1	Plastic deformation of dry fine-grained olivine aggregates under high
2	pressures
3	
4	Reynold E. Silber <sup>1,*,**</sup> , Jennifer Girard <sup>1</sup> , Haiyan Chen <sup>2</sup> and Shun-ichiro Karato <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Yale University, New Haven, 06511 CT, USA
7	<sup>2</sup> Mineral Physics Institute, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook 11794 NY, USA
8	
9	
10	*current address:
11	Northern New Mexico College (NNMC)
12	921 N Paseo De Onate, Española, NM 87532
13	
14	**corresponding author (reynold.silber@gmail.com)
15	
16	
17	
18	ms# 9223R1
19	Revision 2 submitted to American Mineralogist
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

#### 25 Abstract

26 This study investigates the effect of pressure on diffusion creep of dry San Carlos and 27 synthetic (prepared by sol-gel method) olivine. We prepared dry (water content < 9 ppm wt) 28 fine-grained (< 1 µm grain-size) olivine and deformed the samples (both San Carlos and sol-29 gel olivine in the same assembly) in the same sample assembly under high-pressure (P = 2.9-30 8.8 GPa) and modest temperatures (T = 980-1250 K) at a fixed strain-rate. Evolution of 31 strength was studied using the radial X-ray diffraction from various diffraction planes. We 32 found that San Carlos and sol-gel olivine show similar rheological behaviour (when 33 normalized to the same grain-size). Stress estimated by the radial X-ray diffraction increases 34 with time and initially shows similar values for all diffraction planes. In many cases, stress 35 values start to depend on the diffraction planes in the later stage and time dependence 36 becomes minor. The micro-structural observations show that grain-size increases during an 37 experiment. The results are interpreted using a theory of radial X-ray diffraction and the 38 theoretical models of diffusion and dislocation creep. We conclude that the initial stage of 39 deformation is by diffusion creep, but deformation in the later stage is by dislocation creep. For dislocation creep, our results are in reasonable agreement with previous low temperature 40 41 dislocation creep results after a correction of temperature effect. For diffusion creep, we obtain an activation volume of  $7.0 \pm 2.4$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol that is substantially smaller than the values 42 43 reported on dislocation creep but agrees well with the results on grain-growth. By comparing 44 the present results on dry olivine with the previous results on wet (water-saturated) olivine, 45 we found that water enhances diffusion creep but only modestly in comparison to dislocation 46 creep. The difference in the pressure and water content dependence between diffusion and 47 dislocation creep has an important influence on the dominant deformation mechanisms of 48 olivine in the upper mantle.

49

51

#### Introduction

52	Plastic deformation of minerals such as olivine occurs either by dislocation or diffusion
53	creep in most parts of the upper mantle (e.g., Karato et al., 1986; Karato and Wu, 1993; Hirth
54	and Kohlstedt, 1995a,b; Mei and Kohlstedt 2000a,b). Because some geophysically relevant
55	questions (e.g., seismic anisotropy, shear localization) are sensitive to deformation mechanisms,
56	it is important to explore the dominant deformation mechanisms in Earth's upper mantle and
57	understand how pressure might modulate it. The rate of deformation ( $\dot{m{\mathcal{E}}}$ ) is dependent on
58	pressure $(P)$ and temperature $(T)$ as
59	$\dot{\varepsilon} = A \cdot \sigma^n \cdot d^{-m} \cdot exp\left(-\frac{E^* + PV^*}{RT}\right) $ (1),
60	where A is a constant, $\sigma$ is deviatoric stress, d is grain size, R is the gas constant, E* is activation
61	energy, $V^*$ is activation volume, <i>n</i> is the stress exponent and <i>m</i> is the grain size exponent and
62	both $n$ and $m$ depend on deformation mechanism (e.g., (Karato, 2008)).
63	Among the parameters in the flow law relation (Eq. $(1)$ ), A, n, m and E* are relatively
64	easy to measure and reasonably well constrained for olivine for both diffusion and dislocation
65	creep at least under low-pressure conditions. In contrast, the activation volume ( $V^*$ ) that
66	represents the pressure effects on deformation is difficult to measure, and most previous studies
67	on <i>V</i> * were on dislocation creep (e.g., Dixon and Durham, 2018; Kawazoe et al., 2009; Li et al.,
68	2006) In the case of diffusion creep not many studies were done to estimate $V^*$ because of the

69 challenge in controlling grain size. In most previous studies on deformation in the deep upper

mantle, the activation volume of diffusion creep was assumed (e.g., Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2003;

- 71 Karato and Wu, 1993), and in such a case, the validity of such an assumption needs to be
- 72 evaluated. An exception is Mei and Kohlstedt (2000a), who reported  $V^* \sim 15 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$  based on

73 low-pressure data up to 0.45 GPa. However, the validity of their estimated uncertainties is 74 uncertain due to the low-pressure range used in their study. Among the reports based on the higher pressure data, Nishihara et al. (2014) reported  $V^*$  of  $8.2 \pm 0.9$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol for dry olivine in 75 76 the diffusion creep regime, using modestly small grain size samples based on the data from 77 P=3.0-5.4 GPa. However, under their experimental conditions, samples deformed in the mixed 78 regime and the estimated creep law parameters have large uncertainties. Another is Silber et al. 79 (2022) where they used natural San Carlos as well as synthetic fine-grained aggregates (~0.2-0.7  $\mu$ m grain-size) and determined V\* of 2.5 ± 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol for diffusion creep under the "wet" 80 81 (water-rich) conditions (P=3-10 GPa). In this paper, we report the activation volume of natural 82 and synthetic olivine samples made from San Carlos olivine or from sol-gel method, extending 83 the previous study (Silber et al., 2022) to "dry" conditions. 84 A key to this work is the preparation of fine-grained specimens, minimizing grain-growth 85 during deformation experiments, and the use of the *in-situ* radial X-ray diffraction with a help of 86 a theory (Karato, 2009) to infer the operating mechanisms of deformation. In the next section, we 87 describe the experimental procedure, including the sample preparation and characterization. And 88 in a later section, we summarize the experimental observations and present a flow law for 89 diffusion creep under dry conditions. In the final section we will discuss some implications of the 90 present results.

91

92

#### Methods

93 Sample preparation

To address potential difference in strength between San Carlos olivine (Mg<sub>0.91</sub>, Fe<sub>0.09</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, and synthetic olivine (solgel), two sets of samples were prepared. Following Karato et al. (1986) we prepared fine-grained aggregates of San Carlos (SC) olivine. We selected pristine San Carlos olivine crystals which were initially manually pulverized. Pulverized powder was further ground in a ball mill for at least 24 hours. The ultrafine powder was extracted via sedimentation technique. Separated ultrafine powder had an average grain-sizes between 100-200 nm.

101 The ultrafine powder was then mixed with 1 wt% orthopyroxene (opx) to control the 102 silica activity. The grain growth mitigation was achieved by adding up to 5 wt% nano-size 103 (0.013  $\mu$ m) alumina. The prepared mixture was baked at 1273 K in a controlled oxygen fugacity 104 gas furnace (f<sub>02</sub>~ 10<sup>-7-</sup>10<sup>-8</sup> (Pa)) and then loaded into the Ni capsule. These densely packed 105 samples were subsequently hot-pressed at 3 GPa and 1073 K for one hour.

