamics in other O-H···O-bearing materials, such as H\(_2\)O ices (e.g. Meier et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2021; Trybel et al., 2020) and phase D (Criniti et al., 2023; Thompson et al., 2022; Tsuchiya et al., 2005), where hydrogen bond symmetrization is predicted as well, although at higher pressures.
5. Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sumith Abeykoon for helping with the electron microprobe, and Raphael Njul for polishing the crystal platelets. Florian Trybel is acknowledged for fruitful discussions on hydrogen bond symmetrization. Michael Carpenter and another anonymous reviewer are acknowledged for their valuable suggestions. This research was supported by the International Research Training Group “Deep Earth Volatile Cycles” (GRK 2156/1) and the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation Programme (ERC grant 864877). T. Ishii was supported by the Kakenhi Grant Number JP23K19067. An early draft of this manuscript was included in Satta (2021).

6. References


7. List of Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Intensity (I) of the 030 reflection normalized with respect to the intensity of the 130 reflection collected at the same pressure, and as function of pressure (P). Note that 030 is present in the P21nm space group and is a systematic absence in the Pnnm space group. Solid line results from a fit to the observed intensity ratios using Equation 3. The inset shows rocking curves (omega scans) of the 030 diffraction peak collected at different pressures. Color scale links each curve to its respective collection pressure (values in GPa).

**Figure 2.** a) Unit-cell volume (V/V0) and b) axial (l/l0) compression for δ-(Al0.97,Fe0.03)OOH as function of pressure (P). Note that the unit-cell volumes and unit-cell axes were normalized with respect their values observed at room pressure. In our data, open symbols represent data points collected in the proximity of the P21nm-Pnnm transition, and at pressures of 7.06(5), 7.44(2) and 7.59(3) GPa. These data points were not included in the refinement of BM3 parameters. Solid and dashed lines represent a BM3 fit to our observations (excluding those at Pruby ≥ 7.06(5) GPa) and extrapolations to higher pressures, respectively. Inset in (a) shows differences between pressures determined using the ruby fluorescence Pruby (Table S1 in Supporting Information), and those calculated using the BM3 parameters (Pcalc, Table S1 in Supporting Information) as a function of Pruby. Error bars are the sum of the uncertainty on Pruby, calculated as the semi-difference between Pruby before and after the XRD measurements, and Pcalc, obtained by propagating the uncertainties on the BM3 fit parameters using the full covariance matrix.

**Figure 3.** Results from high-pressure Brillouin spectroscopy experiments on δ-(Al0.97,Fe0.03)OOH. a) Representative Brillouin spectrum, collected on H4765x1 at rotation angle (χ) = -30° and Pruby=3.85(7) GPa. Spectral contributions are assigned to the sample compressional...
(\nu_p\)), fast shear (\nu_{S1}) and slow shear (\nu_{S2}) wave velocities, compressional wave velocity of the pressure transmitting medium (Ne) and its backscattered signal (BS), diamond-anvil shear wave velocity (D) and elastic scattering (R). b) Observed (solid symbols) and calculated (solid lines) acoustic wave velocities of both platelets as a function of the rotation angle (\psi) at \(P_{\text{ruby}}=6.46(2)\) GPa.

**Figure 4.** Single-crystal elastic stiffness coefficients \((c_{ij})\) of \(\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}\) as function of pressure \((P)\). a) \(c_{11}, c_{22}\) and \(c_{33}\); b) \(c_{44}, c_{55}\) and \(c_{66}\); c) \(c_{12}, c_{13}\) and \(c_{23}\). Solid and open circles are \(c_{ij}\) constrained in this study for the \(P2_1/nm\) phase of \(\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}\), while solid squares are \(c_{ij}\) of \(Pnnm\) phase. Solid line are least square fits to our \(P2_1/nm\) phase data of third-order finite strain equations (Stixrude \\& Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005). Note that \(c_{ij}\) constrained at pressures close to the \(P2_1/nm-Pnnm\) transition [open circles, \(P_{\text{ruby}} \geq 7.06(5)\) GPa] were not considered in the fit. Dashed lines are extrapolation of the \(P2_1/nm\) phase data fit. Dash-dot lines show the high-pressure behaviour of \(c_{ij}\) belonging to the \(Pnnm\) phase of \(\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}\) as reported by Satta et al. (2021). The short-dotted lines show the Landau-based model predictions for \(c_{ij}\) in the \(P2_1/nm\) phase field. Solid vertical line shows transition pressure \([P_c = 7.7(2)\) GPa] as determined from fit of \(Q^2\) to experimental observations, with the grey region indicating the uncertainty on the transition pressure. Literature references for \(\delta\text{-AlOOH}\) are: 1) Wang et al. (2022); 2) Cortona (2017); 3) Tsuchiya \\& Tsuchiya (2009); 4) Pillai et al. (2018).

