Alumino-oxy-rossmanite from pegmatites in Variscan metamorphic rocks from Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria, Austria: A new tourmaline that represents the most Al-rich end-member composition
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Abstract

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite, ideally [Al3Al(Si5AlO18)(BO3)3(OH)3](BO3)3, is here described as a new member of the tourmaline supergroup. It is an early magmatic Al-rich oxy-tourmaline from a small pegmatitic body embedded in amphibolite and biotite-rich paragneiss. This new pink tourmaline was found in a Moldanubian pegmatite (of the Drosendorf Unit) that occurs in a large quarry near the village of Eibenstein an der Thaya, Waidhofen an der Thaya district, Lower Austria, Austria. The empirical formula of the holotype was determined on the basis of electron microprobe analysis (EMPA), secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), spectroscopical methods (optical absorption and infrared spectroscopy), and crystal-structure refinement (SREF) as

X(0.53Na0.46Ca0.01) Y(Al2.37Mn3+0.21Li0.16Mn2+0.14Fe3+0.03Fe2+0.01) ZAl6(Si5.37Al0.41B0.22O18)(BO3)3 V[(OH)2.77O0.23] W[O0.80(OH)0.15F0.05]. Chemical

composition (wt%) is: SiO2 33.96, TiO2 0.10, Al2O3 47.08, B2O3 11.77, FeO 0.08, Fe2O3 0.23, MnO 0.52, Mn2O3 1.70, CaO 0.04, Li2O 0.25, Na2O 0.53, H2O 1.51, H2O 2.79, F 0.09, total 100.11. The presence of relatively high amounts of trivalent Mn in alumino-oxy-rossmanite is in agreement with the observation that the OH groups are present at a lower concentration than commonly found in other Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines. The crystal structure of alumino-oxy-rossmanite [space group R3m; a = 15.803(1), c = 7.088(1) Å; V = 1532.9(3) Å³] was refined to an R1(F) value of 1.68%. The eight strongest X-ray diffraction lines in the (calculated) powder pattern [d in Å (hkl)] are: 2.9508 (85) 220, 2.9236 (78) 122, 4.1783 (61) 211, 2.4307 (55) 012, 2.0198 (39) 152, 1.8995 (30) 342, 6.294 (28) 101. The most common associated minerals are quartz, albite, microcline, and apatite. Beryl and, in places, schorl are also found as primary pegmatitic phases. Because of the low mode of associated mica (muscovite), we assume that the silica melt, which formed this pegmatite, crystallized under relatively dry conditions, in agreement with the observation that alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains a lower amount of OH than most other tourmalines. This new member of the tourmaline supergroup exhibits the most Al-rich end-member composition of the tourmaline supergroup (theoretical content: ~54 wt% Al2O3). The significant content of tetrahedrally coordinated Al could reflect the relatively high-temperature conditions (~700 °C) inferred for crystallization of the pegmatite.

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite was named for its chemical relationship to rossmanite, (LiAl2)Al6(Si6O18)(BO3)3(OH)3(OH), which in turn was named after George R. Rossman, Professor of Mineralogy at the California Institute of Technology (Pasadena, California, U.S.A.).

Keywords: Alumino-oxy-rossmanite, new mineral, Al-rich tourmaline, structure refinement, electron microprobe analysis, Moldanubian pegmatite, Eibenstein an der Thaya; Lithium, beryllium and boron: Quintessentially crustal

Introduction and previous work

The generalized formula of tourmaline-supergroup minerals can be written as X3Y3Z6(T6O18)(BO3)3V3W, as proposed by Henry et al. (2011). These authors and Hawthorne (1996, 2002) suggest

occupancies by the following most common cations:

- X = Na+, K+, Ca2+, (vacancy)
- Y = Fe2+, Mg2+, Mn2+, Al3+, Li+, Fe3+, Cr3+, V3+
- Z = Na+, Mg2+, Fe3+, Cr3+, V3+
- T = Si4+, Al3+, B3+
- B = B3+
- V = OH–, O2–
- W = OH–, F, O2–
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Some of these cations can be present simultaneously on two and even three structural sites, reflecting order-disorder phenomena, mainly between the octahedral Y- and Z-site occupants (Ertl et al. 2003 and references therein). The tourmaline supergroup currently comprises 41 valid mineral species accepted by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (CNMNC) of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA). They represent hydroxyl-, fluor- and oxy-species of X-site vacant, alkali, and calcic tourmalines with typical octahedral occupants like Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Al\textsuperscript{3+}, Li\textsuperscript{+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Cr\textsuperscript{3+}, and V\textsuperscript{3+} (Henry et al. 2011). Crystal-chemical relations in the tourmaline supergroup and the crystal chemistry of tourmalines-supergroup minerals have been investigated by many authors in the last 50 years (e.g., Donnay and Barton 1972; Povondra and Čech 1976; Deer et al. 1986; Foit 1989; Hawthorne et al. 1993; Hawthorne 1996, 2002, 2016; Henry and Dutrow 1996; Bloodaxe et al. 1999; Ertl et al. 2002, 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2015, 2018; Hughes et al. 2011; Bosi and Lucchesi 2004, 2007; Bosi et al. 2004, 2013, 2015, 2017; Ertl and Tillmanns 2012; Ertl and Bačík 2020; Bačík and Fridrichová 2020). Tourmaline can also be a petrologic recorder of its geologic history as was demonstrated by Van Hinsberg et al. (2011).

Rossmanite, with the ideal end-member formula \((\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Al}_{6-x})\text{Al}_3\text{Si}_{3}\text{O}_{10}(\text{BO}_3)\text{OH})_2\text{(OH)}\), is an alkali-deficient tourmaline that was described as a new tourmaline species from Rožná, western Moravia, Czech Republic, by Selway et al. (1998). “Oxy-rossmanite”, with the formula \((\text{Li}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{2.5})\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_{6}\text{O}_{18}\) (\(\text{BO}_3\))\((\text{OH})_2\text{O}\), was proposed as a hypothetical tourmaline end-member by Hawthorne and Henry (1999). This hypothetical tourmaline is characterized by a vacancy-dominated X site, an Al-dominated Y site (with a minor component of Li), and an O-dominated W site.

Here, we present the first natural occurrence of an even more aluminous end-member from Moldanubian pegmatites in Lower Austria. Additionally, we discuss the geological and petrological context of this unusual Al-rich tourmaline, which was recently given the new name alumino-oxy-rossmanite (IMA 2020-008b; Ertl et al. 2020). We also studied a second tourmaline sample (PINK2) of a more recent finding from this locality, since this tourmaline is close in composition to the holotype material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (PINK1) to understand the significance of these Al-rich tourmalines.

**Sample Description and Type Material**

Tourmaline-bearing pegmatites from the western part of a quarry close to the village Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria, within the Moldanubian Drosendorf Unit with exposed marbles and amphibolites, were previously described by Götzinger et al. (1994). Subsequently, Ertl (1995) provided a more detailed description of these tourmalines. He characterized dravite, schorl, and colored Al-rich tourmaline (pale blue, pink, dark green). The Al-rich tourmaline was originally assigned to Mn-Fe-bearing oleneite and elbaite, based on semi-quantitative energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopic analyses and the unit-cell parameters, which were in the range \(a \approx 15.80-15.87\) and \(c \approx 7.09-7.10\) Å. Ertl (1995) also characterized pale colored apatite, and beryl from the tourmaline-bearing pegmatite. Rare associated muscovite was also identified. Additional chemical, structural, and spectroscopic data of a pink tourmaline sample were given by Ertl et al. (2005). These authors demonstrated for the first time that it is possible to have significant amounts of both \(^{26}\text{Al}\) and \(^{14}\text{B}\) in an Al-rich tourmaline. They showed that this tourmaline has the highest known Al content of all natural tourmalines. Because of the dominant X-site vacancy, the O-dominant W site, and the Al-dominant Y site, this Mn- and Li-bearing tourmaline previously was assigned to “oxy-rossmanite” (Ertl et al. 2005), a hypothetical end-member in the proposed classification of tourmalines (Hawthorne and Henry 1999). However, the Mn content was only determined as MnO\(_{\text{total}}\) and Li was calculated in the optimized formula for a fully occupied Y site without allowing any Y-site vacancies.