106 The preparation of solution gelation (solgel) samples was done following the method 107 described by Faul and Jackson (2007). Specifically, dissolution of magnesium and iron nitrates 108 was caried out in ethanol and homogenized with tetraethyl orthosilicate. To remove ethanol from 109 the mixture, the solution was heated on a hot plate at 30° C for several days. During the heating, 110 the gelation was initiated by introducing small amount of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) to the solution 111 which was continuously stirred in a beaker with a magnetic agitator.

The final synthesis and drying of olivine with composition  $(Fe_{0.1}, Mg_{0.9})_2SiO_4$  was done by baking at high temperature (1273 K) under the oxygen fugacity using CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> gas mixture  $(f_{O2} \sim 10^{-7}-10^{-8} (Pa))$ . Finally, the synthesized crystalline material was milled again and sintered as in the case of San Carlos olivine. Following this step, the samples of desired length and width

116  $(1.3 \times 1.0 \text{ mm})$  were core drilled and dried again under controlled  $f_{O2}$  to remove any water 117 absorbed from the environment.

118

#### 119 Grain size, water content measurements and sample assembly

120 We measured the initial grain sizes of hot-pressed samples using a scanning electron 121 microscope (SEM) (FEI/Philips XL30 ESEM-FEG). As in our previous work, we encountered 122 difficulties in reliably measuring the grain size on polished and acid etched samples due to the 123 overall ultrafine grain size in our prepared polycrystalline aggregates where the grain boundaries 124 could not be resolved with desired clarity. To overcome that obstacle, grain size analysis was 125 performed on fractured sample surfaces (Figure 1) (the same technique was used by Silber et al. 126 (2022)). This approach was validated by simultaneously conducting the grain size analysis on 127 perfectly polished and acid etched samples and on the same, but fractured samples, originating 128 from a secondary olivine with substantially larger grain sizes (> 1  $\mu$ m). The grain size 129 distribution was determined using the intercept method and multiplying the obtained values by 130 the conversion factor of 1.5 (e.g., Abrams, 1971; Cahn and Fullman, 1956) (Figure 2). Grain-131 size was also measured from all samples after deformation experiments. The typical starting 132 grain size for solgel olivine was estimated to be in the range of  $\sim 0.15 - 0.25 \,\mu\text{m}$ . In the case of 133 San Carlos olivine samples, the observed grain size was somewhat larger ( $\sim 0.20 - 0.50 \,\mu m$ ). 134 The water content was determined for representative samples to ensure that the water 135 content is small enough to observe "dry" behavior in plastic deformation. The measurement of 136 each representative sample set was conducted using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy 137 (FTIR) using Excalibur FTS 3000 with UMA 600 Microscope. For this analysis, representative

samples were sequentially finely polished. Polished samples were prepared to thickness of ~100

139	$\mu m$ and scanned using 50 $\times$ 50 $\mu m$ aperture. The collected spectra were analyzed between 3000
140	and 3700 cm <sup>-1</sup> , corresponding to absorption bands generally associated with hydrogen in olivine.
141	The linear function was employed in background correction specifically for the purpose of
142	repeatability. The corrected and integrated infrared spectra was used to calculate hydroxyl
143	concentration Paterson (1982).
144	FTIR analysis of selected samples showed a small amount of water well below 9 ppm wt,
145	presumably acquired by samples during high-pressure experiments. After deformation, the
146	samples were analyzed again to confirm that water content did not change appreciably during the
147	deformation experiment (Figure 3).
148	The cell design and geometry followed the procedure established by Girard et al. (2020)
149	and used in Silber et al. (2022). In this arrangement, both San Carlos and solgel samples were
150	emplaced symmetrically relative to the center of the cell, separated by pyrope and platinum disc
151	strain markers. Therefore, the pressure and temperature (and the macroscopic stress) conditions
152	are nearly identical for these two samples.
153	
154	Experimental procedure and uncertainty estimates
155	In total, four deformation experiments were performed at the 6-BM B white X-ray
156	beamline at Advanced Photon Source (APS) in Argonne National Laboratory using a D-DIA
157	apparatus (e.g., Wang et al., 2003) (the experimental procedure is the same as in Silber et al.
158	(2022).
159	Prior to each deformation experiment in D-DIA, the X-ray beam calibration was
160	conducted while the sample assembly is under (nearly) hydrostatic condition. Diffraction data

was collected from the samples before uniaxial stress was applied and used as a reference in
order to calculate the differential stress during deformation (deviatoric stress during this stage
was kept minimum by retracting the differential rams). Also, pressure and temperature of each
run were estimated from the X-ray diffraction data on platinum and pyrope before deformation.
For equation of state (EOS) of platinum, we used the results reported by (Matsui et al., 2009; Zha
et al., 2008) and for pyrope (Hu et al., 2016; Zou et al., 2012; Fan et al., 2017).

167 The errors in pressure estimate result from the uncertainties of the molar volume of a 168 sample by X-ray diffraction and from temperature uncertainties in the equation of state including 169 thermal expansion. Consequently, we can take advantage of the fact that *P*-estimates from the 170 molar volume also depend on T and by using EOS's of two materials we can determine both P 171 and T. The uncertainties in the pressure estimate are  $\sim 0.5$  GPa, and for temperature it is  $\sim 50$  K.

172 In contrast to a case of dislocation creep, annealing was kept to a minimum to minimize 173 grain growth. The deformation, achieved by advancing vertically positioned opposite anvils at 174 uniform rate, was initiated 15 min after the target temperature was reached for each experiment 175 (time needed to collect high *P-T* spectra).

The collection of X-ray diffraction spectra during deformation experiments was
conducted in equal intervals (every 3 minutes). The strain of both San Carlos and solgel sample
was determined from the X-ray radiography images of strain markers, collected during the
deformation, between each X-ray diffraction.

The uncertainty in strain (and strain-rate) determined from the radiographic images in our experiments ranges up to 10% and depends on the pixel size of the image relative to the sample thickness, the quality of the image, processing software and total strain. Strain-rates were between 0.2-9.5 x  $10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (**Table 2**).

184 Stress was derived from the radial X-ray diffraction performed on each sample. This was 185 done at the same time intervals as strain data collection. The lattice strain, obtained from the 186 diffracted and azimuthally collected X-rays, was used in calculation of the differential stress  $\sigma_{hk}$ 187 for the particular (hkl) reflection in crystals during deformation. This approach is based on Singh 188 et al. (1998):

189 
$$d_{hkl}(\psi) \propto d_{hkl}^0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sigma_u}{6M} (1 - 3\cos^2 \psi) \right\}$$
(2),

190 where  $d_{hkl}$  the *d*-spacing for the lattice plan (*hkl*) during deformation,  $d_{hkl}^0$  is the *d*-spacing under 191 the hydrostatic conditions for the lattice plan (*hkl*), *M* is the Voigt-Reuss-Hill average of shear 192 modulus,  $\psi$  is the azimuthal angle, and  $\sigma_u$  is the uniaxial stress applied on the material that we 193 want to estimate. Stress is estimated by fitting the  $d_{hkl}$  ( $\psi$ ).

We used Plot85 in initial analysis (Dixon and Durham, 2018; Li et al., 2004; Li et al.,
2006; Vaughan et al., 2000). To determine stress in olivine, we used the best-observed
diffraction peaks obtained from (130), (131) and (112) planes. The errors in stress were
calculated using the same methodology as in Silber et al. (2022).

