1 Word count: 6082

Revision 1

2	Insights into the structure of disordered layered lead oxychlorides:
3	Twinning and short-range order in janchevite, Pb ₉ VO _{10.25} D _{0.75} Cl _{2.5}
4	GIOVANNI O. LEPORE ^{1,*} , LUCA BINDI ^{1,2} , FRANCESCO D'ACAPITO ³ , ANNA-IRENE LANDI ^{1,4} ,
5	PAOLA BONAZZI ¹
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	 ¹ Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Firenze, Via La Pira 4, I-50121 Firenze, Italy ² C.N.R. – Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Unità di Firenze, Via G. La Pira 4, I-50121 Firenze, Italy ³ CNR-IOM-OGG c/o ESRF – The European Synchrotron, 71 Avenue des Martyrs CS 40220, 38043 Grenoble Cédex 9, France ⁴ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, Povo, I-38123 Trento, Italy *giovanniorazio.lepore@unifi.it

15

ABSTRACT

The crystal structure of the rare mineral janchevite was solved using intensity data collected from a 16 twinned crystal from the Kombat mine, Namibia. This study revealed that, in spite of the strong 17 tetragonal subcell, the structure is triclinic (space group P-1) with a = 8.8382(7), b = 8.8567(7), c =18 11.7103(17) Å, $\alpha = 103.385(10), \beta = 94.192(10), \gamma = 90.294(6)^{\circ}, V = 889.13(16)$ Å³, and Z = 2. 19 Chemical data indicate the presence of Mo. As, and Si, besides Pb. Cl. O, and V. The refinement of an 20 anisotropic model, which takes into account a two domains non-merohedral twinning (twinning matrix 21 22 $|-100/0-10/\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5}1|$, led to an R = 0.0522 for 6037 independent observed reflections $[2\sigma(I) \text{ level}]$ and 165 parameters and an R = 0.0964 for all 11701 independent reflections. The structure consists of PbO 23 litharge sheets alternating with a layer of Cl⁻ anions in a 2:1 ratio. The incorporation of high-charge 24 cations $(V^{5+}, Mo^{6+}, As^{5+}, Si^{4+})$ into the litharge sheet involves the formation of square cavities where 25

MoO₅ square pyramids and (Si,As,V)O₄ tetrahedra are hosted. On the basis of information gained from the chemical, structural, and spectroscopic characterization, the crystal chemical formula was revised, yielding Pb₉VO_{10.25} $\Box_{0.75}$ Cl_{2.5} (*Z* = 2). The presence of additional apical oxygen atoms between Pb layers influences the interlayer thickness, as evident by the linear correlation between the interlayer oxygen content and the type of structural defects. A correct comprehension of short- and long-range order is crucial to understand and predict the functional properties of this family of materials.

- 32
- 33

INTRODUCTION

Lavered lead oxychlorides (hereafter LLO) represent a fascinating class of materials that have garnered 34 35 significant attention in the realm of solid-state chemistry and materials science (e.g. Kusainova et al. 2001; Shan et al. 2009; Salje 2015; Hirai 2024). These compounds are characterized by a structure 36 ideally composed by litharge blocks, made of neutral layers of edge-sharing OPb₄ tetrahedra, alternated 37 with sheets of Cl⁻ ions. The electroneutrality is usually reached through deviations from the ideal 38 39 topology through the removal of OPb₄ groups, often associated with the insertion of anionic groups containing a number of cations such as Mo^{6+} , W^{6+} , V^{5+} , $As^{3+/5+}$, $I^{3+/5+}$, Si^{4+} , B^{3+} , Sb^{3+} , and Bi^{3+} (e.g. 40 Siidra et al. 2008; Chukanov et al. 2019). Moreover, substitution of OH⁻ for O²⁻ in the PbO layer or 41 insertion of Pb²⁺ in the chloride sheet, with the formation of square planar PbCl₄ groups, may occur. 42 43 The resulting cavities, exhibiting different sizes and shapes, may be ordered, giving rise to several superstructures deviating from the tetragonal basic structure (14/mmm) (e.g. Chukanov et al. 2019; 44 Siidra et al. 2012). In many cases, the presence of superstructures has been observed by electron 45 46 diffraction alone, so that scarce structural information about the incorporated groups is available 47 (Welch et al. 1996; Welch 2004; Bindi et al. 2008).

48	In the context of a project aimed at improving our knowledge on the structural features of some
49	minerals of the LLO family, we report a study focused on the mineral janchevite, ideally $Pb_7V^{5+}(O_{8.})$
50	^{5□0.5})Cl ₂ (Chukanov et al. 2018), an oxygen-deficient, V-analogue of parkinsonite, ideally Pb ₇ MoO ₉ Cl ₂
51	(Symes et al. 1994; Lepore and Welch 2010).
52	According to Chukanov et al. (2018), the tetragonal average janchevite structure can be described as a
53	2:1 alternation of Pb-O blocks and sheets of Cl ⁻ ions. V and other substituent cations (Mo and minor
54	amounts of Si) are supposed to randomly replace Pb in the litharge block.
55	In order to investigate the crystal-chemical environment of V, Mo and other substituents and their
56	possible ordering schemes in the structure of janchevite, a chemical, structural and spectroscopic study
57	was undertaken on a sample from the type-locality, Kombat mine, Namibia (sample 3740/I, Museo di
58	Storia Naturale, Università di Firenze, Italy). The results are presented here.
59	
60	EXPERIMENTAL METHODS
61	Electron probe microanalysis. Four janchevite crystals were embedded in epoxy resin, polished with
62	diamond paste down to 0.25 μ m and then coated with a ~28 nm-thick graphite layer. Chemical analysis
63	was carried out using a JEOL-JXA-8230 electron microprobe operated at 15 kV and 10 nA and 5 μm
64	beam diameter. The calibration standards used were crocoite (for Pb- $M\alpha$), tugtupite (for Cl- $K\alpha$), GaAs
65	(for As- $L\alpha$), Mo and V metal (for Mo- $L\alpha$ and V- $K\alpha$, respectively) and albite (for Si- $K\alpha$). The data were
66	corrected for matrix effects using the $\Phi \rho Z$ method of the JEOL software package. The average
67	
	composition (means and ranges in wt.% of oxides) is reported in Table 1.
68	composition (means and ranges in wt.% of oxides) is reported in Table 1. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction. A fragment of about 0.05×0.03×0.01 mm ³ was selected and

40 mA and equipped with a Photon 100 CMOS detector system, employing graphite-monochromatized

MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Indexing of reflections led to the triclinic unit cell with a = 8.8382(7), 71 b = 8.8567(7), c = 11.7103(17) Å, $\alpha = 103.385(10), \beta = 94.192(10), \gamma = 90.294(6)^{\circ}$, and V = 889.13(16)72 Å³. Many other crystals were also tested, all leading to similar unit-cell values. The strongest 73 reflections are consistent with the tetragonal I subcell [a = 3.9542(1) c = 22.708(1) Å] previously 74 75 reported for janchevite. Intensity integration and standard Lorentz-polarization corrections were performed with the CrysAlis RED (CrysAlisPRO 2014) software package. The program ABSPACK in 76 77 *CrysAlis* RED was used for the absorption correction. A full sphere (up to $\sin\theta/\lambda \approx 0.75$) was collected, vielding 11701 independent reflections in the -1 Laue group. A careful examination of the collected 78 79 intensity data revealed the coexistence of two twin domains related by the twinning matrix |-100/0-80 $10^{1/53/51}$. Data reduction was then carried out accordingly ($R_{int} = 0.080$ for reflections from both twin domains). The structure solution was carried out in the P-1 space group using direct methods 81 82 (Sheldrick 2008). Ten heavy atoms (Pb1-to-Pb10) were identified in the Fourier map and initially 83 refined as Pb vs. structural vacancy. Pb1-Pb9 were fully occupied by Pb and their occupancy was fixed accordingly. Refined electron density of Pb10 was lower and the site was observed to be split into two 84 partially occupied positions (M10a and M10b). M10b was considered occupied by the minor amount of 85 metals substituting for Pb (V, Mo, As and Si) and refined using the Mo scattering curve. The resulting 86 electron density at the site is in agreement with the weighted mean electron number of the above 87 88 elements in the proportion obtained from EPMA data (Table 1). In the subsequent cycles, three peaks were assigned to Cl. Eleven oxygen atoms were then located in the difference Fourier map and their 89 90 occupancy was initially left free to vary. O1-O6 were fully occupied by O while O7-O11 showed a 91 partial occupancy. Noteworthy, atoms O7-O10, close to Ma10a-M10b, showed extremely large atomic displacement parameters (ADPs). So, we decided to fix their ADPs to 0.05 Å² and keep free their 92 93 occupancies free to refine. At the last stage, all atom positions, apart O atoms, were refined anisotropically up to the residual value R = 0.0522 for 6037 independent observed reflections $[2\sigma(I)]$ 94

level] and 165 parameters and at R = 0.0964 for all 11701 independent reflections. Neutral scattering curves were taken from the International Tables of Crystallography (Wilson 1992). Selected interatomic distances and site scattering (s.s.) values are shown in Table 2. Details relating to data collection and structure refinement, atomic coordinates and displacement parameters are available in the CIF file deposited at the editorial office of The American Mineralogist.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS). Several crystals, sufficient to keep the total absorption 100 101 coefficient $\mu < 1.5$ at all the measured edges, were hand-picked, powdered, and pressed in a 3 mm pellet with cellulose. XAS measurements were performed at the "LISA" CRG beamline (BM-08) 102 103 (d'Acapito et al. 2019) at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at the 104 K-edges of V, As, and Mo (5465.1, 11866.7 and 19999.5 eV, respectively). Measurements were performed using a pair of Si (111) (for V and As K edges) and Si (311) (for Mo K edge) flat 105 monochromator crystals. Si- and Pt-coated focusing mirrors ($E_{\text{cut-off}} \approx 16$ and 40 keV, respectively) 106 were used for harmonic rejection, providing a beam of a roughly circular spot with a diameter of ≈ 200 107 um. The step size in the XANES (X-ray absorption near-edge structure) region was 0.3 eV for V and 108 As K-edge measurements and 0.5 eV for Mo K-edge; the pre-edge region of V K-edge was sampled 109 with 0.2 eV steps. The post-edge EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) region of the 110 spectrum was acquired with a fixed k step width of 0.05 Å⁻¹. Measurements were performed in 111 112 fluorescence mode by means of a 12-element solid state (high purity germanium) detector (Puri et al. 2019). The following model compounds were also measured in the transmission mode: vanadinite 113 $[(Pb_5(VO_4)_3Cl]$ and cavansite $[Ca(VO)Si_4O_{10} \cdot 4(H_2O)]$ (Giuli et al. 2004; Moretti et al. 2013), V₂O₅, 114 115 V₂O₃, adamite [Zn₂(AsO₄)(OH)] (George et al. 2019), As₂O₅, As₂O₃, MoO₃, MoO₂. All measurements were carried out at room temperature. Standard procedures (Lee et al. 1981) were followed to extract 116 the structural EXAFS signal $(k \cdot \chi(k))$: pre-edge background removal, spline modelling of bare atomic 117 background, edge step normalization using a far above the edge region, and energy calibration using 118

the software ATHENA (Ravel and Newville 2005). Model atomic clusters centered on the absorber atom were obtained by ATOMS (Ravel 2001); theoretical amplitude and phase functions were generated using the FEFF8 code (Ankudinov et al. 1998) starting from the structural model presented in this study. EXAFS spectra were fitted through the ARTEMIS software (Ravel and Newville 2005) in the Fourier-Transform (FT) space.

- 124
- 125

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

126 *Chemical composition*

The chemical composition of the studied sample is very close to that reported by Chukanov et al. 127 (2018) although it also contains significative amounts of As, besides V, Mo and Si. On the basis of 128 129 XAS data we can infer information on oxidation states of the cations substituting for Pb. Vanadium Kedge in janchevite lies at the same position as in V_2O_5 and vanadinite (Fig. 1a and b) indicating it 130 occurs as V^{5+} ; the same applies to As K-edge (Fig. 1c), lying at the same energy value observed for 131 adamite and As_2O_5 , pointing to the presence of As^{5+} only. The comparison between the Mo K-edge 132 spectrum in janchevite and those of MoO₂ and MoO₃ indicates that Mo in janchevite is hexavalent (Fig. 133 1d). Taking into account all the observations, the empirical formula of janchevite was calculated on 134 135 $\Sigma_{(Pb+V+Mo+As+Si)} = 10 \ apfu \text{ yielding the formula } Pb_{9.09}V_{0.30}Mo_{0.33}As_{0.15}Si_{0.13}O_{10.16}Cl_{2.61}$ (Table 1). The 136 overall mean electron number (27.53) is in excellent agreement with that derived from the structural 137 refinement (27.30; Table 2).

138 *Twinning and superstructure*

The investigated crystal was made of two twin domains (I and II in the proportion 52:48) with domain I related to domain II by the twinning matrix $|-100/0-10/\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5}1|$. There are three different categories of reflections (Figure 2): the first one from domain I only (blue), the second one from domain II only

(red), and the third one grouping the overlapped reflections. The condition for reflection overlapping is 142 h + 3k = 5n, corresponding to the subcell reflections. In Figures 2a and 2b are reported the twinned hol 143 144 and 0kl planes showing the additional binary symmetry introduced by twinning; the [001] twin axis in the direct space is shown in Figure 2c. The pattern observed in Figure 2d, where the (hk0)145 reconstruction is shown, is very similar to what was observed by Welch et al. (1996) in the electron 146 147 diffraction pattern of a V-rich parkinsonite. Welch et al. (1996) also observed the occurrence of a 148 monoclinic distortion in some of the superstructure reflection quartets of the pattern, which was 149 attributed to the presence of V. The triclinic P unit cell found here for janchevite is related to the 150 tetragonal I subcell by the transformation matrix $\frac{2}{5}\sqrt{50}-\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{50}$; the hk0 plane of the P unit cell is thus not coplanar with that of the I unit cell. In light of this result, a further thing to take into account in 151 the analysis of the distortion in the SAED pattern of V-rich parkinsonite is the possibility that, as in 152 janchevite, the hk0 plane of the superstructure observed by Welch et al. (1996) is not coplanar with the 153 154 *hk*0 plane of the substructure thus likely affecting the geometry of the observed pattern.