In 2017 another, small tourmaline-bearing pegmatite was briefly exposed in the northern part of the aforementioned quarry near Eibenstein. The mineralogy of this lenticular, deformed, but more or less discordant pegmatite was studied in detail by Kolitsch et al. (2020). The main components are quartz, microcline, and albite; mica (muscovite) was present only in trace amounts. Black, tektically deformed and fractured schorl crystals up to 20 cm were embedded in the outer part of the pegmatite body, while a small central, coarse-grained area contained macroscopically gray-pink to dark pinkish, prismatic tourmalines, assigned to oleneite (according to two crystallographic analyses and analyses by using scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive spectroscopy; Kolitsch et al. 2020). This pink tourmaline is generally overgrowing black to dark gray cores of Al-rich schorl, commonly with a narrow, pale to dark gray, smoky intermediate zone. The investigated oleneitic tourmaline crystals exhibit lattice parameters of \(a \approx 15.83\) and \(c \approx 7.10\) Å (for additional structural and chemical data see Kolitsch et al. 2020). Some of the pink tourmaline crystals, which reached lengths up to 2.5 cm, were also tektically deformed. They were bent, in part slightly broken and rehealed. Rarely, anhedral pink tourmaline fills very narrow (≤1 mm) cracks in black tourmaline or in feldspar or very small interstitial voids in feldspar. Beryl, fluorapatite (partly Mn-bearing), rutile, and columbite-(Mn) are present as macroscopic accessories in this pegmatite. Furthermore, mostly microscopic accessories (generally detected only in polished sections) include barite, bertrandite, bismuth, eulytine, hübnerite, pezzottaite(?), pollucite, scheelite, stibarsen, titanite, “uranmicrolite,” as well as several unidentified phases, including a new Cs-Al-phosphate.

When in 1994 a small pegmatitic body (WGS84 N 48°51′04″/E 015°34′55″) was exposed in the aforementioned large quarry about 300 m northeast of the village Eibenstein an der Thaya (often named Hengl quarry after the operator), only one tourmaline crystal, intimately intergrown with quartz, was found, which contained a pink core zone (~4 mm in diameter, ~4 mm in length; Fig. 1) surrounded by a dark green rim. Parts of this pink tourmaline (now back in the collection of A.P.) were used as holotype material (sample PINK1). Recently in 2017, when another small pegmatitic body (WGS84 N 48°51′04″/E 015°34′57″) was exposed, more pink tourmaline was found. This time the crystals had prismatic forms. A part of the pink tourmaline crystal shown in Figure 2 was also characterized (sample PINK2). The most common associated minerals of pink tourmaline in both pegmatites are quartz, albite, microcline, and
fluorapatite. Schorl was more common in the 1994 pegmatite. In this pegmatite (type locality) alumino-oxy-rossmanite was early in the magmatic crystallization history, especially with respect to other tourmalines. Schorl is overgrowing alumino-oxy-rossmanite while dravite (pale-green fibers) is the latest tourmaline in the crystallization sequence. The other magmatic phases quartz, albite, microcline, and fluorapatite are more or less co-genetic to alumino-oxy-rossmanite.

Part of the holotype specimen of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) was deposited as sample NMNH 173824 at the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., as sample 134790 at the Mineralogical Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and as sample 93533 at the Fersman Mineralogical Museum, Moscow, Russia. A piece of the type specimen, which was sent to the California Institute of Technology for optical spectroscopy studies is designated GRR2170 (polished section).