198

199

### Results

## 200 Microstructure and grain sizes

Figures 1 & 2 show the SEM images of representative samples, before and after deformation experiments. The average initial and final grain sizes estimated from the intercepts measurements are given in Table 1. Pressures, temperatures, and corresponding uncertainties for each experiment are also shown in Table 1. We followed the methodology of grain size quantification given by Silber et al. (2022). They showed that for samples with ultrafine grain

206	sizes (~0.1-1 $\mu$ m), it is preferential to quantify the grain sizes from fractured surfaces. That is
207	clear from Figures 1 and 2, as this approach permits the sub-0.1 $\mu$ m grains to be preserved and
208	quantified. A typical SEM preparation method using polishing and acid etching to expose grain
209	boundaries generally leads to obliteration of the smallest grains in etched samples and hence
210	would not be represented in general grain size distribution (i.e., Figure 2).
211	It can be seen from Figure 2 that appreciable grain growth occurred despite the
212	presence of OPX and pinning with nano-size alumina. However, the final grain sizes, in
213	experiments with the greatest grain growth, did not exceed 1 micron. Grain sizes in all samples
214	follow nearly log-normal distribution and grain boundaries exhibit typical $\sim 120^{\circ}$ angles.
215	Water content in the samples
216	Although we did not add any water in our experiments, some water may come from the
217	sample assembly during high-pressure experiments (see e.g., Karato, 1989). Therefore, to
218	mitigate potential water diffusion from the cell assembly components, boron epoxy cube, boron
219	nitride sleeves, alumina pistons as well as sample were baked in the oven for 24 h prior to the
220	deformation experiments. We measured the water content of our sample before and after a
221	deformation experiment.
222	We estimated water content by FTIR. The representative FTIR spectra of initial and
223	recovered San Carlos olivine and solgel samples are shown in Figure 3. The observed initial
224	water content in samples hot-pressed at 3 GPa and subsequently dried under controlled fugacity,
225	is low (less than ~5 ppm wt) almost below the detectability limit. However, we observed higher
226	water content after deformation experiments < 9 ppm wt) which suggests some absorption of
227	water from the ambient air in the range of $3600 - 3400$ cm <sup>-1</sup> . These values of water content are
228	less than the threshold water content to see "dry" behavior.

## 229 Mechanical data

230	The stress, calculated from X-ray diffraction peaks during the deformation experiments,
231	is plotted as a function of strain for each experiment for both San Carlos olivine and solgel
232	olivine samples (Figure 4a-h). All our experiments were deformed at a fixed strain rate to the
233	total strains of $\sim 4 - 15\%$ (Figure 4a-h).
234	In all experiments, we observed hardening in the initial stage. Also in this stage, the (hkl)
235	dependence of stress is negligible or very small. However, at a certain time (strain), (hkl)
236	dependence of stress becomes stronger. And after this stage where large (hkl) dependence is
237	observed, stress level becomes nearly independent of time (strain). Figure 4c,d is an exception,
238	where transition to nearly independent time-stress relationship is not observed due to the early
239	termination of the experimental run.
240	The figure also shows results for San Carlos olivine and sol-gel olivine. When grain-size
241	is similar, creep strength of these two olivine samples is similar, although strength is different for
242	different grain-size (see a discussion in the later section). The mechanical data also shows that
243	the stress level is sensitive to pressure. At higher pressures, stress (for a given temperature and
244	strain-rate) becomes larger.
245	
246	Discussion
247	Deformation mechanisms
248	The flow law for high-temperature creep can generally be given by equation (1). For
249	diffusion creep, $n=1$ and $m=2-3$ , whereas for dislocation creep $n=3-5$ and $m=0$ (Karato, 2008).
250	Therefore a common way to infer the operation of diffusion creep in an experimental study is to

determine *n* and *m* to show n=1 and m=2-3 (e.g., (Karato, 2008; Karato et al., 1986; Mei and Kohlstedt, 2000a; Mei and Kohlstedt, 2000b)).

However, estimating these parameters under high-pressure deformation experiments is 253 254 challenging. Unlike low-pressure experiments (using the Paterson apparatus), a sample is 255 surrounded by several solid materials in the high-pressure deformation experiments (P>3 GPa) 256 (e.g., (Wang et al., 2003; Yamazaki and Karato, 2001)). Consequently, when strain-rate is 257 modified, various portions of a sample assembly are gradually deformed leading to a slow re-258 distribution of stress/strain in a sample assembly. Therefore the strain-rate of a sample does not 259 change to a new strain-rate immediately, and this makes it difficult to determine the stress 260 exponent (a detailed analysis was made to estimate *n* for such a case is given by (Silber et al., 261 2022)).

We use the following methods to infer the operation of diffusion creep following our previous study (also see Silber et al., 2022): (i) a comparison of the strength of a polycrystalline sample with the estimated strength of relatively coarse-grained specimens corresponding to dislocation creep, (ii) a comparison of measured strength with strength calculated from a theoretical model of diffusion creep and measured diffusion coefficients, (iii) the diffraction plane (hkl) dependence of stress, and (iv) the analysis of time hardening using grain-growth kinetics constrained by the initial and the final grain-sizes.

269 (i) Let us take a case of SAN515 (initial grain-size ~0.2 micron, the final grain-size ~0.8 270 micron) where deformation experiment was conducted at P=5.9 GPa, T=1080 K and 271 strain-rate is  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The measured stresses in the initial parts of SAN515 (i.e., at 1 % 272 strain) is ~400 MPa that would correspond to a grain-size of ~0.3 µm. Based on the report 273 by (Kawazoe et al., 2009) on dry dislocation creep of olivine (~5 µm grain-size), we can

274		also calculate the stress of a sample at the same P, T and strain-rate if deformation were
275		by dislocation creep. The stress will be $\sim$ 30-50 GPa (a large uncertainty is caused by the
276		(hkl) dependence of stress) at P=5.9 GPa, T=1080 K and strain-rate of $10^{-5}$ s <sup>-1</sup> that is
277		much higher than the observed stress (~400 MPa). This large difference indicates that the
278		samples in our experiments deformed (at least initially) by grain-size sensitive (diffusion)
279		creep because of a much smaller grain-size (~0.3 micron) than grain-size in (Kawazoe et
280		al., 2009)'s samples (~5 µm).
281	(ii)	Using our mechanical results in Table 3, we calculated grain-boundary diffusion
282		coefficient $\delta D$ for each experiment (assuming coble creep) and compared our estimates
283		with the Si and Mg grain-boundary diffusion coefficients in olivine reported in previous
284		studies (e.g., Fei et al., 2018; Fei et al., 2016). The diffusion coefficient calculated using
285		our creep data are well within one order of magnitude of the value estimated from
286		previous studies. We conclude that our results are in reasonable agreement with previous
287		reported diffusion coefficients (e.g., 2018; Fei et al., 2016).
288	(iii)	A remarkable observation is that in most of the initial part of our experiments where
289		grain-size is small, the (hkl) dependence of stress is weak, whereas the (hkl) dependence
290		becomes large in the later stage where grain-size is larger (see Fig. 1). The (hkl)
291		dependence of stress provides an important clue on the deformation mechanism if one
292		uses a theory by (Karato, 2009). In a synchrotron deformation experiment, stress acting
293		on a sample is calculated from the lattice strain (equation (2)). As equation (2) indicates,
294		one can determine the lattice strain for different diffraction planes (hkl). The results often
295		show that stresses estimated for different (hkl) differ substantially (e.g., (Weidner et al.,
296		2004)). (Karato, 2009) showed that the (hkl) dependence of stress is caused mostly by

297	plastic anisotropy. And plastic anisotropy is strong for dislocation creep while it is weak
298	(or nearly zero) for diffusion (creep) (e.g., (Dohmen et al., 2002; Durham and Goetze,
299	1977; Houlier et al., 1990; Houlier et al., 1988; Jaoul et al., 1981)). Therefore, the
300	absence of (hkl) dependence (absence of plastic anisotropy) in the initial part of our
301	experiments is a strong indication of the operation of diffusion creep, and the gradual
302	transition to a marked (hkl) dependence in the later stage can be attributed to a transition
303	to dislocation creep by grain-growth.

