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ABSTRACT

Advances in crystal nucleation and growth over the past three decades have led to the understanding that crystallization proceeds through a variety of pathways, ranging from the conventional atom-by-atom model to the particle aggregation- or amorphous transformation-based non-classical modes. Here, we present a novel mineralization mechanism exemplified by a lunar chromite formed via solid-liquid interface reactions, through investigations towards a lunar breccia returned by the Chang'e 5 mission. The chromite occurs in the middle of a whisker-shaped intergrowth structure made by olivine at the bottom and nanospheres of troilite and metallic iron at the top. Morphological observation and size statistics of the nanospheres, including those within the whisker structure and the others dispersed in glass, suggest the nanophases attached to olivine with coherent crystallographic orientations, possibly through an oriented aggregation process. The chromium deficiency in the olivine near the interface between olivine and chromite suggests that Cr in chromite originated from olivine, but the significantly reduced ferrous concentration in the glass surrounding chromite indicates the iron was derived from surrounding impact-induced glass. Based on laboratory observations and simulated calculations of energy and lattice mismatch, we propose that chromite crystallized at the interface between troilite and olivine in the impact melts, during which the nanospheres were lifted up and transported away from olivine surface and form a mushroom-shaped structure. This finding suggests that oriented attachment growth, chiefly confined to homogeneous systems thus far, can also take place in
heterogeneous systems far from equilibrium, such as that produced by the impacts. It is conceivable that the studied crystallization pathway occurring on the heterogeneous interfaces may have been a common mineralization mode at highly-nonequilibrium conditions.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Crystallization is a material attribute of condensed substances (Penn and Banfield 1998; Banfield et al. 2000; De Yoreo et al. 2015; He et al. 2021). The understanding of geological processes, materials science, and biogeochemistry heavily relies on the advances of crystallography and crystal chemistry (Ivanov et al. 2014; De Yoreo et al. 2015). In the last decades, a wide range of growth mechanisms concerning the mineralization of oxides, silicates, carbonates, metals, organic compounds, and biominerals in natural and synthetic systems have been reported (Burton 1951; Kashchiev 2003; Cantaert et al. 2012; Lenders et al. 2014; Nielsen et al. 2014). These studies summarized the classical theories, i.e., step growth and Ostwald ripening (Petsev et al. 2003; Giuffre et al. 2013), and non-classical pathways such as crystallization by particle attachment (CPA) (De Yoreo et al. 2015). Classical theories describe the incorporation of individual ions or molecules onto high-energy crystal faces (Baronnet 1972). Although proven to be operative for homogeneous crystallization of many materials in dilute solutions (Ivanov et al. 2014), the classical
model fails to predict the nonequilibrium crystallization of supercooled liquids of metallic alloys (Hu and Tanaka 2022) and micro/nanoparticles with atypical habits under high supersaturation (Li et al. 2013). Instead, laboratory and field observations have revealed the attachment of particles with sizes ranging from multi-ion complexes to fully-formed nanoparticles (Lee et al. 2016), a mechanism commonly referred to as a non-classic growth pathway. Oriented attachment (OA), a key step of CPA, mainly involves aggregating nanocrystals in homogeneous systems to self-assemble into extended structures on specific crystal planes (De Yoreo et al. 2015; He et al. 2021).

However, under extreme disequilibrium conditions (e.g., meteorite impact), crystal growth pathways may be much more complicated. This is because extreme nonequilibrium crystallization may involve some uncommon processes, such as the rapid growth of minerals, the generation of new phases, the complex ion exchange between different phases (Hauwiller et al. 2018) and so on. These processes are allowed by the rapid change of supersaturation and partition coefficient between the crystallines and melts (Sunagawa 2005; Feng et al. 2009). and have rarely been considered in existing crystal growth theories. Related processes and mechanisms need to be explored to expand the current knowledge base and to predict minerals evolution in extreme conditions.