**Regional geology**

The Moldanubian Zone as the south-eastern part of the Bohemian Massif consists of a thick crystalline complex and exposes medium- to high-grade metamorphic rocks with Paleoproterozoic to Devonian protolith ages (Sorger 2020). The results of a comprehensive P-T study by Sorger (2020) along four W-E trending profiles revealed a different distribution of metamorphic conditions within the Drosendorf Unit, a nappe in the Moldanubian Zone in the Bohemian massif (Petrakakis 1997; Lindner et al. 2020); this unit comprises the host rocks of the tourmaline-bearing pegmatites. The complex is characterized by an interlayering of partly migmatitic, garnet- and sillimanite-bearing gneisses, quartzites, partially graphitic marbles, and calc-silicate rocks, amphibolites, and granitic orthogneisses. In general, a decreasing trend in metamorphic grade from southwest to northeast was observed. The Drosendorf Unit shows the highest temperature conditions of ~800 °C in the south and the lowest of 650 °C in the north (Sorger 2020). Metapelitic paragneisses, which are also the host rocks of the tourmaline-bearing pegmatites, from the southern Drosendorf Unit were affected by two metamorphic events. The first tectonothermal event of this unit was an early Variscan metamorphism in the Devonian at ~370 Ma. After an intermittent phase of cooling and exhumation, the Drosendorf was subjected to the predominant Variscan event in the Visean at ~340 Ma (Sorger 2020). While the first metamorphism was pressure dominated, exhibited the second metamorphose a relatively high-geothermal gradient (Sorger 2020). In the Drosendorf Unit, usually the second metamorphic event was the more significant regionally widespread metamorphism. Pegmatites around Eibenstein an der Thaya were formed during the pressure release of the predominant Variscan event in the Visean at ~340 Ma, e.g., a pegmatite containing tourmaline, topaz and cassiterite dated at 337 ± 5 Ma from a Sm-Nd garnet-albite isochron (Ertl et al. 2004). Low-H2O activities during the second event might be a consequence of aqueous fluid being driven off, which might have started already during the early Variscan metamorphism in the Devonian at ~370 Ma.

The pegmatitic body in which the new Al-rich tourmaline was found intruded into amphibolite and biotite paragneiss of the Drosendorf window. The Variscan metamorphic conditions for the Moldanubian rocks for this area of the Drosendorf nappe system are described as 0.4–0.8 GPa, 680–750 °C (calculated by using pseudosections; Sorger 2020). Based on a map of that area contoured for temperature and pressure (Fig. 2.3 in Sorger 2020)
the metamorphic F-P conditions during the predominant Variscan event for the Moldanubian rocks in the Hengl quarry, at the time when the pegmatites intruded, were ~0.6 GPa, ~700 °C. Several pegmatitic bodies occurred as vertically extended lenses with dimensions of up to ~5 × 3 m and a thickness of up to ~50 cm. Each lens included up to ~5 m² of coarse-grained pegmatitic rock. The pegmatites show a relatively sharp contact to their host rocks. Pegmatites hosted by a fine-grained biotite-rich paragneiss have a relatively sharp contact and a narrow chilled margin zone. Fine-grained black tourmaline in the intersection between pegmatite and host rock suggests that there had been some interaction between them.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Chemical analyses

The two crystal fragments selected for crystal-structure determination (PINK1, from the 1994 pegmatite, and PINK2, from the 2017 pegmatite) were embedded in epoxy on a single 2.5 cm diameter round glass slide and polished. All elements reported here except B, Li, Be, and H were determined with a Cameca SX51 electron microprobe (EMP) equipped with five wavelength-dispersive spectrometers (Universität Heidelberg). Operating conditions: 15 kV accelerating voltage, 20 nA beam current, and beam diameter 5 µm. Peaks for all elements were measured for 10 s, except for Mg (20 s), Ti (20 s), Zn (30 s), and F (40 s). We used the following (natural and synthetic) reference materials and X-ray lines for calibration: topaz (FrKa), albite (NaKα), wollastonite (SiKα) and (CaKα), corundum (AlKα), periclase (MgKα), orthoclase (KKα), rutile (TiKα), rhodonite (MnKα), hematite (FeKα), and garnet (ZnKα). The analytical data were reduced and corrected using the PAP routine (Pouchou and Pichoir 1991). A modified matrix correction was applied assuming stoichiometric O atoms and all non-measured components as B₂O₃.