155 *Crystal structure and short-range order*

Analogously to other minerals within the family of layered lead oxychlorides, janchevite shows a 156 structural arrangement consisting of PbO litharge sheets alternating with square-net layers of Cl-anions. 157 158 In particular, as already argued by Chukanov et al. (2018), the stacking sequence exhibits a PbO:Cl ratio of 2:1 (Fig.3). However, the incorporation of high-charge cations replacing Pb in the litharge sheet 159 160 is not completely disordered as originally supposed but gives rise to a superstructure. The ordering 161 scheme that results in such a superstructure can be readily visualized by employing an oxocentered representation of the PbO sheet made of OPb₄ units (Fig. 4a). Compared to an ideal litharge structure, 162 O7, O8, O9, and O10, which showed large ADPs, are partially occupied and displaced from the ideal 163 center of the OPb₃M tetrahedron towards M10b. Accordingly, high-charge M cations are bonded to 4 164 oxygen atoms and to a further, partially occupied, apical oxygen (O11). This arrangement results in a 165

166 square pyramidal geometry with 4 longer basal bonds (~1.83 Å) and one shorter apical bond (~1.71 Å). Bond-valence sums for cations and anions in the refined model are listed in Table 3. They are in 167 general agreement with the expected oxidation states. The low sum on most of the oxygen atoms 168 forming the square pyramid can be ascribed to the intrinsic difficulty in accurately characterizing the 169 disordered MO_5 polyhedron. Following the topological description proposed by Siidra et al. (2008) 170 171 and Chukanov et al. (2019), janchevite can thus be seen as characterized by square DN4 cavities (Fig. 4b), DN standings for defect number, where a square-pyramidal (MO₅) group substitutes four OPb₄ 172 units. However, although the coordination of cations such as V^{5+} , Mo^{6+} , Si^{4+} and As^{5+} may vary 173 174 significantly, this kind of bonding geometry is extremely unlikely in the case of Si and As. The actual geometry of each M polyhedron remains thus indistinct in the average structure. Additional details on 175 this aspect have been obtained by the XAS results, which indicate a distinct environment for Mo^{6+} on 176 one side and As^{5+} and V^{5+} on the other; Si coordination, despite the lack of XAS data, can be 177 statistically safely assumed as tetrahedral (Waroquiers et al. 2017). The comparison of XANES data at 178 V K-edge with model compounds (Fig. 1a) clearly indicates that the V local environment in janchevite 179 is extremely close to that of vanadinite, thus occurring as VO_4^{3-} groups as in kombatite (Cooper and 180 Hawthorne 1994), hereroite (Turner et al. 2012) and erikionssonite (Chukanov et al. 2019). The same 181 goes for As: As K-edge XANES (Fig. 1b) shows a marked similarity of janchevite spectrum with that 182 of adamite, where As^{5+} is in the typical tetrahedral coordination as in sahlinite (Bonaccorsi and Pasero 183 184 2003). In line with this, the EXAFS fit (Fig. 5a, Table 4) yields an As-O mean bond length of 1.69 Å with four oxygen atoms thus in line with what observed in sahlinite ($\langle As-O \rangle = 1.694$ Å). In the case of 185 Mo, the XANES spectrum (Fig. 1c) suggests that Mo in janchevite is hosted within a strongly distorted, 186 non-centrosymmetric coordination such as tetrahedral or pentahedral, as evidenced by the pronounced 187 intensity of the pre-edge peak compared to that of the nonetheless distorted, octahedrally-coordinated 188 Mo in molybdenite. Mo XANES in janchevite closely resembles the case of parkinsonite, the Mo 189

190 analogue of janchevite, described in detail by Welch et al. (1996) where the intensity of the pre-edge peak was attributed to Mo⁶⁺ in pentahedral coordination. This interpretation is quantitatively confirmed 191 for janchevite by the results of the EXAFS fit (Fig. 5b, Table 4) that indicates a 4+1 geometry with four 192 1.88 and one 1.74 Å Mo-O distances for the first coordination shell of Mo, yielding a bond-valence 193 sum on Mo of ~5.9 v.u.. The analysis of the second coordination shell around Mo is in substantial 194 195 agreement with the average model. The local coordination of Si, V and As in janchevite is thus in disagreement with the average structure, which implies mainly a [4+1] bonding geometry for M 196 197 cations. However, the partial occupancy of the 4 basal oxygens, together with their high displacement 198 parameters, indicates a significant level of positional disorder. This is likely the effect of the disordered distribution of MoO₅ square pyramids and $(Si,As,V)O_4$ tetrahedral groups in different orientations 199 within the DN4 cavity. The presence of additional oxygen atoms in between Pb layers, which are 200 201 normally connected by lone-pair interactions only, causes a strengthening of the interlayer bonding (note the long O11-Pb distances in Table 2), yielding a contraction of the interlayer thickness. The 202 extent of the contraction is indeed linearly correlated with the number of apical oxygens in the 203 interlayer as can be seen from Figure 6, where the ratio between apical oxygens and the interlayer 204 thickness (IT) of adjacent Pb layers is plotted. LLO with 2:1 (PbO:Cl) ratio basically divide into 3 205 206 groups, showing an excellent trend between the amount of additional oxygens between Pb layers and, 207 consequently, the number and type of defects in the PbO layer and the IT. Hence, phases like sahlinite (Bonaccorsi and Pasero 2003) and kombatite (Cooper and Hawthorne 1994), with a PbO layer 208 209 characterized by double square (DN7) cavities where tetrahedral groups are hosted, show the shortest IT among the 2:1 LLO, while janchevite, having DN4 cavities and thus a lower density of interlayer 210 211 oxygen atoms, has the largest one. Hereroite (Siidra et al. 2013) and erikjonssonite (Chukanov et al. 2019), having both DN4 and DN7 cavities, show intermediate values. The equation, IT (Å) = 2.648 - 100212 0.711(O_{apical}/Pb), can also be used to hypothesize the type of cavities in phases like asisite and 213

parkinsonite, where such information has not been determined yet, on the basis of the z coordinate of 214 215 the Pb2 site in the I4/mmm substructure; as a matter of fact, both minerals fall in the field of DN4 cavities, suggesting a strong similarity with janchevite (Fig. 6). This is not surprising for parkinsonite, 216 given the analogies with janchevite highlighted by the comparison between this study and TEM data 217 (Welch et al. 1996). In the case of asisite, Welch (2004) suggested a $Pb_{12}Si$ superstructure on the basis 218 219 of TEM observations which would not be compatible with the superstructure of janchevite and parkinsonite; however Welch (2004) also pointed out that different chemical compositions can occur 220 for asisite (e.g., Rouse et al. 1988) and this could produce distinct superstructures arising from slightly 221 222 different Pb-Si ordering.