In this study, we investigated in detail the growth mechanism in the lunar breccia glass returned by China's Chang'e-5 (CE5) mission, and report a novel mineral growth pathway taking place under a far-from-equilibrium condition. The work describes whisker crystallization between two attached (nano)crystal phases, wherein the
pre-existing crystals and matrix provide elements for the newly-formed phase, resulting in the formation of a parallel intergrowth of olivine, chromite, troilite, and nano-phase metallic iron (npFe⁰) spheres. This finding may provide a diagnostic mineral assemblage and texture for impact-induced nonequilibrium growth and shed light on the further interpretations of crystal growth under extremely-nonequilibrium environments.

SAMPLE AND METHODS

The lunar regolith sample (CE5C0000YJYX125) used in this study was returned by the Chang'e-5 mission from Oceanus Procellarum region of the Moon, and provided by the China National Space Administration (CNSA). The studied particles were selected from a breccia clast in a Mikrouna glove box (N₂ > 99.999%, H₂O < 0.01 ppm, O₂ < 1.0 ppm). Selected samples were embedded in epoxy resin and then polished for further investigations.

**Scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations.** SEM observations were conducted with a TESCAN MIRA3 field-emission scanning electron microscope operated at a voltage of 20 kV after the surface of the sample was coated by carbon.

**Electron Probe X-ray Microanalyzer (EPMA) analyses.** The elemental composition of minerals was determined on a JEOL JXA-8230 (Tokyo, Japan) electron probe microanalyzer at operating conditions of 15 kV, 20 nA, and ~3 μm beam diameter. The ZAF correction method was used for data reduction, with all the standard metals/minerals are produced by Structure Probe, Inc (SPI) Supplies (United
Focused-ion-beam (FIB) cutting and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations. An FEI Scios dual-beam system was used for the FIB cutting on the silicon wafer sample. After being located and deposited with Pt, thin sections (with a thickness of ~100 nm) of interested area for TEM observations were then cut by Ga\(^+\) ion beam in the FIB system. Then the observation in both TEM and scanning TEM (STEM) modes was performed using an FEI Talos F200S TEM at a accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping were performed in STEM mode with two superX detectors, with a dwell time of 10 μs and the results summed from 50 frames. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) semi-quantitative analyses from mapping data were conducted using the FEI Velox software. Electron energy loss spectroscopic (EELS) analyses were performed in STEM mode with a Gatan 1077 EELS spectrometer. EELS results were acquired in a dual mode with zero-peak locking. Spectroscopic images have a pixel step of 2 nm. All the EELS data processing tasks (including background and plural scattering subduction, signal integration, data fitting, and mapping) were conducted in the Gatan Microscope Suite (GMS) software (version 3.50).

Calculation of Fe\(^{3+}/\sum\text{Fe}\) ratios. According to EELS quantitative studies (van Aken et al. 1998; van Aken and Liebscher 2002), the integral intensity ratio of \(I(L_3)/I(L_2)\) computed from two 2.1 eV-width windows (i.e., 708.85–710.95 and 719.65–721.75 eV) depends on the ferric iron percentage, i.e., Fe\(^{3+}/\sum\text{Fe}\). The calculated integral \(I(L_3)/I(L_2)\) rations were then converted to Fe\(^{3+}/\sum\text{Fe}\) ratios through
the equation (van Aken and Liebscher 2002):

$$\frac{I(L_3)}{I(L_2)} = \frac{1}{a \cdot x^2 + b \cdot x + c} - 1,$$

where $x$ is the ferric iron concentration, $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}$. The parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$ are equal to $0.193 \pm 0.007$, $-0.465 \pm 0.009$, and $0.366 \pm 0.003$, respectively. The statistical standard deviation of the $I(L_3)/I(L_2)$ values is less than 5%, and the absolute systematic errors for quantitative determination are roughly 0.05 for $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe} < 0.4$ and 0.03 for $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe} > 0.6$. Then the results were used to map the $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}$ ratios of specific regions, via applying pseudo color to characterize the quotient of EELS signal integral in the two windows of 708.85–710.95 and 719.65–721.75 eV. The maps of $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}$ ratios with pseudo color were also produced by the ratio of $I(L_3)/I(L_2)$ in every single EELS spectrum within the mapping area. According to previous calculation, the $I(L_3)/I(L_2)$ lower than 1.7 represents an absence of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ (i.e., all the Fe species are identified as metallic Fe and/or $\text{Fe}^{2+}$), while the $I(L_3)/I(L_2)$ higher than this value indicates an existence of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ with various proportions in the sample (van Aken and Liebscher 2002).

