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ABSTRACT

The reaction boundary between high-pressure clinoenstatite and wadsleyite + stishovite in MgSiO₃ was investigated using a multi-anvil high-pressure apparatus and synchrotron X-ray diffraction. Experimental pressures were monitored via in situ powdered X-ray diffraction of gold, which was also put into the sample chamber. Stable phases at each pressure and temperature were confirmed by powdered X-ray diffraction data and observing the recovered samples. The reaction boundary was found to occur at \( P \text{(GPa)} = 16.1 + 0.0064 \times \left( T - 1250 \right) \text{(K)} \), where the pressure dependence of the slope of the reaction boundary, \( \frac{dP}{dT} \), is similar to that of the phase boundary between wadsleyite and ringwoodite. Thus, the stability field of wadsleyite and stishovite expands to a low-temperature region, reconciling an inconsistency recorded between previous studies regarding the phase relation in MgSiO₃.
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INTRODUCTION

Because olivine, pyroxene, and garnet are major minerals in the upper mantle, understanding the latter’s dynamics and evolution requires knowledge of MgSiO₃, which is an end-member of pyroxene. To this end, phase relations in MgSiO₃ have been repeatedly investigated by several authors (e.g., Kanazaki 1987; Sawamoto 1987; Ito and Takahashi 1989; Gasparik 1990; Pacalo and Gasparik 1990; Kanzaki 1991; Angel and Hugh-Jones 1994; Kato et al. 1995; Ono et al. 2001; Akashi et al. 2009; Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni 2011; Jacobs et al. 2017). The transition sequence of the MgSiO₃ mineral along the normal mantle geotherm (Brown and Shankland 1981; Ono 2008) proceeds from low clinoenstatite to orthoenstatite and high-pressure clinoenstatite with an increase in pressure (Pacalo and Gasparik 1990; Kanzaki 1991; Angel and Hugh-Jones 1994). High-pressure clinoenstatite then decomposes to two phases of wadsleyite and stishovite at the bottom of the upper mantle (Kanzaki 1987; Sawamoto 1987), which in turn changes to a single phase of akimotoite (Sawamoto 1987; Gasparik 1990) followed by bridgmanite at the bottom of the transition zone (Ito and Takahashi 1989; Kato et al. 1995; Ono et al. 2001). The stability field of ringwoodite and stishovite is lower than the normal mantle geotherm (Ono et al. 2017). In the case of a low-temperature path, such as the pressure-temperature conditions of the subducted slab, the appearance of a stability field of wadsleyite + stishovite remains as yet unconfirmed. Whereas some previous studies have reported that the two phases of ringwoodite + stishovite are stable rather than wadsleyite + stishovite (Ito and Navrotsky 1985; Gasparik 1990), others have proposed that the two phases of wadsleyite + stishovite are stable between the stability fields of high-pressure clinoenstatite and ringwoodite + stishovite (Sawamoto 1987; Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni 2011; Jacobs et al. 2017). This discrepancy among researchers is likely due to the accuracy of phase boundary determination between high-pressure clinoenstatite and wadsleyite + stishovite.

In the present study, the use of a multi-anvil high-pressure system combined with a synchrotron radiation source enabled the acquisition of precise experimental pressures data from samples under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions. Herein, we report on the disputed issue of the phase boundary between high-pressure clinoenstatite and wadsleyite + stishovite in MgSiO₃, and we suggest a revised phase diagram for MgSiO₃ based on the obtained data.

METHODS

High-pressure experiments were carried out using multi-anvil high-pressure apparatus installed at the synchrotron facilities of KEK and SPring-8 in Japan. These systems were equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray diffractometer with a germanium solid-state detector. Tungsten carbide cubic anvils were truncated at one corner to accommodate an octahedral pressure medium containing the sample and heater. Three sizes of truncation were adopted: 3 mm for experiments involving low pressures and temperatures, and 2 and 1.5 mm for those involving high pressures and temperatures. Anvil breakage was prevented by separating them with pyrophyllite gaskets and balsa wood spacers. Semi-sintered magnesia (MgO) octahedra were used as the pressure-transmitting medium. Heating assemblies were similar to those reported in previous studies (Ono et al. 2011, 2013), comprising a heating unit composed of Tb₂O₂ + B (Ono 2016), with the tube placed at the center of the pressure-transmitting medium. The ZrO₂ sleeve outside the heater served as thermal insulation. The X-ray diffractometer system included incident (white) and diffracted X-ray beams of 50 μm width, with a diffraction angle of 20 = 60°.
A synthetic MgSiO$_3$ gel was used as the starting material in the experiments based on its homogenous and reactive properties that enabled the rapid achievement of an equilibrium state (e.g., Hamilton and Henderson 1968; Ono and Yasuda 1996). A mixture of the powdered MgSiO$_3$ and gold, which was used as a pressure standard, was loaded into the heater sleeve, which also served as the sample capsule. The sample temperature was monitored using a W$_8$Re$_7$-W$_{25}$Re$_{25}$ thermocouple inserted at the center of the sample capsule; no correction was made to counter the effect of pressure on the thermocouple EMF. Temperature fluctuation during heating was within ±10°C. X-ray measurements of the sample were taken close to the thermocouple junction (~50 µm), which indicated that the temperature gradient between the X-ray position and the thermocouple junction was kept to within 50°C. The temperature was maintained for 2–4 h. Experimental pressures were determined from the unit-cell volumes of gold using the appropriate equation of state (Dorogokupets and Dewaele 2007). The typical uncertainty in the pressure estimation was 0.2–0.3 GPa. After being kept at the desired pressure and temperature for the desired duration, samples were quenched by cutting off the electric power supply. After the termination of heating, the pressure decreased slowly and the sample could be removed. All recovered samples were polished prior to investigation with an electron microprobe analyzer (JXA-8500F, JEOL), with the stable phase in each experimental run determined based on the chemical composition of phases in the recovered sample. As it was difficult to identify wadsleyite or ringwoodite by observing chemical compositions, the powdered X-ray diffraction data were also used to identify stable phases.