223 *Nomenclature remarks*

From a chemical point of view, janchevite is close to erikionssonite, where V, Mo, As and Si polyhedra 224 are hosted in two different types of cavities. The substitution scheme in janchevite is apparently more 225 disordered, yielding to the formation of DN4 cavities only, as in the 1:1 LLO symesite (Welch et al. 226 2000). The DN4 sheet has $[Pb_9O_6]^{6+}$ stoichiometry yielding, taking into account 2.5 Cl⁻ atoms, a 227 negative charge deficit of 3.5, which is balanced by the incorporation of square-pyramidal (MO₅) 228 anionic groups. The integrated analysis of single-crystal X-ray diffraction and EXAFS data provides a 229 230 deeper look on the origin of the disorder related to the substitution of Pb by other elements. XAS 231 results indicate an ordered local environment for Mo, As and V, each having a distinct coordination 232 which deviates from the average structure. The complex structure of janchevite then arises from the 233 combination of two types of partially ordered substitution mechanisms, one related to the formation of the DN4 cavities and their stacking sequence, and the other to the distribution of tetrahedral and 234 square-pyramidal groups inside the cavities. These observations, along with what previously reported 235 for parkinsonite and asisite (Welch et al. 1996; Welch 2004; Lepore and Welch 2010), raise the 236 question as to whether defect-free (DN0) structures (e.g. Rouse and Dunn 1985; Welch et al. 2001) 237

actually exist when Pb atoms are substituted by very different elements in both size and charge. The 238 239 difficulty in defining the actual structure of some LLO minerals has important nomenclature implications, since the lack of a structural formula, as already emphasized (Welch et al. 1996; Welch 240 2004; Lepore and Welch 2010), may lead to inaccurate classification. In the case of janchevite, the 241 ideal stoichiometry proposed by Chukanov et al. (2018) should be revised to $Pb_9VO_{10,25}\Box_{0,75}Cl_{2,5}$. Such 242 243 a formula would imply a 5-fold coordination of part of V atoms (0.25 apfu) which has never been reported in members of the LLO family. It is then possible that this constraint prevents the formation of 244 pure V end-members. The Pb₉V stoichiometry also agrees with the Pb₉MoO₁₁Cl₂ formula proposed by 245 Welch et al. (1996) and Lepore and Welch (2010) for parkinsonite based on TEM and EPMA 246 247 observations. This similarity allows us to define a general formula for the janchevite-parkinsonite join 248 as $Pb_9V_{1-x}Mo_xO_{(10,25+3/4x)}Cl_{2,5-x/2}$, where the V/Mo ratio dictates the number of oxygens in the cavities 249 and the Cl deficiency.

- 250
- 251

IMPLICATIONS

The solution of the crystal structure of janchevite offers the opportunity to make some general 252 comments on the importance of deciphering fine structural details in disordered layered lead 253 254 oxychlorides. It indeed provides insights into the relationship between atomic structure and physical and chemical properties, which is crucial for tailoring materials with specific functionalities, such as 255 electronic, magnetic, optical, or catalytic properties. In this light, the investigation of short-range 256 ordering phenomena is even more important, since they are often reported to have a strong impact on 257 several properties of functional materials (e.g. Keen and Goodwin 2015). Furthermore, the knowledge 258 259 gained from studying these compounds can guide the design and synthesis of new materials with enhanced properties as those for applications ranging from energy storage and conversion to sensing 260 and environmental remediation. Layered oxychloride heteroanionic compounds have, for example, 261

recently emerged as materials with unique electronic properties, having potential optical and quantum magnetic applications (Harada et al. 2019; Hirai 2024). Moreover, layered oxychlorides are promising candidates for rechargeable battery electrodes due to their unique structural characteristics (Gao et al. 2016). Thus, understanding the relationship between short- and long-range order in these materials and its impact on their chemical and physical properties can lead to the development of high-performance and stable battery systems for energy storage applications, including electric vehicles and grid storage.

- 268
- 269

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Paola Bonazzi, who recently and prematurely passed away. Her passing left us stunned and bereft of a mentor. Paola was a teacher to many of us and aided and supported us throughout our academic and human progress. Her influence left an indelible mark on all who had the privilege to learn from her. She will be deeply missed.

274 G.O.L. is grateful to Mark D. Welch for introducing him, now many years ago, to the intriguing family 275 of layered lead oxychlorides. The authors would like to thank Massimo Batoni for donating the janchevite sample to the Museo di Storia Naturale. The manuscript benefited from the helpful review of 276 Sergey V. Krivovichev and an anonymous reviewer. This work has been funded by The Italian Society 277 of Mineralogy and Petrology (SIMP) through the Research Grant in memory of Prof. Fiorenzo Mazzi 278 awarded to G.O.L. The CERIC-ERIC Consortium is acknowledged for the access to LISA beamline at 279 280 ESRF [Proposal no. 20212113/A08-01-1079 (CERIC-ERIC/ESRF) "Short-range order in layered lead 281 oxychlorides]. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro di Cristallografia Strutturale, 282 University of Florence, Italy. EPMA data were collected at LaMA - Laboratorio di MicroAnalisi, 283 University of Florence/CNR-IGG.

285

References

286	Ankudinov, A.L., Ravel, B., Rehr, J.J., and Conradson, S.D. (1998) Real-space multiple-scattering
287	calculation and interpretation of x-ray-absorption near-edge structure. Physical Review B, 58,
288	7565.

- Bindi, L., Welch, M.D., Bonazzi, P., Pratesi, G., and Menchetti, S. (2008) The crystal structure of
 seeligerite, Pb₃IO₄Cl₃, a rare Pb-I-oxychloride from the San Rafael mine, Sierra Gorda, Chile.
 Mineralogical Magazine, 72, 771–783.
- Bonaccorsi, E., and Pasero, M. (2003) Crystal structure refinement of sahlinite Pb₁₄(AsO 4)₂O₉Cl₄.
 Mineralogical Magazine, 67, 15–21.
- Brese, N.E., and O'Keeffe, M. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Crystallographica
 Section B: Structural Science, 47, 192–197.
- 296 Chukanov, N.V., Nekrasova, D.O., Siidra, O.I., Polekhovsky, Y.S., and Pekov, I.V. (2018) Janchevite, 297 $Pb_7V^{5+}(O_{8.5}\square_{0.5})Cl_2$, A New Mineral From the Kombat Mine, Namibia. The Canadian 298 Mineralogist, 56, 159–165.
- Chukanov, N.V., Siidra, O.I., Polekhovsky, Y.S., Pekov, I.V., Varlamov, D.A., Ermolaeva, V.N., and
 Virus, A.A. (2019) Erikjonssonite, (Pb₃₂O₂₁)[(V,Si,Mo,As)O₄]₄Cl₉, a new mineral from the
 Kombat mine and structural classification of layered lead oxychlorides related to litharge.
 European Journal of Mineralogy, 31, 619–628.
- Cooper and Hawthorne (1994) The crystal structure of kombatite, Pb₁₄(VO₄)₂O₉Cl₄, a complex
 heteropolyhedral sheet mineral.
- 305 CrysAlisPRO, O.D. (2014) Agilent Technologies UK Ltd. Yarnton, England, 1.