RESULTS

Morphological observations

The collected CE5 breccia sample is composed of several clasts that are cemented by impact melt (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). A unique texture of the rock is a stripe-like pattern of parallel olivine rods (Figs. 1B and S1) situated in the glass.
matrix. Whisker-shaped nano-needles with multiple phases spout perpendicular to the olivine base to form ‘mushrooms' with chromite as stems and npFe$^0$ sitting in troilite as caps (Figs. 1C and D). Olivine particles are uniformly oriented to form columns and share their [100] zone axis with the [001] of chromite (Figs. 2A-C, and fast Fourier transform patterns in Figs. 2D-E). The conically-shaped chromite crystals, with a diameter of ~ 40 nm at the bottom and ~ 20 nm at the top, protrude from the olivine base (Figs. 2A-C). Nanospheres of metallic iron (i.e., npFe$^0$) usually occur at the top of the whisker structure, with troilite located between npFe$^0$ and chromite crystal (Fig. 2C). Troilite connects npFe$^0$ and chromite by sharing its [110] zone axis with the [001] zone axis of npFe$^0$ and the [110] zone axis of chromite simultaneously (Fig. 2). Nanospheres of npFe$^0$ and troilite are adjacent on the top of each chromite needle (Figs. 2A-C). Besides being a part of the whisker structures, most (~ 89%) nanospheres of troilite and npFe$^0$ are irregularly distributed in the glass (Fig. 2A). These npFe$^0$ and troilite nanospheres in glass have a similar size to those in the whisker structures (Fig. 3), with diameters in the range of 24.3 – 31.6 nm.

**Chemical analyses**

Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (Fig. S1 and Table 1) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) integrated with transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 4 and Table 2) reveal a complex distribution of iron species. Note that the EDS quantification and EELS results of nanoparticles, including troilite, npFe$^0$ and chromite whiskers, inevitably have a contribution of silicate glass (Table 1 and Fig. 4). Olivine crystals have a Fe content ranging from 8.9 at% to 11.0 at%, with an average
chemical formula of \((\text{Mg}_{1.00}\text{Fe}_{0.74}\text{Ti}_{0.09}\text{Cr}_{0.02}\text{Al}_{0.02}\text{Mn}_{0.01})\sum=1.88\text{SiO}_4\) (Fo=57). Metallic iron occurs exclusively in the npFe\(^0\) nanospheres, whereas Fe\(^{2+}\) is dominant in olivine, chromite, and troilite (Table 2 and Figs. 4B-D). The small account of Fe\(^{3+}\) in npFe\(^0\) and nanophase troilite shown by EELS maps in Fig. 4 is contributed by surrounding Fe\(^{3+}\)-bearing glass, as a result of the spherical shape of these nanoparticles wrapped by glass in the FIB section. In the meantime, the valence state and distribution pattern of the Fe ions in the glass are different from the crystalline phases within the whisker structure. For glass located with unequal distance to the whisker structure, the different position and shape of the Fe \(L\)\(_{2,3}\)-edge in EELS spectra present a mixture of ferric and ferrous iron with variable ratios (Table 2 and Fig. 4C) (Garvie and Buseck 1998; van Aken et al. 1998; van Aken and Liebscher 2002). EELS results (Figs. 4B-D) reveal an increase of Fe\(^{3+}/\sum\text{Fe}\) in the glass around chromite needles (Glass A) compared to the peripheral glass (Glass B), which is indicated by the rightward shift of the Fe \(L\)\(_{2,3}\)-edge position and a higher basic intensity of the Fe \(L\)\(_3\)-edge of Glass-A (van Aken and Liebscher 2002).