**RESULTS**

After reaching the desired pressure at ambient temperature, the sample temperature was quickly increased to the desired temperature. As the temperature increased, the X-ray diffraction peaks of gold became sharp at around 1000 K, indicating that the recrystallization of gold had commenced and that the differential stress in the sample chamber was gradually released. After the desired temperature was reached, the measurement of pressure started and continued until temperature quenching. The typical pressure fluctuation was ~0.2 GPa, which was consistent with a value observed in previous study using the similar cell assembly (Ono et al. 2013).

Twelve runs were performed at pressures between 15 and 19 GPa (Table 1). The powder X-ray diffraction peaks of gold were sufficiently intense to calculate the experimental pressures, with Figure 1 showing the typical X-ray diffraction data acquired at 17.1 GPa and 1200 K. In addition to the gold peaks, some peaks associated with TiB$_2$ and MgO were also observed reflecting their association with TiB$_2$ and MgO. After being kept at the desired temperature for the desired duration, samples were quenched by cutting off the electric power supply. After the termination of heating, the pressure decreased slowly and the sample could be removed. All recovered samples were polished prior to investigation with an electron microprobe analyzer (JXA-8500F, JEOL), with the stable phase in each experimental run determined based on the chemical composition of phases in the recovered sample. As it was difficult to identify wadsleyite or ringwoodite by observing chemical compositions, the powdered X-ray diffraction data were also used to identify stable phases.

**TABLE 1.** Experimental conditions and results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$P$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$t$ (h)</th>
<th>Phases present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>15.0(2)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>MgSiO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>15.3(3)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>MgSiO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>17.1(2)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (ringwoodite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>15.6(5)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (ringwoodite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>15.0(3)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (ringwoodite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>16.5(2)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (wadsleyite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>18.1(2)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (ringwoodite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>18.7(1)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (ringwoodite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>18.9(3)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>16.7(3)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>17.3(3)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (wadsleyite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>18.1(6)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (wadsleyite) + SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $T$, $P$, and $t$ are the temperature, pressure, and heating duration, respectively.

**FIGURE 1.** Example X-ray diffraction pattern acquired at 17.1 GPa and 1200 K. Key to label abbreviations: G = gold (Au); E = emission of gold fluorescence; T = TiB$_2$; P = periclase (MgO); and S = unidentified spot peak.

**FIGURE 2.** Example backscattered electron microphotographs of quenched sample conditions. (a) White areas are the gold phase used as the pressure reference, while the gray and black areas are MgSiO$_3$ and voids, respectively. Horizontal scale 100 µm, experimental conditions 1200 K and 15.3 GPa. (b) White and black areas are the gold and the void, respectively, and dark and light grays are Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ and SiO$_2$, respectively. Horizontal scale 120 µm. The experimental conditions 1350 K and 17.3 GPa.
the light- and dark-gray phases being pure SiO$_2$ and Mg$_2$SiO$_4$, respectively. According to previous study (Suzuki et al. 2000), the Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ phase observed in our recovered samples might be wadsleyite or ringwoodite. However, it was difficult to identify the Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ phase from its chemical composition. Therefore, weak diffraction peaks associated with the sample were investigated to identify the Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ phase (Fig. 3).