- d'Acapito, F., Lepore, G.O., Puri, A., Laloni, A., La Manna, F., Dettona, E., De Luisa, A., and Martin,
- A. (2019) The LISA beamline at ESRF. Journal of synchrotron radiation, 26, 551–558.
- Gagné, O.C., and Hawthorne, F.C. (2015) Comprehensive derivation of bond-valence parameters for
 ion pairs involving oxygen. Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science, Crystal
 Engineering and Materials, 71, 562–578.
- Gao, P., Lin, X.M., Reddy, M.A., Zhang, L., Diemant, T., Behm, R.J., and Fichtner, M. (2016)
 Electrochemical Behavior of Layered Vanadium Oxychloride in Rechargeable Lithium Ion
 Batteries. Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 163, A2326–A2332.
- George, L.L., Biagioni, C., Lepore, G.O., Lacalamita, M., Agrosì, G., Capitani, G.C., Bonaccorsi, E.,
 and d'Acapito, F. (2019) The speciation of thallium in (Tl, Sb, As)-rich pyrite. Ore Geology
 Reviews, 107, 364–380.
- Giuli, G., Paris, E., Mungall, J., Romano, C., and Dingwell, D. (2004) V oxidation state and
 coordination number in silicate glasses by XAS. American Mineralogist, 89, 1640–1646.
- Harada, J.K., Charles, N., Poeppelmeier, K.R., and Rondinelli, J.M. (2019) Heteroanionic Materials by
 Design: Progress Toward Targeted Properties. Advanced Materials, 31, 1805295.
- 321 Hirai, D. (2024) Pinalites: Optical Properties and Quantum Magnetism of Heteroanionic A 3 MO 5 X 2
 322 Compounds. Inorganic Chemistry, 63, 4001–4010.
- Keen, D.A., and Goodwin, A.L. (2015) The crystallography of correlated disorder. Nature, 521, 303–
 309.
- Krivovichev, S.V. (2012) Derivation of bond-valence parameters for some cation-oxygen pairs on the basis of empirical relationships between r_0 and b. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials, 227, 575–579.

- 328 Kusainova, A.M., Lightfoot, P., Zhou, W., Stefanovich, S.Yu., Mosunov, A.V., and Dolgikh, V.A.
- 329 (2001) Ferroelectric Properties and Crystal Structure of the Layered Intergrowth Phase
 330 Bi₃Pb₂Nb₂O₁₁Cl. Chemistry of Materials, 13, 4731–4737.
- Lee, P.A., Citrin, P.H., Eisenberger, P. T, and Kincaid, B.M. (1981) Extended x-ray absorption fine
- 332 structure—its strengths and limitations as a structural tool. Reviews of Modern Physics, 53, 769.
- Lepore, G.O., and Welch, M.D. (2010) The crystal structure of parkinsonite, nominally Pb₇MoO₉Cl₂: a
 naturally occurring Aurivillius phase. Mineralogical Magazine, 74, 269–275.
- 335 Moretti, A., Giuli, G., Nobili, F., Trapananti, A., Aquilanti, G., Tossici, R., and Marassi, R. (2013)

336 Structural and electrochemical characterization of vanadium-doped LiFePO₄ cathodes for 337 lithium-ion batteries. Journal of the electrochemical society, 160, A940.

- Puri, A., Lepore, G.O., and d'Acapito, F. (2019) The new beamline LISA at ESRF: performances and
 perspectives for earth and environmental sciences. Condensed Matter, 4, 12.
- Ravel, B. (2001) ATOMS: crystallography for the X-ray absorption spectroscopist. Journal of
 synchrotron radiation, 8, 314–316.
- Ravel, B., and Newville, M. (2005) ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: data analysis for X-ray
 absorption spectroscopy using IFEFFIT. Journal of synchrotron radiation, 12, 537–541.
- Rouse, R.C., Peacor, D.R., Dunn, P.J., Criddle, A.J., Stanley, C.J., and Innes, J. (1988) Asisite, a
 silicon-bearing lead oxychloride from the Kombat mine, South West Africa (Namibia). American
 Mineralogist, 73, 643-650.
- Rouse, R.C., and Dunn, P.J. (1985) The structure of thorikosite, a naturally occurring member of the
 bismuth oxyhalide group. Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 57, 389–395.

- Salje, E.K.H. (2015) Modulated minerals as potential ferroic materials. Journal of Physics: Condensed
 Matter, 27, 305901.
- Shan, Z., Lin, X., Liu, M., Ding, H., and Huang, F. (2009) A Bi-based oxychloride PbBiO₂Cl as a
 novel efficient photocatalyst. Solid State Sciences, 11, 1163–1169.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of
 Crystallography, 64, 112–122.
- 355 Siidra, O. I., Krivovichev, S. V., Turner, R. W., Rumsey, M. S., and Spratt, J. (2013) Crystal chemistry
- of layered Pb oxychloride minerals with PbO-related structures: Part I. Crystal structure of
 hereroite,[Pb₃₂O₂₀(O,□)](AsO₄)₂[(Si,As,V,Mo)O₄]₂Cl₁₀. American Mineralogist, 98, 248-255.
- Siidra, O.I., Krivovichev, S.V., and Filatov, S.K. (2008) Minerals and synthetic Pb(II) compounds with
 oxocentered tetrahedra: review and classification. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie Crystalline
 Materials, 223, 114–125.
- Siidra, O.I., Krivovichev, S.V., Turner, R.W., and Rumsey, M.S. (2012) Natural and synthetic layered
 Pb (II) oxyhalides. Minerals as advanced materials II, 319–332.
- Symes, R.F., Cressey, G., Griddle, A.J., Stanley, C.J., Francis, J.G., & Jones, G.C. (1994).
 Parkinsonite,(Pb, Mo, □)₈O₈Cl₂, a new mineral from Merehead Quarry, Somerset. Mineralogical
 Magazine, 58, 59-68.
- Turner, R., Siidra, O.I., Rumsey, M.S., Krivovichev, S.V., Stanley, C.J., and Spratt, J. (2012) Hereroite
 and vladkrivovichevite: two novel lead oxychlorides from the Kombat mine, Namibia.
 Mineralogical Magazine, 76, 883–890.