The occurrence of Cr in chromite, olivine and glass is confirmed by EELS spectra (Fig. S2), of which Cr-bearing olivine has been documented as a product of high temperature crystallization (Chen et al. 2022). Note that in the olivine particles connected with whisker structures, Cr occurs unevenly with a lower content (~ 0.09 at%) at the edge and a higher content (~ 0.26 at%) in the interior region (Fig. 5). On the contrary, the olivine particles embedded in the glass (without any connection with chromite) display uniform Cr distribution.
DISCUSSION

The chemical and structural analyses suggest that the intergrowth structure of chromite, troilite, and npFe$^0$ attached to the olivine may have evolved in three stages: (1) the formation of npFe$^0$ and troilite nanospheres (Figs. 6A-C), (2) the oriented attachment between nanospheres and olivine (Figs. 6D and E), and (3) the growth of chromite (Figs. 6F and G).

**Formation of npFe$^0$ nanospheres**

npFe$^0$ is a common product of (micro)meteoroid impact (Hapke et al. 1975; 2001; Christoffersen et al. 1996; Keller and McKAY 1997; Xian et al. 2023) and solar wind irradiation (Housley et al. 1974; Hapke et al. 2001; Badyukov 2020). In this study, the striped texture observed in the section, being composed of olivine and glass platelets (or tabular grains) in three dimensions, is comparable to barred olivine presenting in some chondrules (Weisberg 1987; Tsuchiyama et al. 2004). The impact origin of npFe$^0$ is further indicated by the disproportionation reaction of ferrous iron (Xian et al. 2023), which is suggested by the complexly spatial coexistence of npFe$^0$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$. Especially, the decrease of Fe$^{3+}$ content in glass with increasing distance to the whisker structure also supports the occurrence of disproportionation reaction (Fig. 4C). Another common product of impacts, i.e., troilite, have been reported and studied by numerous studies of extraterrestrial sample, including meteorites and lunar regolith samples (e.g., Tomkins 2009; Guo et al. 2022). Spherical inclusions of troilite and troilite-metal (usually troilite-iron) complex in
silicate glass are demonstrated to be related to a high temperature and high cooling rate that help with driving some of sulfur out of the initially mental sulfide phases as:

$$\text{Fe}_{1-x} \rightarrow \text{FeS(troilite)} + x\text{S}_2(\text{gaseous sulfur}) \quad (\text{Lauretta et al. 1997; Tomkins 2009}).$$

The impacts generate metallic iron, ferric iron and troilite ± iron in the melt which was dominated by ferrous iron (Mayne et al., 2009). The size of npFe$^0$ and troilite-iron nanoparticles provide an estimation of the reaction temperature (Nanda et al. 2002; Xian et al. 2023). Calculation shows that with a number density of ~ 6.7 per μm$^2$ and an average diameter of ~ 26.2 nm, the nanoparticles form at a temperature higher than 1500 °C, which is corresponded to a (semi-)liquid condition. The liquid environment of impact-induced melts facilitates the nonequilibrium crystallization of the new mineral phase (De Yoreo et al. 2015; Ivanov et al. 2014; He et al. 2021), i.e., chromite.

**Oriented attachment between nanospheres and olivine**

Oriented attachment (OA) has been found chiefly responsible for the development of certain specific morphological features such as dendrites and whiskers, by creating coherent interphase boundaries for primary particles to assemble and form branches (Li et al. 2013; De Yoreo et al. 2015; Lu et al. 2006). The chromite needles with nanospheres of troilite and npFe$^0$ on the olivine surfaces may be interpreted within the framework of modern nonequilibrium growth processes by three possible pathways:

1. **OA occurs in the space between chromite and olivine, and that between nanospheres and chromite.** This suggests that the chromite branches and nanospheres
of troilite and npFe$^0$ crystallize independently at the early stage, followed by the assembly of them with olivine.

(2) OA only occurs between nanospheres and chromite, while the chromite crystallized under an environment far from equilibrium conditions and crystallized from the melt before the attachment of the nanospheres.

(3) OA does not occur; in such a case, chromite and nanospheres within the whisker structure are both accessory minerals of olivine crystallization.

However, the first two hypotheses lead to the possibility to form isolated chromite branches instead of being associated with any nanosphere, contradicting our observations that chromite only occurs within the whisker structure. The absence of isolated chromite branches without any connection with troilite and npFe$^0$ spheres suggests that the chromite formation is intertwined with the growth of the nanospheres. The third hypothesis fails to interpret the wide distribution of troilite and npFe$^0$ nanospheres in the glass, particularly because all the nanospheres have a similar size (Fig. 3). Thus, there appears to exist additional pathways responsible for the formation of the whisker structure.