The accuracy of the electron-microprobe analyses and the correction procedure was checked by measuring three samples of reference tourmalines (98114: elbaite, 108796: dravite, 112566: schorl). Compositions of these tourmaline samples were determined as part of an interlaboratory comparative study (Dyar et al. 1998, 2001). Under the described conditions, the accuracy of all analyses is ±1% relative for major elements and ±5% relative for minor elements.

H, Li, and B were determined in PINK2 by SIMS with a CAMECA ims 3f ion microprobe (Universität Heidelberg). Primary O⁻ ions were accelerated to 10 keV. The mass spectrometer’s energy window width was 40 eV. An offset of 75 V was applied to the secondary accelerating voltage of 4.5 kV so that secondary ions with mass number M/ΔM were set to ~400 (10%).

### Table 1. Composition of pink tourmaline from the Hengl Quarry, Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>PINK1 w%</th>
<th>PINK2 w%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>33.96(28)</td>
<td>33.82(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.10(3)</td>
<td>0.17(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>47.08(16)</td>
<td>45.71(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₂O₃</td>
<td>11.77(12)</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO⁺</td>
<td>0.29(8)</td>
<td>0.07(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO⁻</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO⁺, FeO⁻</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO⁺, MnO⁻</td>
<td>2.05(9)</td>
<td>2.33(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.04(2)</td>
<td>0.09(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>0.25(1)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.03(1)</td>
<td>0.02(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>1.51(5)</td>
<td>1.84(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O=F</td>
<td>0.09(2)</td>
<td>0.43(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.11</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite from 1994 pegmatite; average of 25 EMP analyses.
- Number of elements analyzed with two SIMS analyses for BeO, Li₂O, B₂O₃, and H₂O.
- Average value.
- Elements determined with a Cameca SX51 electron microprobe.
- Lithium and Be were determined with a Cameca IMS 3f ion microprobe.
- The data were reduced and corrected using the PAP routine.
- The accuracy of all analyses is ±1% relative for major elements and ±5% relative for minor elements.
- The accuracy of all analyses is ±1% relative for major elements and ±5% relative for minor elements.

### Powder X-ray diffraction

The eight strongest X-ray diffraction lines in the (calculated) powder pattern [h k l] are: 2.5534 (100 051), 3.9508 (85 85), 2.9236 (78 122), 4.1783 (61 211), 2.4307 (55 012), 2.0198 (39 152), 1.8959 (30 342), 2.6294 (28 101).
Table 2. Selected structural data (Å) of Al-rich oxy-tourmaline from the Hengl Quarry, Eibenstein an Der Thaya, Lower Austria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PINK1</th>
<th>PINK2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>15.803(1)</td>
<td>15.809(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>7.088(1)</td>
<td>7.082(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;X–O&gt;</td>
<td>2.687(2)</td>
<td>2.680(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Y–O&gt;</td>
<td>1.962(1)</td>
<td>1.970(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Z–O&gt;</td>
<td>1.908(1)</td>
<td>1.907(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε&lt;Y–O&gt;</td>
<td>1.619(1)</td>
<td>1.617(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite; pink tourmaline (with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component) from 1994 pegmatite.