**Figure 5.** Aggregate properties of \(\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}\) as function of pressure \((P)\): a) aggregate elastic modulus \((M)\); b) aggregate velocity \((v)\). For this study, solid and open circles are values calculated for the \(P2_1/nm\) phase of \(\delta-(\text{Al}_{0.97}\text{Fe}_{0.03})\text{OOH}\), while solid squares are those of the \(Pnnm\) phase. Solid line results from least square fits to our \(P2_1/nm\) phase data of third-order finite strain equations (Stixrude \\& Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005). Note that the values constrained at
pressures close to the $P_{2_{1}nm}$–$P_{nmm}$ transition (open circles, $P_{\text{ruby}} \geq 7.06(5)$ GPa) were not considered in the fit. Dashed lines are extrapolation of the $P_{2_{1}nm}$ phase data fit. Dashed-point lines show the high-pressure behaviour of $c_{ij}$ of the $P_{nmm}$ phase of $\delta$-(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH from Satta et al. (2021). The short-dotted lines show the Landau-based model predictions for $c_{ij}$ in the $P_{2_{1}nm}$ phase field. Solid vertical line shows transition pressure [$P_{c} = 7.7(2)$ GPa] as determined from fit of $Q^2$ to experimental observations, with the grey region indicating the uncertainty on the transition pressure. In Figure a), literature references for $\delta$-AlOOH are: 1) Wang et al. (2022); 2) Cortona (2017); 3) Tsuchiya & Tsuchiya (2009); 4) Pillai et al. (2018). In Figure b), literature references are: 1) Wang et al. (2022); $\delta$-AlOOH; 2) Mashino et al. (2016), $\delta$-AlOOH; 3) Su et al. (2020), $\delta$-(Al$_{0.95}$Fe$_{0.05}$)OOH.
### 8. Tables

#### Table 1. Elastic stiffness coefficients ($c_{ij}$), aggregate elastic moduli ($M_0$) and their pressure derivatives ($M'_0$) of the $P2_1/nm$ phase of $\delta$–(Al$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$)OOH. Results are obtained from the fit of third-order Eulerian strain equations (Equation 4) to $c_{ij}$ constrained at $P_{ruby} \leq 6.46(5)$ GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ij$</th>
<th>$c_{ij0}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$c'_{ij0}$</th>
<th>$\text{Voigt Bound}$</th>
<th>$M_0$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$M'_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>370(2.6)</td>
<td>9.9(5)</td>
<td>Voigt Bound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>300(4)</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>$K^V_{S0}$</td>
<td>165(2)</td>
<td>3.6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>413(4)</td>
<td>5.1(9)</td>
<td>$G^V_0$</td>
<td>143(1)</td>
<td>2.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>127.6(13)</td>
<td>2.6(2)</td>
<td>Reuss Bound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>127.4(8)</td>
<td>1.6(2)</td>
<td>$K^R_{S0}$</td>
<td>160(2.2)</td>
<td>3.6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>169(2)</td>
<td>4.4(5)</td>
<td>$G^R_0$</td>
<td>141(1)</td>
<td>2.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>48(4)</td>
<td>1.7(10)</td>
<td>Voigt-Reuss-Hill average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>98(3)</td>
<td>2.4(7)</td>
<td>$K^H_{S0}$</td>
<td>163(2)</td>
<td>3.6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>59(4)</td>
<td>1.6(9)</td>
<td>$G^H_0$</td>
<td>142(1)</td>
<td>2.7(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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