Our observations that chromite branches are always covered by troilite or troilite-iron nanospheres (Fig. 6) imply the formation of chromite needles was later than nanospheres, i.e., after attachment of nanospheres to olivine. More importantly, our observations suggest that OA can also occur in an heteroepitaxial fashion between different species, though most related studies have focused on the assembly of co-aligned nanocrystals of the same mineral species (De Yoreo et al. 2015).
Crystallization of chromite

The decrease of Cr in the olivine particles attached to chromite in conjunction with the uniform distribution of Cr in isolated olivine crystals suggests that olivine may be one of the chemical sources of Cr for chromite. Olivine with high Cr content can be formed under high temperature and pressure conditions (Burns 1975; Chen et al. 2022). But the incompatibility of Cr in the olivine drives it to migrate from the olivine lattice to reduce the total Gibbs free energy, leading to an increase of the partition coefficients of Cr between the melt and olivine (Min 1992; Sunagawa 2005; Chen et al. 2022). When the melt becomes supersaturated relative to Cr, chromite nucleates from the melt (e.g., Arai 1978) and firstly crystallizes at the high-energy defect plane formed by the attachment between olivine and nanospheres. The growth of chromite creates a chemical potential gradient driving more Cr cations losing from the olivine at high temperatures, which causes the apparent lower Cr contents adjacent to the chromite grains here.

From the crystallographic perspective, the occurrence of chromite between troilite and olivine can be interpreted by using the degree of lattice mismatch between the two adjacent phases. The lattice mismatch ($\Delta$) is expressed as:

$$\Delta = \frac{a_s - a_e}{a_e}, \quad (1)$$

where $a_s$ and $a_e$ are the original lattice constants of the primary crystal and the overgrowth material, respectively. Low mismatch, i.e., close resemblance of crystal structures, leads to an easier intergrowth (Liu and Zhang 2020). Using relevant cell parameters (Table 3), the lattice mismatch between olivine and npFe$^0$ ($\Delta_1$) is:
the lattice mismatch between olivine and troilite ($\Delta_2$) is:

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{d_3 - a_c}{a_s} = \sqrt{\frac{d_\text{olf} - a_{\text{Fe}}}{d_\text{olf} - a_{\text{FeS}}}} = 0.27.$$ 

In the case of the lattice mismatch between olivine and chromite, the $a$ of chromite is an integral multiple of the $a$ of olivine, which leads to a significant decrease of their lattice mismatch ($\Delta_3$). Thus, the calculation can be simplified as:

$$\Delta_3 = \frac{d_3 - a_c}{a_s} \approx \frac{d_\text{olf} - a_{\text{Chr}}}{d_\text{olf} - b} = 0.20.$$ 

Calculation results show that the lattice mismatch between olivine and npFe$^0$ ($\Delta_1 = 0.99$) is much higher than that between olivine and troilite ($\Delta_2 = 0.27$). This means that the attachment of troilite to olivine (along the $(1\overline{1}0)$ plane of olivine as determined by orientation in Fig. 2 and S3) can occur more readily than that of npFe$^0$ to olivine. Note that, for the growth of chromite on olivine, the cell parameter $a$ for chromite is exactly an integral multiple of that for olivine (Table 3), resulting in a lower lattice mismatch ($\Delta_3 \approx 0.20$). Based on the lattice mismatch values between the three mineral phases and olivine, one can predict that chromite has the strongest tendency to attach to olivine in the studied sample, followed by troilite, while npFe$^0$ is relatively more difficult. This order is supported by the excellent match between the oxygen sublattices of olivine and spinel phases (chromite, magnetite) established by previous studies (e.g. Champness 1970), and also fits consistently with the mineral assemblage in the whisker structures observed here.
Nano-needles made of olivine, chromite, troilite and npFe\(^0\) in the elongation direction are ubiquitous (Figs. 1C and D) although those of olivine and troilite alone can be occasionally observed (Figs. 6E and F). However, an exclusive association between olivine and npFe\(^0\) has never been observed. TEM observations suggest that crystallization of chromite only took place after successful attachment of troilite to olivine.