Optical spectroscopy

A 380×185 μm² crystal fragment of the holotype PINK1 was prepared as a 92.8 μm thick parallel plate polished on both sides to study its color properties. Polarized optical absorption spectra in the 390–1100 nm range were obtained at about 1 nm resolution with a locally built microspectrometer system consisting of a 1024-element Si diode-array detector coupled to a grating spectrometer system via fiber optics to a highly modified NicPlan infrared microscope containing a calcite polarizer. A pair of conventional 10× objectives was used as an objective and a condenser. Spectra were obtained through the central area of the sample, which included a veil of two-phase (liquid/gas) inclusions.

Infrared spectra

Infrared spectra of holotype material to examine the OH content were measured in the main compartment of a Nicolet Magna 860 FTIR spectrometer at 2 cm⁻¹ resolution using a 200 μm aperture. Near-IR spectra were obtained using a CaF₂ beam splitter, tungsten-halogen source, MCT-A detector, and LiIO₃ polarizer and were averaged over 256 to 4000 scans. For the smallest crystal fragments, a silica beam splitter and InSb detector were used to collect the spectra. Additional spectra were obtained with a Nicolet Continuum infrared microscope using a MCT-A/CoF₂/tungsten-halogen combination.

The total integrated band area (area_int = area_{E||c} + 2 × area_{E⊥c}) was determined for the mid-IR OH overtone bands using Omnic E.S.P. 5.2 software. Integrated absorbances were determined by establishing a suitable baseline and measuring the area between the curve and the baseline over the region of the OH bands. The baseline connects with the spectral trace on both the high- and low-energy side of the OH region. This was done on a spectrum normalized for a 1 cm thick crystal. Before integration, a minor, visually estimated background correction was needed to compensate for a sloping background.

Results and Discussion

Physical properties

Holotype alumino-oxy-rossmanite is brittle and has a Mohs hardness of 7; it is non-fluorescent, has no observable parting and cleavage, and has a vitreous luster. The megascopic color of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is pink, and the streak is white. It has a measured density of 3.07(3) g/cm³ (pycnometer method; Syromyatnikov 1935; Ksanda and Merwin 1939) and a calculated density of 3.092(1) g/cm³ based on the empirical formula and the unit-cell volume refined from the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. The fracture is conchoidal. The mineral has a prismatic habit, but only crude prismatic forms are developed. Twinning was not observed.

Optical spectroscopy and optical properties

The optical absorption spectrum of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (Fig. 3) is most intense in the E⊥c direction. It consists of a band at about 555 nm and weaker features near 450 and 415 nm. The E||c spectrum also contains the 555 nm band that overlaps with another broad band near 475 nm. There is also an indication of a weak band near 780 nm. The broad rise in absorption from high- to low-energy could be due in part to scattering from imperfections in the crystal, and partly to an ultraviolet absorption band. The spectrum is broadly similar to the spectrum of rossmanite (Ertl et al. 2005), but the major bands are shifted to longer wavelengths. For example, in rossmanite, the main band is observed at 517 nm.

The pink color of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is due to the band at 555 nm that is associated with Mn²⁺ probably produced by natural irradiation of Mn²⁺ as has been previously described for elbaite (Reinitz and Rossman 1988). In plane-polarized light, alumino-oxy-rossmanite is pleochroic, O = pink, E = near-colorless (polarized parallel to the c-axis; orientation: E||c). Alumino-oxy-rossmanite is uniaxial negative, ω = 1.648(5), ε = 1.628(5) (590 nm).