The pressure-temperature conditions of the acquired X-ray diffraction patterns of gold and the stable phases identified in the quenched samples are shown in Figure 4. The gradient of $dP/dT$ of the reaction boundary is positive, with the transition boundary shown in Figure 4 expressed by the following linear equation:

$$P \text{(GPa)} = 16.1(3) + 0.0064(15) \times (T - 1250) \text{(K)}.$$

The boundary determined in this study is in general agreement with that reported elsewhere (Sawamoto 1987; Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni 2011; Jacobs et al. 2017).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies (Ito and Navrotsky 1985; Gasparik 1990) have reported that the reaction boundary between high-pressure clinoenstatite and wadsleyite + stishovite has a shallow $dP/dT$ slope compared with that of the wadsleyite-ringwoodite boundary, with the triple point of high-pressure clinoenstatite-
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**Figure 3.** X-ray diffraction patterns acquired where wadsleyite or ringwoodite was stable. (a) Wadsleyite was identified. (b) Ringwoodite was identified. Key to label abbreviations: G = gold (Au); T = TiB$_2$; S = stishovite (SiO$_2$); W = wadsleyite (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$); and R = ringwoodite (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$). Vertical bars denote the calculated diffraction peaks of wadsleyite (a) or ringwoodite (b).

wadsleyite + stishovite-ringwoodite + stishovite appearing at around 1000 K. Thus, the stability field of wadsleyite + stishovite was not observed at temperatures lower than ~1000 K. In contrast, the slope of this reaction boundary determined in our study was almost equal to that of the wadsleyite-ringwoodite boundary (Suzuki et al. 2000), in general agreement with the findings reported by Sawamoto (1987). This discrepancy can largely be explained by the influence of the chemical reaction kinetics on the determination of the reaction boundary, which is significant at low temperatures. Any uncertainty in the identification of stable phases might thus lead to discrepancies between different $dP/dT$ slopes. It is known that a use of both forward and reverse experiments is suitable to determine the equilibrium boundary. However, it is difficult to use this method at low temperatures in the MgSiO$_3$ system (Gasparik 1989). In our study, therefore, synthetic gel was used as the starting material to enhance the chemical reaction at low temperatures because it is more reactive than the oxide mixtures or crystals used in previous studies.

However, although the $dP/dT$ slope reported by Sawamoto (1987) was consistent with that determined here, the pressure recorded in the earlier work was 2 GPa higher than that obtained in our study. Furthermore, the pressure of the wadsleyite-ringwoodite boundary reported by Sawamoto (1987) was also 1.5 GPa higher than that reported by Suzuki et al. (2000). Significantly, Sawamoto (1987) used the conventional quench method in his high-pressure experiments, which produces considerable uncertainty in terms of experimental pressure. Furthermore, as the pressure generation efficiency of the employed high-pressure apparatus was calibrated using several fixed pressure points prior
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**Figure 4.** Experimental results and reaction boundary between high-pressure clinoenstatite and wadsleyite + stishovite in MgSiO$_3$. Circles, squares, and triangles denote the stability conditions of single phase MgSiO$_3$, Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ wadsleyite, and SiO$_2$ stishovite, and Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ ringwoodite and SiO$_2$ stishovite, respectively. Diamonds denote the two phases of SiO$_2$ and Mg$_2$SiO$_4$, which structure could not be identified by X-ray diffraction data because diffraction peaks from the sample were unclear. The solid and dot lines show the reaction boundary determined in our and previous studies (S87 = Sawamoto 1987; G90 = Gasparik 1990). The dashed line is the wadsleyite-ringwoodite boundary (Suzuki et al. 2000).
to the experiments, it was impossible to carry out in situ measurements of pressure values. In contrast, both the present study and that of Suzuki et al. (2000) involved the in situ monitoring of experimental conditions, using synchrotron radiation X-rays to determine accurate pressure values. In the case of phase boundary between wadsleyite and ringwoodite, our observations were in agreement with those reported by Suzuki et al. (2000).

**IMPLICATIONS**

Our new phase diagram for MgSiO$_3$ (Fig. 5) shows that the triple point of high-pressure clinoenstatite-wadsleyite + stishovite-ringwoodite + stishovite does not appear under mantle conditions, even considering the low-temperature $P$-$T$ path in a subducted slab. This is consistent with the phase relation in MgSiO$_3$ estimated in previous studies based on thermodynamic analysis (Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni 2011; Jacobs et al. 2017). Because the normal mantle geotherm (Brown and Shankland 1981; Ono 2008) is located between two triple points—high-pressure clinoenstatite–wadsleyite + stishovite–majorite and wadsleyite + stishovite–ringwoodite + stishovite–akimotoite—the transition sequence of MgSiO$_3$ in the transition zone is from high-pressure clinoenstatite to wadsleyite + stishovite, akimotoite, and finally to ringwoodite. In the case of low-temperature $P$-$T$ paths, such as a subducted slab, the field of ringwoodite + stishovite appears between the fields of wadsleyite + stishovite + akimotoite, while in the case of high-temperature $P$-$T$ paths, such as an upwelling mantle plume, the field of majorite appears at the high-pressure side of the field of high-pressure clinoenstatite.
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**Figure 5.** Phase diagram of MgSiO$_3$ estimated based the present and previous studies. Abbreviations: Low-Cen = low clinoenstatite; Oen = orthoenstatite; Hp-Cen = high-pressure clinoenstatite; Wa = wadsleyite; Ri = ringwoodite; St = stishovite; Mj = majorite; Ak = akimotoite; Br = bridgmanite; Pe = periclase. Dashed line shows the typical mantle geotherm (Ono 2008).