305 size dependent diffusion creep. A complication is that because the strength in the 306 diffusion regime depends on grain-size that changes with time, the flow law must be 307 estimated based on the estimated grain-size at any point in the data. A simple way to 308 estimate grain-size during deformation is to interpolate the measured initial and final 309 grain-size assuming a relation for grain-growth (e.g., Chapter 13 of (Karato, 2008)),

Those analyses strongly suggest that most of the initial stage of deformation is by grain-

310

304

(iv)

311 
$$d^{n_g}(t) - d^{n_g}(0) = kt$$
 (3)

312

where *d* is grain-size,  $n_g$  is a grain-size exponent (=2-4 for most cases), *t* is time and *k* is a rate constant that depends on temperature and pressure (and water content etc. (e.g., (Karato, 1989))). Given the initial and the final grain-size, one can calculate *k* for a given condition (assuming a few values of  $n_g$ ), and consequently estimate the grain-size at any time during an experiment. Therefore, one can determine the flow law corresponding to diffusion creep from the timedependent stress for a given run.

The values of k determined from the present study  $(10^{-18\pm0.3} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$  are substantially lower 319 than the value extrapolated from Karato (1989)  $(10^{-15.6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$ ). This is likely due to the pinning 320 effects by Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. We also calculated K for ng=3 K= $10^{-22.7(+/-1.6)}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s and ng=4, K= $10^{-29.3(+/-1.6)}$ 321 322  $m^4$ /s. Because grain-growth kinetics is so slow, different values of  $n_g$  do not make much 323 difference in estimated grain-size during deformation. 324 An issue in this approach is that, in most cases, the final stage of deformation occurs by 325 dislocation creep. In dislocation creep, grain-size could be controlled by the stress when dynamic 326 recrystallization is extensive (e.g., Karato et al., 1980). If this is the case, then the final grain-size 327 is not determined by grain-growth. To check this point, we compare the final grain-size from the 328 stress using the experimental data by van der Wal et al. (1993). We found that the grain-size 329 estimated from this relation is substantially smaller than the observed value suggesting that 330 dynamic recrystallization does not occur much. This is likely due to the low temperature, low 331 water content and small strain (for the temperature, water content and strain dependence of 332 dynamic recrystallization, see Zhang et al., 2000). 333 334 Activation volume for diffusion creep 335 We estimate activation volume for diffusion creep based on the data at different

336 pressures. We select data corresponding to diffusion creep based on the criteria discussed above.

337 However, since rheological behavior in diffusion creep also depends on grain-size (and

temperature), we need to compare the results at different pressures for the same grain-size and

- temperature (normalization). We compare strain-rate at different pressures at a common stress
- 340 (50 MPa), a common grain-size (1 micron), and a common temperature (1100 K) using equation

341 (1) (n = 1) assuming m = 2 or 3. Also, in normalizing with respect to temperature, we used  $E^* =$ 

295 or 375 kJ/mol, which represents the most common range of activation energy values in
published literature.

344 The experimental stress and strain-rate, along with calculated grain sizes, required for 345 normalization are given in **Table 2**. The values of stress and strain reported in Table 2 were 346 taken at a point on the stress/strain curve before diffusion creep terminated. The reasoning is 347 that rheological data (strain-rate, stress) for diffusion creep are evolving (because of concurrent 348 grain-growth). We chose the data within a regime where (hkl) dependence is nearly zero and 349 time-hardening is present. As we showed before, the data in that regime follows the creep law 350 (Eq. (1)), and therefore as far as the data follows that equation, choice of data does not affect our 351 analysis. 352 To calculate activation volume from our data, we need to compare data at different 353 pressures at a common stress, grain-size and temperature  $(T_0, d_0, \sigma_0)$ , are the normalization temperature ( $T_0 = 1100$  K), normalization grain size ( $d_0 = 1 \mu m$ ), normalization stress ( $\sigma_0 = 50$ 354 355 MPa)). Figure 6 shows plots of normalize strain-rate as a function of pressure. 356 We calculate the activation volume using the following relationship:

357

358 
$$V^* = -RT \frac{\partial \log \dot{\varepsilon}(T_o, d_0, \sigma_o)}{\partial P}$$
(4)

359

where  $\dot{\varepsilon}(T_0, d_0, \sigma_0)$  is the normalized strain rate and  $T_0, d_0, \sigma_0$  are the normalization temperature  $(T_0 = 1100 \text{ K})$ , normalization grain size ( $d_0 = 1 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ), normalization stress ( $\sigma_0 = 50 \text{ MPa}$ ), respectively.

363	The results are summarized in Table 3. Using the data both San Carlos and solgel olivine, we
364	calculated V*. Because we normalize the data to common grain-size and temperature (and a
365	common stress), the estimated activation volume $(V^*)$ depends on the aviation energy $(E^*)$ and
366	range from 4.4 to .9.4 $\text{cm}^3/\text{mol}$ . This activation volume is close to the activation volume for
367	grain-growth (~5.0-5.2 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol) (Zhang and Karato, 2021). The activation volume for (dry)
368	diffusion creep is substantially smaller than the activation volume for dry dislocation creep
369	$(V^* \sim 15 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} (\text{Kawazoe et al., 2009})).$

370

#### 371 A comparison between San Carlos and solgel olivine

Let us now compare the rheological results for San Carlos and sol-gel olivine (in the diffusion creep regime). As seen in **Figure 5**, in a case where grain-size is similar, diffusion creep behavior of San Carlos olivine is nearly identical to that of sol-gel olivine (SAN 514). When grain-size is different, rheological behavior is different, but the results can be attributed to the difference in grain-size. Therefore, we conclude that in the diffusion creep regime, the rheological behavior of San Carlos olivine and sol-gel olivine is similar.

378 This is in contrast to the result by (e.g., Faul and Jackson, 2007) where a large difference 379 in diffusion creep behavior between San Carlos and sol-gel olivine was reported. Their sol-gel 380 olivine shows much larger creep strength (a factor of ~100 or more) than San Carlos olivine 381 reported by Hirth and Kohlstedt (1995). Our results on diffusion creep in San Carlos olivine are 382 not far from those reported by Mei and Kohlstedt (2000a) and Hirth and Kohlstedt (2003) when 383 compared at the same temperature and pressure and grain-size. In contrast, our results for sol-gel 384 olivine show much weaker strength than Faul and Jackson (2007) (at the same condition). The 385 cause of this large discrepancy is unclear. Because the temperature we used is substantially lower

than the solidus, we do not expect any effects of partial melting which might have played role inFaul and Jackson (2007) conclusions.