369	Waroquiers, D., Gonze, X., Rignanese, G.M., Welker-Nieuwoudt, C., Rosowski, F., Göbel, M.,
370	Schenk, S., Degelmann, P., André, R., Glaum, R., and others (2017) Statistical Analysis of
371	Coordination Environments in Oxides. Chemistry of Materials, 29, 8346-8360.
372	Welch, M.D. (2004) Pb-Si ordering in sheet-oxychloride minerals: the super-structure of asisite,
373	nominally Pb 7SiO8Cl 2. Mineralogical Magazine, 68, 247–254.
374	Welch, M.D., Schofield, P.F., Cressey, G., and Stanley, C.J. (1996) Cation ordering in lead-
375	molybdenum-vanadium oxychlorides. American Mineralogist, 81, 1350-1359.
376	Welch, M.D., Cooper, M.A., Hawthorne, F.C., and Criddle, A.J. (2000) Symesite,
377	Pb ₁₀ (SO ₄)O ₇ Cl ₄ (H ₂ O), a new PbO-related sheet mineral: Description and crystal structure.
378	American Mineralogist, 85, 1526–1533.
379	Welch, M.D., Hawthorne, F.C., Cooper, M.A., and Kyser, T.K. (2001) Trivalent Iodine in the Crystal
380	Structure of Schwartzembergite, Pb ²⁺ ₅ I ³⁺ O ₆ H ₂ Cl ₃ . The Canadian Mineralogist, 39, 785–795.
381	Wilson, A.J.C. (1992) International Tables for Crystallography, vol. C, Tables 6.1. 1.4 (pp. 500-502),
382	4.2. 6.8 (pp. 219–222), and 4.2. 4.2 (pp. 193–199). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
383	
384	Figure Captions
385	Figure 1. Normalized XANES spectra of janchevite and selected model compounds: a) V K-edge with
386	b) detailed comparison between vanadinite and janchevite; c) As-K edge; d) Mo-K edge. Given the
387	small difference in energy position between Mo ⁴⁺ and Mo ⁶⁺ , vertical lines in d) have been added
388	indicating the position of the main inflection point, which, by convention, is considered to be the
389	absorption edge energy. Mo^{4+} in MoO_2 has the absorption edge at 20014 eV while MoO_3 and
390	janchevite have absorption edge at 20016 eV.
	17

391	Figure 2. Reconstructed precession images showing the $h0l$ (a), $0kl$ (b) and $hk0$ (d) planes. The
392	superstructure scheme in black in (d) is taken from (Welch et al. 1996). In (c), the [001] twin axis
393	in the direct space is shown along with a representation of the two unit-cells.
394	Figure 3. The crystal structure of janchevite based on oxocentered tetrahedra as seen down [100]. OPb ₄
395	tetrahedra in red, Cl atoms in green, MO ₅ groups in orange.
396	Figure 4. Projection down [001] of the PbO sheet in litharge (a) and janchevite (b) with MO ₅
397	polyhedra (in orange) filling DN4 cavities (highlighted in green).
398	Figure 5. a) As- and b) Mo K-edge EXAFS of janchevite. Black lines are data, and red lines are fits.
399	Figure 6. Thickness variation of the Cl free interlayer sheet between litharge units as a function of the
400	relative abundance of oxygen atoms in the interlayer. The Oapical/Pb ratio for parkinsonite and
401	asisite was calculated on the basis of the formulae proposed by Welch et al. (1996) and Welch
402	(2004), respectively.

403

404

405 **Table 1.** Chemical composition (in wt% of oxides) of janchevite

	Mean	Ranges	S.D.
PbO	90.92	90.11-92.12	0.60
As_2O_5	0.80	0.63-1.04	0.13
MoO ₃	2.15	1.88-2.51	0.21
V_2O_5	1.21	0.99-1.41	0.14
SiO_2	0.34	0.30-0.40	0.03
Cl	4.14	3.99-4.27	0.10
O_{eq}	0.93		
Total	98.63		