Infrared spectroscopy

The infrared spectrum obtained in the mid-infrared region (Fig. 4) of sample PINK1 shows OH bands in the E||c spectrum at 7175, 7144, 7022, ~6964 cm⁻¹, and the most prominent band at 6708 cm⁻¹. These bands are shifted to lower wavenumbers as compared to the corresponding bands in fluor-elbaite of similar divalent-cation composition (Ertl et al. 2005). Mattson (1985)
concluded that the 7001 cm⁻¹ band in an elbaite tourmaline (sample GRR 598-Afgh-1) is associated with H bound to the O3 site with a local configuration involving Li⁺. This band is of low intensity in alumino-oxy-rossmanite compared to the elbaite tourmaline of Mattson (1985). The low intensity of these OH bands suggests that the absolute OH concentration is lower than that in sample GRR 598-Afgh-1. Because no absolute calibration of the intensity of the OH overtone bands in the tourmaline spectrum has yet been done, it is not possible at this time to establish an absolute concentration. By comparing the OH intensity of alumino-oxy-rossmanite to other relevant tourmalines (25 elbaites, fluor-elbaites, fluor-liddicoatites, and rossmanites), alumino-oxy-rossmanite remains the tourmaline with integrated intensity that is significantly lower than all the other tourmaline samples (Ertl et al. 2015). All of these observations are highly suggestive that alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains a lower amount of OH than most other tourmalines. The infrared spectrum confirms that hydroxyl groups in holotype alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) are present at a lower concentration than commonly found in other Li-bearing tourmalines.

By applying the Beer’s Law ε value (estimated to be 7.5 for Mn⁶⁺; Reinitz and Rossman 1988) to the optical absorption spectrum (Fig. 2) and by determination of the Mn⁶⁺ band heights, considering the chemistry and the density (3.09) of alumino-oxy-rossmanite, we were able to estimate the percentage of Mn, which was oxidized to Mn⁷⁺ (~74%). Because iron is oxidized under less oxidizing conditions than manganese, which is shown in Figure 3 of Huebner (1969), we consider the percentage of the oxidized Fe to be similar, if not higher than it is for Mn. It is not uncommon that the small amount of total Fe in pink Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines is oxidized to a relatively high percentage (~60–90%) as determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy; Ertl et al. 2010). The oxidation of relatively high amounts of Mn and Fe in alumino-oxy-rossmanite is in agreement with the observation that the OH groups are present at a lower concentration than commonly found in other Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines.

Crystal structure

The crystal structure of alumino-oxy-rossmanite [holotype material, sample PINK1; space group R3m; a = 15.803(1), c = 7.088(1) Å; V = 1532.8(3) Å³] was refined to an R1(F) value of 1.68%. The crystal structure of tourmaline sample PINK2 [a = 15.809(1), c = 7.082(1) Å; V = 1532.9(3) Å³] was refined to an R1(F) value of 1.37%. The empirical formulas of these samples were determined on the basis of EMPA, SIMS, spectroscopical methods (optical absorption and infrared spectroscopy), and SREF as follows:

PINK1: \( \begin{array}{l} \chi(0.5)Na_{0.46}Ca_{0.01},(\text{Si}_{2.15}\text{Al}_{0.21})Li_{0.18}Mn_{0.07}Fe_{0.01}^{3+}Ti_{0.03}^{3+}2Al(Si_{3.37}Al_{0.64}B_{0.22}O_{10})(BO_{3})_3\quad[\text{OH}_{2-}\text{O}_{0.21}] \\
W[0.06(\text{OH})_{0.11}F_{0.01}] \end{array} \)

PINK2: \( \begin{array}{l} \chi(0.46)Na_{0.46}Ca_{0.02},(\text{Si}_{1.35}\text{Al}_{1.29})Ti_{0.03}^{3+}Fe_{0.03}^{3+}Al_{1.14}Li_{0.25}\quad[\text{OH}_{2-}\text{O}_{0.10}] \\
W[0.06(\text{OH})_{0.16}] \end{array} \)