388

389 A comparison with previous studies

390	Our results on activation volume for diffusion creep are substantially smaller that the
391	values reported before by Mei and Kohlstedt (2000a) ( $15 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ ) from low pressure
392	experiments ( $P < 0.45$ GPa) and numerically derived estimates using a Markov chain Monte
393	Carlo (MCMC) based on low-pressure data (i.e., Jain and Korenaga, 2020; $24 \pm 7 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ ). We
394	believe that the disagreement with previous experimental study (Mei and Kohlstedt, 2000)
395	indicates that the uncertainties in the estimated V* by (Mei and Kohlstedt, 2000) at a low
396	pressure range (0.1-0.45 GPa) are substantially larger than their estimate. Also a large
397	discrepancy between our results and Jain and Korenaga, 2020 is due to the fact that their
398	numerical calculations did not include data at high pressures. This comparison indicates the
399	importance of high pressure studies on plastic deformation.
400	The results of our present study are compared with those by Silber et al. (2022) for water-
401	saturated samples compared at the grain-size of 1 $\mu$ m (m=3) and temperature of T=1100 K, stress
402	of 50 MPa (Figure 6). Water-saturated samples deform faster than samples from the present
403	study, and the pressure dependence is stronger for the samples from present than the water-
404	saturated samples reported by Silber et al. (2022). The activation volume from the current study
	saturated samples reported by Shoer et al. (2022). The activation volume from the current study
405	is 5.2 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol as compared to V*=1.9 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol from Silber et al. (2022). The difference in V*
405 406	is 5.2 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol as compared to V*=1.9 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol from Silber et al. (2022). The difference in V* between these two studies is partly due to the fact that in Silber et al. (2022) where samples were
405 406 407	is 5.2 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol as compared to V*=1.9 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol from Silber et al. (2022). The difference in V* between these two studies is partly due to the fact that in Silber et al. (2022) where samples were saturated with water, water content in olivine changes with pressure because the water solubility
405 406 407 408	is 5.2 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol as compared to V*=1.9 cm <sup>3</sup> /mol from Silber et al. (2022). The difference in V* between these two studies is partly due to the fact that in Silber et al. (2022) where samples were saturated with water, water content in olivine changes with pressure because the water solubility depends on pressure (e.g., Kohlstedt et al., 1996). In <b>Figure 6b</b> , we made a correction on the

409 pressure dependence of water content using the data by Kohlstedt et al. (1996). After this

410 correction, V\* from Silber et al. (2022) is  $\sim 4 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$  that is somewhat smaller than the present 411 results on dry olivine.

412	Regarding the water effects,	we use a flow law	$\dot{\varepsilon} = A \cdot C_W^r \cdot \sigma^n \cdot$	$d^{-m} \cdot exp$	$\left(-\frac{E^*+PV^*}{RT}\right)$	-), and
-----	------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------------	---------

- 413 use the results by Mei and Kohlstedt (2000) where they showed a correlation between "r" and
- 414 V\*. V\* is now determined to be  $\sim$ 7 cm3/mol, and from Mei-Kohlstedt (2000) we estimated r $\sim$ 0.8
- 415 that is substantially smaller than r for dislocation creep (r~1.2; Karato and Jung, 2003).

416 In Figure 7, we compare our data from this study with previously published dry diffusion

417 creep data obtained at low pressure (e.g., Karato, 1986; Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1995; Mei and

418 Kohlstedt, 2000). After normalization the results agree within error bars. We also plotted wet

419 diffusion creep data and flow law by Silber et al., (2022) obtained at high pressure, and flow law

420 by Mei and Kohlstedt (2000) obtained at low pressure. After normalization those are also in good

421 agreement.

422 Nishihara et al. (2014) reported the results of deformation experiments of dry olivine 423 where they identified both diffusion and dislocation creep at pressures at 3.1 - 5.4 GPa and temperature 1473 – 1573 K yield activation volume of  $8.2 \pm 0.9$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol and activation energy of 424 425  $\sim$ 485 kJ/mol. Although the activation volume they reported agree well with our results, the 426 activation energy they estimated is much higher than those for diffusion and diffusion creep in 427 olivine (~200–400 kJ/mol; Andersson et al., 1989; Dohmen et al., 2002; Farver and Yund, 2000; 428 Farver et al., 1994; Gardés and Heinrich, 2011; Gérard and Jaoul, 1989; Jaoul et al., 1980; 429 Ryerson et al., 1989). We do not understand the cause for this discrepancy, but it is possible that

the discrepancy is caused by the operation of both diffusion and dislocation creep under theirexperimental conditions.

On the other hand, there are some previous studies on diffusion in olivine single crystals and aggregates. For example, Fei et al. (2016) conducted Si-diffusion experiments on iron-free olivine aggregates and obtained the  $V^*$  of  $4.0 \pm 0.7$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol and  $E^*$  of  $220 \pm 30$  kJ/mol. Smaller values of V\* for forsterite (Fe/(Fe+Mg)=0) than San Carlos olivine (Fe/(Fe+Mg)=0.1) may be the influence of Fe.

437 Our results on dislocation creep regime may be compared with the previously reported 438 results on the flow law of olivine aggregates in the dislocation creep regime under highpressures. When we compare our new results with the published results on the power-law 439 440 dislocation creep including (Dixon and Durham, 2018; Karato and Jung, 2003; Kawazoe et al., 441 2009). In most previous studies cited above, deformation experiments were conducted at higher 442 temperatures than our present study. When these results are extrapolated to the P-T conditions 443 similar to ours, they predict substantially higher strength (stress) than we observed (e.g., using 444 Karato and Jung 2003 dry flow law we obtain stress of roughly 100GPa) (except for Dixon and 445 Durham (2018) where a low activation energy was assumed). This is likely due to the fact that 446 dislocation creep in our experiments likely occurred in the Peierls creep regime because of low 447 temperatures. Indeed a comparison with the results by (Mei et al., 2010) gives a better 448 agreement.

449

#### 450 Implications for plastic deformation in the whole upper mantle

451	As demonstrated before, important mechanisms of plastic deformation in most of the
452	upper mantle are either power-low dislocation creep or diffusion creep (e.g., (Karato and Wu,
453	1993)). The relative importance of these two mechanisms can be estimated if all the flow law
454	parameters (see equation (1)) are known. At the time when (Karato and Wu, 1993) was
455	published, V* for diffusion creep was not available and therefore they estimated V* based on the
456	experiments on diffusion-controlled dislocation recovery (Karato et al., 1993). Now with our
457	new data from this study as well as (Silber et al., 2022), we now have experimentally constrained
458	values of V* for diffusion creep for both water-saturated and dry conditions. In addition, we
459	have a new result on the water content sensitivity of diffusion creep.
460	Therefore, we now have a complete data set for dry olivine rheology for both dislocation
461	(Karato and Jung, 2003) and diffusion creep regime (this paper). The calculated deformation
462	mechanism maps are shown in Figure 8 for 3, 12 GPa (at 1473 and 1673 K) for a range of water
463	content (0 (dry), 10, 100 and 1000 ppm wt). The parameters we used are listed in Table 3. For
464	simplicity, we assumed that m for diffusion creep is 3, n for dislocation creep is 3, and for
465	diffusion creep is 1. And we assume that strain-rate is constant and $10^{-15}$ s <sup>-1</sup> .
466	We calculated strain-rates by these two mechanisms and determined the regime boundary
467	(Figure 8). Because V* differ between the two deformation mechanisms, the regime boundary
468	depends on pressure. Diffusion creep dominates over the power-law dislocation creep at
469	relatively high pressures. One caveat in this exercise is that we did not take into account of the
470	possible change in the rate-controlling slip system in the dislocation creep regime as suggested
471	by (Masuti et al., 2019).
472	

### 473 Acknowledgments

474	This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (EAR-1818792). RES thanks
475	the Banting Postdoctoral Fellowship (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
476	Canada) program administered by the Government of Canada for supporting this research. The
477	author would like to also thank the facilities and staff of the Earth and Planetary Sciences
478	department, at Yale University. Dr Zhenting Jiang for his help with the SEM analysis. The
479	authors thank the beamline staff and scientists at 6-BM-B at APS. We also thank DOE and
480	COMPRES for supporting in part our experimental efforts.
481	
482	Data Availability
483	All data required to replicate this study are uploaded to a freely accessible repository, Harvard

484 Dataverse, DOI: 10.7910/DVN/V98ETE (the dataset will be published upon acceptance of this

485 paper – the private link (<u>NOT for distribution</u>) to the data set is here:

486 https://dataverse.harvard.edu/privateurl.xhtml?token=dfc6afdf-1d6e-4025-b56c-93f4e869ba25).