406

408 409 410

411

412 **Table 2** Selected bond distances and site scattering (*s.s.*) values

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb1—O5	2.41 (1)	Pb4—O3	2.29 (1)	Pb7—O1	2.25 (1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Pb1-O2^{i}$	2.42 (1)	Pb4—O1	2.29 (1)	Pb7—O5	2.29 (1)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pb1—O1 ⁱⁱ	2.43 (1)	Pb4—O9	2.59 (2)	Pb7—O6	2.38 (1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb1—O4	2.45 (1)	Pb4—O7	2.64 (2)	Pb7—O7	2.60 (2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pb1—o></pb1—o>	2.43	<pb4—o></pb4—o>		Pb7—O11	2.92 (2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb1—Cl1	3.358 (1)	Pb3—Cl2	3.365 (4)	<pb7—o></pb7—o>	2.49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb1—Cl2	3.340 (5)	Pb3—Cl2'	3.434 (4)	<i>S.S</i> .	82.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb1—Cl2'	3.414 (5)	Pb3—Cl3	3.140 (6)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pb1—Cl3	3.279 (6)	Pb3—Cl3'	3.494 (6)	Pb8—O2	2.19(1)
s.s.82.00s.s.82.00Pb8—O62.37 (1) Pb8—O10Pb2—O32.30 (1)Pb5—O42.28 (1)Pb8—O112.97 (2)Pb2—O22.35 (1)Pb5—O6 ⁱⁱ 2.30 (1) $<$ Pb8—O>2.49Pb2—O82.39 (2)Pb5—O72.47 (1)s.s.82.00Pb2—O102.66 (2)Pb5—O82.74 (1)s.s.82.00Pb2—O>2.423 $<$ Pb5—O>2.44Pb9—O52.26 (1)Pb2—C113.372 (1)Pb5—C113.301 (1)Pb9—O22.31 (1)Pb2—C123.276 (5)Pb5—C123.329 (5)Pb9—O32.33 (1)Pb2—C133.242 (4)Pb5—C133.306 (5)Pb9—O92.63 (2)Pb2—C133.581 (6)Pb5—C1>3.364 $<$ Pb9—O>2.508s.s.82.00s.s.82.00s.s.82.00Pb3—O62.31 (1)Pb6—O42.22 (1)M10a—O71.85 (2)Pb3—O52.31 (1)Pb6—O32.41 (1)M10a—O92.04 (2)Pb3—O52.31 (1)Pb6—O32.41 (1)M10a—O92.04 (2)Pb3—O92.55 (2)Pb6—O82.62 (2)M10a—O>2.04Pb3—O>2.41Pb6—O112.92 (2) $<$ M10a—O>2.04Pb3—C113.382(1) $<$ Pb6—O>2.49s.s.10.82Pb3—C123.387(4)s.s.82.00M10b—O111.71 (2)	<pb1—cl></pb1—cl>	3.348	<pb4—cl></pb4—cl>	3.358	Pb8—O4	2.30(1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>S.S</i> .	82.00	<i>S.S.</i>	82.00	Pb8—O6	2.37 (1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					Pb8—O10	2.64 (2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—O3	2.30(1)	Pb5—O4	2.28 (1)	Pb8—O11	2.97 (2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—O2	2.35 (1)	Pb5—O6 ⁱⁱ	2.30(1)	<pb8—o></pb8—o>	2.49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—O8	2.39 (2)	Pb5—O7	2.47 (1)	<i>S.S</i> .	82.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—O10	2.66 (2)	Pb5—O8	2.74 (1)		
Pb2Cl1 $3.372(1)$ Pb5Cl1 $3.301(1)$ Pb9O2 $2.31(1)$ Pb2Cl2 $3.276(5)$ Pb5Cl2 $3.329(5)$ Pb9O3 $2.33(1)$ Pb2Cl3 $3.242(4)$ Pb5Cl3 $3.306(5)$ Pb9O9 $2.63(2)$ Pb2Cl3' $3.581(6)$ Pb5Cl3 $3.519(7)$ Pb9O11 $3.01(2)$ $<$ Pb2Cl> 3.368 $<$ Pb5Cl> 3.364 $<$ Pb9O> 2.508 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 Pb3O6 $2.31(1)$ Pb6O4 $2.22(1)$ M10aO7 $1.85(2)$ Pb3O5 $2.31(1)$ Pb6-O1 ⁱⁱ $2.28(1)$ M10aO8 $2.04(2)$ Pb3O10 $2.47(2)$ Pb6-O3 $2.41(1)$ M10a-O9 $2.04(2)$ Pb3O9 $2.55(2)$ Pb6-O8 $2.62(2)$ M10a-O10 $2.22(3)$ $<$ Pb3O> 2.41 Pb6-O11 $2.92(2)$ $<$ M10a-O> 2.04 Pb3Cl1 $3.382(1)$ $<$ Pb6-O> 2.49 $s.s.$ 10.82 Pb3Cl2 $3.269(4)$ $s.s.$ 82.00 $M10b-O11$ $1.71(2)$	<pb2—o></pb2—o>	2.423	<pb5—o></pb5—o>	2.44	Pb9—O5	2.26 (1)
Pb2—Cl2 $3.276 (5)$ Pb5—Cl2 $3.329 (5)$ Pb9—O3 $2.33 (1)$ Pb2—Cl3 $3.242 (4)$ Pb5—Cl3 $3.306 (5)$ Pb9—O9 $2.63 (2)$ Pb2—Cl3' $3.581 (6)$ Pb5—Cl3 $3.519 (7)$ Pb9—O11 $3.01 (2)$ $<$ Pb2—Cl> 3.368 $<$ Pb5—Cl> 3.364 $<$ Pb9—O> 2.508 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 Pb3—O6 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6—O4 $2.22 (1)$ M10a—O7 $1.85 (2)$ Pb3—O5 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6—O4 $2.22 (1)$ M10a—O8 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3—O10 $2.47 (2)$ Pb6—O3 $2.41 (1)$ M10a—O9 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3—O9 $2.55 (2)$ Pb6—O8 $2.62 (2)$ M10a—O10 $2.22 (3)$ $<$ Pb3—O> 2.41 Pb6—O11 $2.92 (2)$ $<$ M10a—O> 2.04 Pb3—Cl1 $3.387(4)$ $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 10.82 Pb3—Cl2 $3.269(4)$ $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 10.82	Pb2—C11	3.372 (1)	Pb5—C11	3.301 (1)	Pb9—O2	2.31 (1)
Pb2—Cl3 $3.242 (4)$ Pb5—Cl3 $3.306 (5)$ Pb9—O9 $2.63 (2)$ Pb2—Cl3' $3.581 (6)$ Pb5—Cl3 $3.519 (7)$ Pb9—O11 $3.01 (2)$ $<$ Pb2—Cl> 3.368 $<$ Pb5—Cl> 3.364 $<$ Pb9—O> 2.508 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 $s.s.$ 82.00 Pb3—O6 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6—O4 $2.22 (1)$ M10a—O7 $1.85 (2)$ Pb3—O5 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6—O1 ⁱⁱ $2.28 (1)$ M10a—O8 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3—O10 $2.47 (2)$ Pb6—O3 $2.41 (1)$ M10a—O9 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3—O9 $2.55 (2)$ Pb6—O8 $2.62 (2)$ M10a—O10 $2.22 (3)$ $<$ Pb3—O> 2.41 Pb6—O11 $2.92 (2)$ $<$ M10a—O> 2.04 Pb3—Cl1 $3.382(1)$ $<$ Pb6—O> 2.49 $s.s.$ 10.82 Pb3—Cl2 $3.269(4)$ $s.s.$ 82.00 $M10b$ —O11 $1.71 (2)$	Pb2—Cl2	3.276 (5)	Pb5—Cl2	3.329 (5)	Pb9—O3	2.33 (1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—Cl3	3.242 (4)	Pb5—C13	3.306 (5)	Pb9—O9	2.63 (2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pb2—Cl3'	3.581 (6)	Pb5—C13	3.519 (7)	Pb9—O11	3.01 (2)
s.s. 82.00 s.s. 82.00 s.s. 82.00 Pb3-O6 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6-O4 $2.22 (1)$ M10a-O7 $1.85 (2)$ Pb3-O5 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6-O1 ⁱⁱ $2.28 (1)$ M10a-O8 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3-O10 $2.47 (2)$ Pb6-O3 $2.41 (1)$ M10a-O9 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3-O9 $2.55 (2)$ Pb6-O8 $2.62 (2)$ M10a-O10 $2.22 (3)$ <pb3-o>2.41Pb6-O11$2.92 (2)$$<$M10a-O>$2.04$Pb3-Cl1$3.382(1)$$<$Pb6-O>$2.49$$s.s.$$10.82$Pb3-Cl2$3.269(4)$$s.s.$$82.00$$M10b$-O11$1.71 (2)$</pb3-o>	<pb2—cl></pb2—cl>	3.368	<pb5—cl></pb5—cl>	3.364	<pb9—o></pb9—o>	2.508
Pb3-O62.31 (1)Pb6-O42.22 (1)M10a-O71.85 (2)Pb3-O52.31 (1)Pb6-O1 ⁱⁱ 2.28 (1)M10a-O82.04 (2)Pb3-O102.47 (2)Pb6-O32.41 (1)M10a-O92.04 (2)Pb3-O92.55 (2)Pb6-O82.62 (2)M10a-O102.22 (3) <pb3-o>2.41Pb6-O112.92 (2)$<$M10a-O>2.04Pb3-Cl13.382(1)<pb6-o>2.49s.s.10.82Pb3-Cl23.387(4)s.s.82.00M10b-O111.71 (2)</pb6-o></pb3-o>	<i>S.S</i> .	82.00	<i>S.S.</i>	82.00	<i>S.S.</i>	82.00
Pb3-O62.31 (1)Pb6-O42.22 (1)M10a-O71.85 (2)Pb3-O52.31 (1)Pb6-O1 ⁱⁱ 2.28 (1)M10a-O82.04 (2)Pb3-O102.47 (2)Pb6-O32.41 (1)M10a-O92.04 (2)Pb3-O92.55 (2)Pb6-O82.62 (2)M10a-O102.22 (3) <pb3-o>2.41Pb6-O112.92 (2)<m10a-o>2.04Pb3-Cl13.382(1)<pb6-o>2.49s.s.10.82Pb3-Cl23.387(4)s.s.82.00M10b-O111.71 (2)</pb6-o></m10a-o></pb3-o>						
Pb3-O5 $2.31 (1)$ Pb6-O1 ⁱⁱ $2.28 (1)$ M10a-O8 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3-O10 $2.47 (2)$ Pb6-O3 $2.41 (1)$ M10a-O9 $2.04 (2)$ Pb3-O9 $2.55 (2)$ Pb6-O8 $2.62 (2)$ M10a-O10 $2.22 (3)$ <pb3-o>2.41Pb6-O11$2.92 (2)$<m10a-o>2.04Pb3-Cl1$3.382(1)$<pb6-o>$2.49$$s.s.$$10.82$Pb3-Cl2$3.387(4)$$s.s.$$82.00$M10b-O11$1.71 (2)$</pb6-o></m10a-o></pb3-o>	Pb3—O6	2.31 (1)	Pb6—O4	2.22 (1)	M10a—O7	1.85 (2)
Pb3-O10 2.47 (2) Pb6-O3 2.41 (1) M10a-O9 2.04 (2) Pb3-O9 2.55 (2) Pb6-O8 2.62 (2) M10a-O10 2.22 (3) <pb3-o> 2.41 Pb6-O11 2.92 (2) <m10a-o> 2.04 Pb3-Cl1 3.382(1) <pb6-o> 2.49 s.s. 10.82 Pb3-Cl2 3.387(4) s.s. 82.00 M10b-O11 1.71 (2)</pb6-o></m10a-o></pb3-o>	Pb3—O5	2.31 (1)	Pb6—O1 ⁱⁱ	2.28 (1)	M10a—O8	2.04 (2)
Pb3-O9 $2.55(2)$ Pb6-O8 $2.62(2)$ M10a-O10 $2.22(3)$ $<$ Pb3-O> 2.41 Pb6-O11 $2.92(2)$ $<$ M10a-O> 2.04 Pb3-Cl1 $3.382(1)$ $<$ Pb6-O> 2.49 $s.s.$ 10.82 Pb3-Cl2 $3.387(4)$ $s.s.$ 82.00 M10b-O11 $1.71(2)$	Pb3—O10	2.47 (2)	Pb6—O3	2.41 (1)	M10a—O9	2.04 (2)
<pb3—o> 2.41 Pb6—O11 2.92 (2) <m10a—o> 2.04 Pb3—Cl1 3.382(1) <pb6—o> 2.49 s.s. 10.82 Pb3—Cl2 3.387(4) s.s. 82.00 M10b—O11 1.71 (2)</pb6—o></m10a—o></pb3—o>	Pb3—O9	2.55 (2)	Pb6—O8	2.62 (2)	M10a—O10	2.22 (3)
Pb3—Cl1 3.382(1) <pb6—o> 2.49 s.s. 10.82 Pb3—Cl2 3.387(4) s.s. 82.00 M10b—O11 1.71 (2) Pb3—Cl2 3.269(4) M10b—O11 1.71 (2)</pb6—o>	<pb3—o></pb3—o>	2.41	Pb6—O11	2.92 (2)	<m10a—o></m10a—o>	2.04
Pb3—Cl2 3.387(4) s.s. 82.00 Pb3—Cl2 3.269(4) M10b—O11 1.71 (2)	Pb3—Cl1	3.382(1)	<pb6—o></pb6—o>	2.49	<i>S.S</i> .	10.82
Pb3—Cl2 3.269(4) M10b—O11 1.71 (2)	Pb3—Cl2	3.387(4)	<i>S.S.</i>	82.00		
	Pb3—Cl2	3.269(4)			M10b-011	1.71 (2)