**Mineral growth pathway and formation of novel whisker structure**

We propose a new non-classical growth pathway that can explain chromite crystallization from the primary impacted melting system with the elemental contributions of the melt and crystallized olivine. In this case, new minerals springing from early-cystallized mineral's surface, extracting elements from the neighboring crystals and melt. Such a pathway is further supported by a simulation of energy balance. For a rapidly cooling system that far from equilibrium, the interaction energy can be simplified as the total work of electrostatic force and the van der Waals force (Penn 2004). Here the evolution of interface energy on the interface between troilite-iron nanospheres and olivine during the oriented attachment was estimated, applying the DLVO (Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey, and Overbeek) theory and the Fuoss equation (Turnbull 1956; Stokes and Evans 1997) via considering the minerals as electrolyte particles in the melts (liquid) at a high temperature and pressure condition:

\[
V_I(h) = \pi r \left( \frac{-A}{12\pi H} + \frac{64\varepsilon k T^2 e^{-\kappa h}}{\kappa^2} \right),
\]

where
\[ \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon r k T}{2000 e^2 N A} , \quad (2) \]

and

\[ \Gamma_o = \frac{\exp(ze\Phi_o / 2kT) - 1}{\exp(ze\Phi_o / 2kT) + 1} . \quad (3) \]

In these equations, \( V_T(h) \) is the total interaction energy, \( r \) the radius of the particle, \( A \) the Hamaker constant, \( H \) the separation distance between particles (meters), \( c \) the bulk concentration of electrolyte, \( \kappa \) is the reciprocal of the Debye length (meters\(^{-1}\)). Other common parameters are denoted by \( k \) (Boltzmann's constant), \( T \) (temperature), \( \varepsilon_r \) (dielectric constant of the medium), \( \varepsilon_0 \) (the vacuum permittivity), \( N_A \) (Avogadro's number), \( ze \) (charge on the electrolyte ions), and \( \Phi_o \) (surface potential in volts). The Hamaker constant \( (A) \) is defined by:

\[ V_A = -\frac{A R}{12 H} , \quad (4) \]

and \( V_A = -GMm/H. \quad (5) \)

with \( V_A \) is the gravitational potential energy, \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( M \) and \( m \) represent the mass of the two particles, and \( H \) is the distance between the two objects.

The \( V_T(h) \) in this study is estimated to be \( \sim 5.41 \times 10^{-4} \) kJ/mol \((\Delta G_1 \) in Fig. 7) for the attachment of a troilite nanoparticle to olivine. After OA, chromite starts to appear on the interface. The growth rate of nano-phase crystallization in an interface-controlled polymorphic phase transformation is given by:

\[ x = k_0 T \exp(-Q/RT)[1-\exp(-\Delta G_r/RT)] , \quad (6) \]
where \( k_0 \) is a constant, \( Q \) is the activation energy for growth, \( \Delta G_r \) is the free energy change of reaction, and \( R \) is the gas constant (Turnbull 1956; Rubie et al. 1990). Therefore, the \( \Delta G_r \) can be derived from equation (6) as:

\[
\Delta G_r = -RT \cdot \ln \left[ 1 - \frac{x}{k_0 T \exp \left( \frac{-Q}{RT} \right)} \right]. \quad (7)
\]

The activation energy for the chromite growth was calculated to be \( 6.88 \times 10^{-5} \) kJ/mol (\( \Delta G_2 \)). This value is much lower than the total energy produced by the attachment of troilite to olivine, an indication that that chromite crystallization can readily take place on the surface of olivine (i.e., the interface between troilite and olivine). According to the calculations of both lattice mismatch degree and interface energy, OA between the troilite-iron nanoparticles and olivine generates an unstable interface with a high lattice mismatch degree and a high interface energy, which facilitates the nucleation of chromite on the interface to decrease the lattice mismatch degree and interface energy (Fig. 7). Thus, the crystallization of chromite on the interface between the olivine and troilite-iron nanoparticles is advantageous for stabilizing the interface between the two, until the activation energy required for further crystallization cannot be provided.