487 Raw data were processed using Plot85, the open source software package developed by Stony

488 Brook (http://www.mpi.stonybrook.edu/NSLS/X17B2/Support/Plot85/plot85.htm). The strain

rates were measured from the time-stamped radiographic images using the open source software

490 JImage, available at https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html, and the proprietary software

491 package Adobe Photoshop (www.adobe.com).

### 492 **References**

- 493 Abrams, H., 1971. Grain size measurement by the intercept method. Metallography 4, 59-78.
- Andersson, K., Borchardt, G., Scherrer, S., Weber, S., 1989. Self-diffusion in Mg2SiO4
  (forsterite) at high temperature. Fresenius' Zeitschrift für analytische Chemie 333, 383385.
- 497 Atkinson, H.V., 1988. Overview no. 65: Theories of normal grain growth in pure single phase
  498 systems. Acta Metallurgica 36, 469-491.
- Cahn, J.W., Fullman, R.L., 1956. on the use of lineal analysis for obtaining particle size
  distribution functions in opaque samples. J. Metals, Medium: X; Size: Pages: 610-612.
- 501 Chakraborty, S., Farver, J.R., Yund, R.A., Rubie, D.C., 1994. Mg tracer diffusion in synthetic
  502 forsterite and San Carlos olivine as a function of P, T and fO2. Phys Chem Miner 21,
  503 489-500.
- 504 Chen, I.-W., and Argon, A.S. (1979) Grain boundary sliding and interphase boundary sliding in
   505 power law creep. Acta Metallurgica, 27, 749-754.
- 506 Dixon, N.A., and Durham, W.B. (2018) Measurement of activation volume for creep of dry
   507 olivine at upper-mantle conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research, 123, 8459-8473.
- Dohmen, R., Chakraborty, S. and Becker, H.-W. (2002) Si and O diffusion in olivine and
   implications for characterizing plastic flow in the mantle. Geophysical Research Letters
   29, 10.1029/2002GL015480.
- Dohmen, R., Chakraborty, S., and Becker, H.-W. (2002) Si and O diffusion in olivine and
   implications for characterizing plastic flow in the mantle. Geophysical Research Letters,
   29, 10.1029/2002GL015480.
- 514 Durham, W.B., and Goetze, C. (1977) Plastic flow of oriented single crystals of olivine 1.
  515 Mechanical data. Journal of Geophysical Research, 82, 5737-5353.
- Fan, D., Lu, C., Xu, J., Yan, B., Yang, B., Chen, J., 2017. Effects of water on PVT equation of
  state of pyrope. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 267, 9-18.
- Farver, J.R., Yund, R.A., 2000. Silicon diffusion in forsterite aggregates: Implications for
   diffusion accommodated creep. Geophysical Research Letters 27, 2337-2340.
- Farver, J.R., Yund, R.A., Rubie, D.C., 1994. Magnesium grain boundary diffusion in forsterite
   aggregates at 1000°–1300°C and 0.1 MPa to 10 GPa. Journal of Geophysical Research:
   Solid Earth 99, 19809-19819.

523 Faul, U.H., Jackson, I., 2007. Diffusion creep of dry, melt-free olivine. Journal of Geophysical 524 Research: Solid Earth 112. 525 Fei, H., Hegoda, C., Yamazaki, D., Wiedenbeck, M., Yurimoto, H., Shcheka, S., Katsura, T., 2012. High silicon self-diffusion coefficient in dry forsterite. Earth Planet Sc Lett 345-526 527 348, 95-103. 528 Fei, H., Koizumi, S., Sakamoto, N., Hashiguchi, M., Yurimoto, H., Marquardt, K., Miyajima, N., 529 Katsura, T., 2018. Pressure, temperature, water content, and oxygen fugacity dependence of the Mg grain-boundary diffusion coefficient in forsterite. American Mineralogist 103, 530 531 1354-1361. Fei, H., Wiedenbeck, M., Yamazaki, D. and Katsura, T. (2013) Small effect of water on upper-532 533 mantle rheology based on silicon self-diffusion coefficients. Nature 498, 213-216. 534 Gardés, E., Heinrich, W., 2011. Growth of multilayered polycrystalline reaction rims in the 535 MgO-SiO2 system, part II: modelling. Contrib Mineral Petr 162, 37-49. 536 Gérard, O., Jaoul, O., 1989. Oxygen diffusion in San Carlos olivine. Journal of Geophysical 537 Research: Solid Earth 94, 4119-4128. 538 Girard, J., Silber, R.E., Mohiuddin, A., Chen, H., Karato, S.-i., 2020. Development of a Stress 539 Sensor for In-Situ High-Pressure Deformation Experiments Using Radial X-Ray 540 Diffraction. Minerals 10, 166. 541 Hansen, L.N., Zimmerman, M.E., Kohlstedt, D.L., 2012. The influence of microstructure on deformation of olivine in the grain-boundary sliding regime. Journal of Geophysical 542 543 Research: Solid Earth 117. 544 Hirth, G. and Kohlstedt, D.L. (2003) Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: a 545 view from the experimentalists, in: Eiler, J.E. (Ed.), Inside the Subduction Factory. 546 American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, pp. 83-105. 547 Hirth, G., Kohlstedt, D.L., 1995. Experimental constraints on the dynamics of the partially molten upper mantle. Diffusion in the dislocation creep regime. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 548 549 15441-15449. 550 Houlier, B., Cheraghmakni, M. and Jaoul, O. (1990) Silicon diffusion in San Carlos olivine. 551 Physics of Earth and Planetary Interiors 62, 329-340. 552 Houlier, B., Jaoul, O., Abel, F., and Liebermann, R.C. (1988) Oxygen and silicon diffusion in 553 natural olivine at T=1300 0 C. Physics of Earth and Planetary Interiors, 50, 240-250.