Pb3—Cl3	3.515(6)	M10b—O8	1.82 (2)
<pb3—cl></pb3—cl>	3.388	M10b-010	1.82 (2)
<i>S.S</i> .	82.00	M10b—O9	1.82 (2)
		M10b—O7	1.87 (2)
		<m10b—o></m10b—o>	1.81
		<i>S.S</i> .	27.30

413 **Table 3** Bond-valence values for janchevite

	Pb1	Pb2	Pb3	Pb4	Pb5	Pb6	Pb7	Pb8	Pb9	M10a	M10b*	Sum	Theor.
01	0.38			0.51		0.53	0.56					1.98	2.00
02	0.40	0.46						0.63	0.49			1.98	2.00
03		0.51		0.51		0.41			0.48			1.91	2.00
O4	0.37				0.52	0.59		0.51				1.99	2.00
05	0.40		0.50				0.51		0.55			1.96	2.00
O 6			0.50		0.51		0.43	0.43				1.87	2.00
O7 (89%)				0.22	0.32		0.28			0.15	0.78	1.75	1.78
O8 (87%)		0.37			0.18	0.23				0.10	0.72	1.60	1.74
O9 (74%)			0.22	0.21					0.19	0.08	0.61	1.31	1.48
O10 (72%)		0.17	0.26					0.18		0.06	0.60	1.27	1.44
011 (87%)						0.13	0.13	0.13	0.10		0.95	1.44	1.74
Cl1	$0.11^{\times 2 \rightarrow}$	$0.10^{\times 2 \rightarrow}$	$0.09^{\times 2 \rightarrow}$		$0.12^{\times 2 \rightarrow}$							0.84	1.00
Cl2	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.12							0.85	1.00
_	0.09		0.08	0.11									
Cl3	0.13	0.15	0.06	0.19	0.12							0.85	1.00
0.0		0.06		0.07	0.07								1100
Sum	2.00	1.94	1.88	1.91	1.95	1.87	1.91	1.86	1.81	0.38	3.96		
Theor.	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.26	4.50		

414 Notes: Bond-valence values were calculated using the parameters of Krivovichev (2012) for Pb²⁺–O bonds, Brese and

415 O'Keeffe (1991) for Pb^{2+} -Cl bonds and Gagné and Hawthorne (2015) for the other bonds. *Calculated on the basis of 416 chemical data.

417

418

Edge	R factor	S_0^2	path	Ν	R (Å)	σ^2 (Å ²)	
Mo K-edge	0.014	0.9(1)	Mo-O	4	1.88(1)	0.006(1)	
			Mo-O	1	1.75(1)	0.006(1)	
			Mo-Pb	2	3.50(2)	0.003(3)	
			Mo-Pb	2	3.59(3)	0.003(3)	
			Mo-Pb	1	3.78(3)	0.003(3)	
			Mo-Pb	2	3.9(2)	0.02(3)	
			Mo-Pb	4	4.1(2)	0.02(3)	
			Mo-Pb	1	4.2(2)	0.02(3)	
			Mo-Cl	1	4.2(1)	0.001(11)	
As K-edge	0.026	1.0(1)	As-O	4	1.69(1)	0.002(1)	

Table 4. Quantitative fit parameters for EXAFS analysis for As and Mo K-edge

Notes: Errors in brackets as reported by Artemis; S_0^2 = Amplitude reduction factor; R = refined path distance; N=path degeneracy; σ^2 = Debye-Waller factor (in order to reduce the total number of variables σ^2 parameter were refined as follows: one parameter for Mo-O paths, two parameters for Mo-Pb paths, split into two groups according to Mo-Pb distance, one parameter for Mo-Cl).





428 Figure 2



445



Figure 4 446

448

Figure 5 449

450

452 Figure 6

453