**IMPLICATION**

Via detailed textural and compositional analysis of a mushroom-shaped structure from the CE5 lunar breccia, we describe a newly-formed chromite occurring on olivine base and capped by nanospheres of troilite and npFe\(^0\) (Fig. S4). The whisker growth of chromite was induced by the occurrence of oriented attachment and the
nucleation of chromite from Cr-supersaturated melt, and was fed by olivine for Cr and melt for Cr and Fe. We propose a novel non-classical growth pathway to create new mineral phases on the heterogeneous interfaces between primary minerals, incorporating impurity ions of neighboring minerals. We reveal that except in single-phase crystal systems, OA could also operate in multi-phases crystallization system.

The previously unrecognized crystal-growth pathway that forming a mushroom-shaped integrowth of olivine, chromite and (troilite-iron) nanospheres responsible for the formation of whisker structure containing different mineral phases requires extreme nonequilibrium conditions. Given the frequent (micro)meteoroid impacts on the Moon, we suspect this mechanism is likely to be wide-spread in lunar regolith and thus may be an indicator for the extreme unequilibrium crystallization. The mechanism may provide new insights for the local mineralogy on the Moon, and for further interpretations of crystallization at highly-unequilibrium environments. The observed whisker structure has until now never been reported in earth samples, though whiskers and dendrites of mantle-derived minerals (e.g., pyroxene and olivine) are common in glass-rich igneous rock such as obsidian (Welsch et al. 2014). The temperature, pressure and other geochemical conditions acquired by the occurrence of this mechanism still need more detailed experiments to explore.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Morphological characteristics of a cluster sampled from the CE5 lunar surface regolith. (A-B) SEM images of the sample. The olivine and glass rows are marked by a red circle in (A). (C) HAADF-STEM image of an FIB section that was cut from the location framed by a red square in (B). Olivine, chromite whiskers (marked by red arrows), and nanospheres of troilite and npFe$^0$ dispersed in amorphous materials are observed in the section. (D) The TEM image of the whisker structure composed of npFe$^0$, troilite, and chromite with a base of olivine.

Figure 2. Morphological and structural characteristics of the sample. (A) Low magnification TEM image of the sample section. (B) The enlarged TEM image of square 1 in (A), showing the interface between olivine and chromite. (C) The enlarged TEM image of square 2 in (A), showing the interfaces between chromite and troilite, and between troilite and npFe$^0$ nanosphere. (D-G) The fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns of the four minerals in (B) and (C).

Figure 3. Statistics of troilite and npFe$^0$ nanoparticle diameters in the melt (red line) and those on the top of the whisker structure (black line). Blue area presents their concentrated range of particle diameter.

Figure 4. Morphology and Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe distribution of the whisker structure in the sample. (A) HAADF-STEM image of the whisker structure in the FIB section. Red
squares 1 and 2 frame the EELS mapping areas. (B) The mapping image of square 1, which shows a higher Fe$^{3+}$ content in the glass surrounding the whisker (Glass A) and lower Fe$^{3+}$ content in glass which is relatively far from the whisker (Glass B). The pseudo color is determined by the integrate intensity ratio of Fe-\(L_3\) and Fe-\(L_2\) edge [i.e., \(I(\text{\(L_3\)})/I(\text{\(L_2\)})\)] of each EELS spectrum, with the color bar positioned on the lower right of the Fig. 4. Delineated by the boundary at \(I(\text{\(L_3\)})/I(\text{\(L_2\)}) = 1.7\), the colors signify the absence of Fe$^{3+}$ \([I(\text{\(L_3\)})/I(\text{\(L_2\)}) \leq 1.7]\) and the mixture of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ \([1.7 < I(\text{\(L_3\)})/I(\text{\(L_2\)}) < 3.0]\), respectively. The same in (D). (C) EELS spectra collected from Glass A and Glass B. (D) The mapping image of square 2, also showing a higher Fe$^{3+}$ content in Glass A and a lower Fe$^{3+}$ content in Glass B.