554	Hu, Y., Wu, Z., Dera, P.K., Bina, C.R., 2016. Thermodynamic and elastic properties of pyrope at
555	high pressure and high temperature by first-principles calculations. Journal of
556	Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 121, 6462-6476.
557	Jain, C., Korenaga, J., 2020. Synergy of Experimental Rock Mechanics, Seismology, and
558	Geodynamics Reveals Still Elusive Upper Mantle Rheology. Journal of Geophysical
559	Research: Solid Earth 125, e2020JB019896.
560	Jaoul, O., Froidevaux, C., Durham, W.B., Michaut, M., 1980. Oxygen self-diffusion in forsterite:
561	Implications for the high-temperature creep mechanism. Earth Planet Sc Lett 47, 391-
562	397.
563	Jaoul, O., Poumellec, M., Froidevaux, C. and Havette, A. (1981) Silicon diffusion in forsterite: a
564	new constraint for understanding mantle deformation, in: Stacey, F.D., Paterson, M.S.,
565	Nicolas, A. (Eds.), Anelasticity in the Earth. American Geophsyical Union, Washington
566	DC, pp. 95-100.
567	Karato, S. (1989) Grain growth kinetics in olivine aggregates. Tectonophysics 155, 255-273.
568	Karato, S. (2008) Deformation of Earth Materials: Introduction to the Rheology of the Solid
569	Earth. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
570	Karato, S. (2009) Theory of lattice strain in a material undergoing plastic deformation: Basic
571	formulation and applications to a cubic crystal. Physical Review, B79,
572	10.1103/PhysRevB.79.214106.
573	Karato, S. and Wu, P. (1993) Rheology of the upper mantle: a synthesis. Science 260, 771-778.
574	Karato, S., and Jung, H. (2003) Effects of pressure on high-temperature dislocation creep in
575	olivine polycrystals. Philosophical Magazine, A., 83, 401-414.
576 577	Karato, S., Paterson, M.S. and Fitz Gerald, J.D. (1986) Rheology of synthetic olivine aggregates: influence of grain-size and water. Journal of Geophysical Research 91, 8151-8176.
578	Karato, S., Rubie, D.C., and Yan, H. (1993) Dislocation recovery in olivine under deep upper
579	mantle conditions: Implications for creep and diffusion. Journal of Geophysical Research,
580	98, 9761-9768.
581	<ul><li>Kawazoe, T., Karato, S., Otsuka, K., Jing, Z. and Mookherjee, M. (2009) Shear deformation of</li></ul>
582	dry polycrystalline olivine under deep upper mantle conditions using a rotational
583	Drickamer apparatus (RDA). Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 174, 128-137.
584	<ul><li>Kawazoe, T., Karato, S., Otsuka, K., Jing, Z., and Mookherjee, M. (2009) Shear deformation of</li></ul>
585	dry polycrystalline olivine under deep upper mantle conditions using a rotational
586	Drickamer apparatus (RDA). Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 174, 128-137.

587 Kohlstedt, D.L., Goetze, C., 1974. Low-stress high -temperature creep in olivine single crystals. Journal of Geophysical Research 79, 2045-2051. 588 589 Li, L., Weidner, D.J., Chen, J., Vaughan, M., 2004. Stress measurements of deforming olivine ay high pressure. Phys Earth Planet In 143-144, 357-367. 590 591 Li, L., Weidner, D.J., Raterron, P., Chen, J., Vaughan, M.T., Mei, S., Durham, W.B., 2006. 592 Deformation of olivine at mantle pressure using the D-DIA. European Journal of 593 Mineralogy 18, 7-19. 594 Masuti, S., Karato, S., Girard, J. and Barbot, S. (2019) Anisotropic plasticity of olivine single 595 crystals under wet conditions under the deep upper mantle conditions. Physics of the 596 Earth and Planetary Interiors 290, 1-9. 597 Matsui, M., Ito, E., Katsura, T., Yamazaki, D., Yoshino, T., Yokoyama, A., Funakoshi, K.-i., 598 2009. The temperature-pressure-volume equation of state of platinum. J Appl Phys 105, 599 013505. 600 Mei, S. and Kohlstedt, D.L. (2000b) Influence of water on plastic deformation of olivine 601 aggregates, 2. Dislocation creep regime. Journal of Geophysical Research 105, 21471-602 21481. 603 Mei, S., and Kohlstedt, D.L. (2000a) Influence of water on plastic deformation of olivine 604 aggregates, 1. Diffusion creep regime. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 21457-605 21469. 606 Mei, S., Suzuki, A.M., Kohlstedt, D.L., Dixon, N.A., and Durham, W.B. (2010) Experimental constraints on the strength of the lithospheric mantle. Journal of Geophysical Research, 607 608 115, 10.1029/2009JB006873. 609 Misener, D.J., 1974., Cationic diffusion in olivine to 1400°C and 35 kbar, Carnegie Inst. of 610 Washington, Washington, D.C. Mohiuddin, A., Karato, S.-i., Girard, J., 2020. Slab weakening during the olivine to ringwoodite 611 transition in the mantle. Nature Geoscience 13, 170-174. 612 613 Nishihara, Y., Maruyama, G., Nishi, M., 2016. Growth kinetics of forsterite reaction rims at 614 high-pressure. Phys Earth Planet In 257, 220-229. 615 Nishihara, Y., Ohuchi, T., Kawazoe, T., Spengler, D., Tasaka, M., Kikegawa, T., Suzuki, A., Ohtani, E., 2014. Rheology of fine-grained forsterite aggregate at deep upper mantle 616 617 conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 119, 253-273. 618 Paterson, M.S., 1982. The determination of hydroxyl by infrared absorption in quartz, silicates 619 glasses and similar materials. Bulletin de minéralogie 105, 20-29.

620 621 622	Ryerson, F.J., Durham, W.B., Cherniak, D.J., Lanford, W.A., 1989. Oxygen diffusion in olivine: Effect of oxygen fugacity and implications for creep. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 94, 4105-4118.
623 624	Silber, R.E., Girard, J., and Karato, S. (2022) Effects of pressure on diffusion creep in wet olivine aggregate. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 324, 106840.
625 626 627	Van der Wal, D., Chopra, P., Drury, M., and Gerald, J.F. (1993) Relationships between dynamically recrystallized grain size and deformation conditions in experimentally deformed olivine rocks. Geophysical Research Letters, 20(14), 1479-1482.
628 629 630	<ul><li>Vaughan, M., Chen, J., Li, L., Weidner, D., Li, B., 2000. Use of X-ray imaging techniques at high-pressure and temperature for strain measurements. In: Manghnani, M.H., Nellis, W.J., Nicol, M.F. (Eds.), AIRAPT-17. Universities Press, Hyderabad, India, 1097-1098.</li></ul>
631 632 633	Wang, Y., Durham, W.B., Getting, I.C., and Weidner, D.J. (2003) The deformation-DIA: A new apparatus for high temperature triaxial deformation to pressures up to 15 GPa. Review of Scientific Instruments, 74, 3002-3011.
634 635	Weidner, D.J., Li, L., Davis, M. and Chen, J. (2004) Effect of plasticity on elastic modulus measurements. Geophysical Research Letters 31, 10.1029/2003GL019090.
636 637	Yamazaki, D. and Karato, S. (2001) High pressure rotational deformation apparatus to 15 GPa. Review of Scientific Instruments 72, 4207-4211.
638 639 640	Zha, CS., Mibe, K., Bassett, W.A., Tschauner, O., Mao, HK., Hemley, R.J., 2008. P-V-T equation of state of platinum to 80 GPa and 1900 K from internal resistive heating/x-ray diffraction measurements. Journal of Applied Physics 103, 054908.
641 642 643	Zhang, Z., Karato, SI., 2021. The Effect of Pressure on Grain-Growth Kinetics in Olivine Aggregates With Some Geophysical Applications. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 126, e2020JB020886.
644 645 646	Zou, Y., Gréaux, S., Irifune, T., Whitaker, M.L., Shinmei, T., Higo, Y., 2012. Thermal equation of state of Mg 3 Al 2 Si 3 O 12 pyrope garnet up to 19 GPa and 1,700 K. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 39, 589-598.
647	
648	
649	
650	
651	

# 652 List of Figures

- 653 Figure 1: Example of microstructure (grain size) observed in initial samples (left) and the post-
- 654 deformation recovered samples. The scale bar in all images is in microns (μm).