Figure 5. A compositional comparison between (A) the region near the olivine surface (Glass A) and (B) internal region of the olivine particle (Glass B). The inset with an enlarged image shows an obvious intensity difference of Cr-K peaks between A and B. The intensities of the Si peaks in the two patterns have been normalized to be equal for comparison.

Figure 6. Developing stages of the whisker structure. The process begins with the nucleation of npFe$^{0}$ (A), troilite (B), and troilite-iron (C) nanospheres in the impact-induced glass; then an oriented attachment between the assemblages and olivine (D-E) takes places, providing a high-energy plane for the growth of chromite (F) with Cr from both melt and olivine and Fe$^{2+}$ from the melt. Thus, a whisker structure containing npFe$^{0}$, troilite, and chromite with a base of olivine (G) forms.
Figure 7. Simulated surface energy evolution with chromite crystallizing on olivine. The whole progress is described in three stages, beginning with two particles of olivine and troilite existing independently in the impact-induced melts (stage I). Then an oriented attachment between two particles increases the total energy by $\Delta G_1$ and forms the assemblages of troilite and olivine (stage II). When their attachment accomplishes (i.e., the curve reaches to break A), chromite spontaneously occurs because the activating energy of its crystallization is provided (stage III). The stages are differentiated by the background color of the curve.
Table 1. Chemical compositions of materials in Chang'e-5 sample from STEM-EDS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>59.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromite</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>62.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>troilite</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>36.37</td>
<td>36.35</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>npFe⁰</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>75.92</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>63.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

①These data were the averages of the studied areas in EDS mappings.

②The EDS quantitative results of crystallines, especially of chromite, troilite and npFe⁰, have the contribution of the silicate glass.
Table 2. Concentrations of Fe with different valences in the Chang'e-5 sample based on EELS results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>zone</th>
<th>peak position of Fe $L_3$-edge (eV)</th>
<th>peak position of Fe $L_2$-edge (eV)</th>
<th>$\text{Fe}^{3+}/\Sigma\text{Fe ratio}^{\dagger}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>709.15</td>
<td>721.80</td>
<td>bdl$^{\ddagger}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromite</td>
<td>708.55</td>
<td>721.45</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>troilite</td>
<td>708.80</td>
<td>721.65</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>npFe$^0$</td>
<td>708.75</td>
<td>721.80</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass A</td>
<td>710.95</td>
<td>723.55</td>
<td>$\sim$ 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass B</td>
<td>710.15</td>
<td>722.40</td>
<td>$\sim$ 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{\dagger}$The $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ contents cannot be estimated directly. In the area without an occurrence of metallic iron, the ratio of $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\Sigma\text{Fe}$ can be acquired via extracting the $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ content from the total Fe content (i.e., $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\Sigma\text{Fe} = 1 - \text{Fe}^{3+}/\Sigma\text{Fe}$).

$^{\ddagger}$Bdl: below the detection limit. The same below.
Table 3. Unit cell parameters of mineral phases in the samples, acquired by electronic diffraction patterns and fast Fourier transform results from HRTEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mineral</th>
<th>crystal system</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$a$ (°)</th>
<th>$\beta$ (°)</th>
<th>$\gamma$ (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>npFe$^0$</td>
<td>isometric</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>troilite</td>
<td>isometric</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromite</td>
<td>isometric</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

(A) Image showing a whisker structure within a sample.

(B) Magnified view highlighting nanospheres in the sample.

(C) Detailed view of glass, nanospheres, and whisker structure.

(D) Inset image showing npFe, troilite, and olivine.

(C) and (D) images use arrows and labels to indicate specific features.
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Figure 3

The graph shows the distribution of nanospheres in the melt and on the top of whiskers as a function of diameter (nm). The red line represents nanospheres in the melt, and the black line represents nanospheres on the top of whiskers. The counts are displayed on the y-axis, and the diameter (nm) is shown on the x-axis.
Figure 5
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>A (At%)</th>
<th>B (At%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>59.44</td>
<td>59.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>13.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>9.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>15.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7
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I-independent particles of troilite and olivine

II- assemblages of troilite and olivine

III-whiskers of chromite and troilite on the olivine

OA accomplishes, and chromite crystallization starts