Figure 2: Distribution of the grain sizes from different aggregates of San Carlos parent samples (blue) compared with the grain size distribution after the deformation experiments (orange) at given pressure and temperature conditions. The number of measured individual grain intercepts (from multiple SEM images of the same sample) is given in each figure.



664

Figure 3: The representative FTIR of the of San Carlos olivine (top three panels) andsolgel (the bottom panel) samples before and after deformation.



Figure 4: Differential stress vs. strain for all deformation experiments. For clarity, San Carlos(left panels) and solgel (right panels) are plotted separately.



- **Figure 5:** Example of linear regression analysis using our data, to obtain the activation volume ( $V^*$ ). Prior to the regression, data were normalized to a common temperature (T = 1100 K) using activation energy of 295 kJ/mol and 375 kJ/mol, and common grain size ( $d = 1 \mu m$ ) using grain size exponents (m = 2 and 3). Data was also normalized to a common stress of 50 MPa using
- 678 stress exponent n = 1.





**Figure 6:** Comparison between mechanical strength of water saturated olivine samples (Silber et al., 2022) and dry olivine samples at range of different pressures (normalized using  $E^*=295kJ/mol, S=50$  MPa, T=1100 K, d=1 µm and m=3) a) comparison between Silber et al (2022) under saturated conditions without correcting for water concentration increasing with pressure, b) after applying the correction to Silber et al (2022) to account for the increase of water solubility with depth.



701 **Figure 7:** Comparison between "dry" and "wet" rheologies:

702 Strain-rates, obtained experimentally have been normalized to the same stress (100 MPa), 703 temperature (1100 K) with  $E^* = 295 \text{kJ/mol}$ , grain size (1micron) with m = 3, and pressure effect 704 have been removed to only see the effect of water (C<sub>OH</sub>) on the rheology. Data reported in this 705 study are shown by the red square symbols. The rheological law obtained after our linear 706 regression is shown in green dashline. Data from Silber et al., (2022) are shown by the blue 707 square symbols and blue solid line. For comparison we also report Mei and Kohlstedt (2000) wet diffusion creep rheology (black solid line) assuming r=0.75 and  $V^*=5$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol. Additional data 708 709 are plotted for comparison, Mei and Kohlstedt (2000) dry data (black symbol), Karato et al., 710 (1986) dry data (red symbol) and Hirth and Kohlstedt (1995) samples with melt fraction <0.01 711 (purple symbol). The green shaded region represents water detectability limit of our FTIR 712 measurements.



**Figure 8:** olivine deformation map under upper mantle pressure:

Stress versus grain size deformation map. The limit between diffusion and dislocation creep was calculated at T=1473K as well as 1673K and at pressure of 3GPa(left) and 12GPa (right), for m=3 (blue). The boundary between diffusion creep and dislocation creep region is represented by different shades of blue for different water content from "dry" (light blue) to 1000 ppm wt H2O (dark blue). The light blue region represents the range of grain size expected in the upper mantle

and the green region represents the stress range expected in the upper mantle.



## 729 List of Tables

- **Table 1:** Experimental conditions for each run and grain sizes for San Carlos and solgel samples.
- \*The value of strain rate was determined on the Stress-Strain plot at the last point where
- 732 diffusion creep operated (see Section 3.3).

Deformation run	P (GPa)	Т (К)	Sample	Initial grain size (µm)	Final grain size (μm)
SAN E26	2.9±0.3	1065 ± 33	San Carlos (K2090)	0.23 (0.15)	0.30 (0.24)
JAN 330			Solgel (K2091)	0.18 (0.12)	0.23 (0.15)
<b>SAN 512</b>	4.7±0.9	980 ± 110	San Carlos (K1980)	0.17 (0.11)	0.18 (0.12)
JAN 313			Solgel (K2034)	0.17 (0.11)	0.17 (0.11)
CAN E1E	5.9±0.2	1080 ± 90	San Carlos (K2036)	0.21 (0.14)	0.78 (0.53)
3AN 313			Solgel (K2034)	0.17 (0.11)	0.53 (0.36)
SAN 614	8.8±0.3	1249 ± 47	San Carlos (K1984)	0.39 (0.27)	0.98 (0.67)
5AN 514			Solgel (k1976)	0.38 (0.26)	0.98 (67)

**Table 2:** The mechanical data for diffusion creep used in the activation volume calculation. The stress value\* and strain rate\*\* were obtained from the point on time evolving stress/strain curve where diffusion creep is still operational before transition. The calculated grain size\*\*\* corresponds to time coordinate where stress and strain values were selected (see the main text for expanded discussion).

Deformation run	eformation run Sample		Strain rate** x 10 <sup>-6</sup> (s <sup>-1</sup> )	Calculated grain size*** (µm)	
SAN E26	San Carlos (K2090)	110 ± 25	4.21±0.21	0.24 (0.20)	
SAN 550	Solgel (K2091)	55 ± 27	$2.32 \pm 0.12$	0.18 (0.15)	
SAN 512	San Carlos (K1980)	250 ± 21	0.49 ± 0.003	0.17 (0.12)	
5AN 515	Solgel (K2034)	100 ± 13	0.2 ± 0.01	0.15 (0.10)	
CAN E1E	San Carlos (K2036)	510±30	2.62±0.17	0.35 (0.23)	
5AN 515	Solgel (K2034)	400 ± 16	1.74±0.87	0.26 (0.17)	
SAN 614	San Carlos (K1984)	950 ± 55	9.52±0.48	0.63 (0.42)	
SAN SI4	Solgel (k1976)	940 ± 60	8.49±0.42	0.62 (0.42)	

- **Table 3:** Activation volume and pre-exponential factor A (s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-n</sup>  $\mu$ m<sup>m</sup>) for different stress
- exponents and different activation energies. A and error in A are reported in log base 10.

San Carlos								
Normalization parameters					Calculated A and V*			
E* (kJ/mol)	m	Т (К)	d (µm)	Stress (MPa)	log (A)	Error in log	V*	Error in V*
						(A)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)
295	2	1100	1	50	6.56E+00	6.43E+00	6.90E+00	9.00E-01
295	3	1100	1	50	5.42E+00	4.89E+00	5.20E+00	4.00E-01
375	2	1100	1	50	1.11E+01	1.16E+01	9.40E+00	2.30E+00
375	3	1100	1	50	9.93E+00	1.02E+01	7.70E+00	1.60E+00
Solgel								
	Norma	alization para	meters	Calculated A and V*				
F* (kl/mol)	m	т (к)	d (um)	Stress (MPa)		Error in log	V*	Error in V*
E. (KJ/1101)			α (μπ)		Stiess (IVIPa)	iog (A)	(A)	(cm³/mol)
295	2	1100	1	50	6.27E+00	6.18E+00	6.50E+00	9.00E-01
295	3	1100	1	50	4.94E+00	4.49E+00	4.40E+00	5.00E-01
375	2	1100	1	50	1.08E+01	1.13E+01	9.00E+00	2.30E+00
375	3	1100	1	50	9.45E+00	9.73E+00	6.90E+00	1.60E+00