No H could be found at the W site (O1 site) by refinement, and also the difference-Fourier map around the W site indicates this site to be mainly occupied by O. The map shows a spherical electron density similar to that described by Cámara et al. (2002) [Fig. 1a of that paper, crystal 1, dravite, with 0.18 (OH) at the W site]. Because of a small, but still visible OH1 band in the IR spectrum, only 0.15 (OH) pfu have therefore been assigned to the W site. Ertl et al. (2002) showed that the bond-angle distortion (\( \sigma_{\text{O}_6} \)) of the ZO₆ octahedron in a tourmaline is largely a function of the <Y-O> distance of that tourmaline, although the occupant of the O3 site (V site) also affects that distortion. For all investigated tourmalines in which the V site is completely occupied by 3 (OH) groups, including the samples from Hughes et al. (2004), the covariance, r, of <Y-O> and the \( \sigma_{\text{O}_6} \) of the ZO₆ octahedron is ~0.991 (Fig. 5). In Figure 5, the data of the holotype material (PINK1) of alumino-oxy-rossmanite lies between the tourmalines that contain 3 (OH) at the V site and natural fluor-buergerite, which contains 0.3 (OH) and 2.7 O at the V site. In addition, the tourmaline sample PINK2 is slightly shifted in the direction of OH-poor fluor-buergerite. The calculated wt% for H₂O to H₂O-bearing fluid inclusions (Ertl et al. 2005). However, these errors are still lower than the relative uncertainty of the SIMS analyses.

The structural refinement of the X site of the type material (PINK1) confirms an occupancy of Na <50% (only very small amounts of Ca were found by chemical analyses; Table 1). Only Al was found to occupy the Z site, and also the refined Y-site occupancies are in good agreement with the chemistry (Table 1). Although the structure refinements show significant amounts of \(^{14}\text{B} \) [0.28(1)–0.33(2) apfu], the <T-O> bond lengths are in the range 1.617(1)–1.619(1) Å (Table 2), which is, within the standard deviation, close to a T site fully occupied with Si (MacDonald and Hawthorne 1995; Bloodaxe et al. 1999; Bosi et al. 2005). Because of a relatively low-Si content in both samples (~5.4 apfu Si; Table 1), these bond-lengths can only be explained by significant amounts of \(^{11}\text{B} \) in addition to \(^{14}\text{B} \).
A substitution of Si by Al in tourmaline was described for the first time by Foit and Rosenberg (1979). The type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) contains 0.41 apfu $[^4]\text{Al}^{3+}$. The simplified T-site occupation can also be written as (R$^{2+}$)8$^{3+}$ or as [Si$^{[4]}$(Al,B)$^{[1]}$]. Hence, alumino-oxy-rossmanite is an Al-rich tourmaline that contains not only Si but also significant amounts of B and Al at the T site. Elbaitic and olenitic tourmaline samples from pegmatites often contain $[^6]\text{B}$ as well as $[^4]\text{Al}$ (Ertl et al. 2007, 2009, 2010; Lussier et al. 2009).

The lattice parameters of both investigated samples are among the lowest observed among natural tourmalines, also among the lowest observed among natural tourmalines (Kolitsch et al. 2020). Alumino-oxy-rossmanite has the highest known Al content of all natural tourmalines (up to 47.08 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$; up to 8.78 apfu Al). Very high-Al contents were also found in colorless olenite from Koralpe, Styria, Austria [up to 46.53–46.71 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ and 8.46 apfu Al (Ertl et al. 1997; Hughes et al. 2000)], and in olenite from the type locality Oleni Range, Voron’i Tundry, Kola Peninsula, Russia [up to 45.79–46.34 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ and 8.52 apfu Al (Sokolov et al. 1986; Schreyer et al. 2002)]. Every tourmaline can be described very well by the mol% of different end-members, like every garnet. Considering the end-member formula of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (see next section), the major components of sample PINK1 (holotype material) are 41 mol% alumino-oxy-rossmanite, 33 mol% olenite, and 9 mol% elbaitic tourmaline (fluor-elbaite, elbaite). The sum of these components is 83 mol%. The major components of sample PINK2 are 34 mol% alumino-oxy-rossmanite, 28 mol% olenite, and 19 mol% elbaitic tourmaline, and hence this sample is a complex intergrowth of different end-members, mainly of the alumino-oxy-rossmanite-olenite solid solution. The sum of these components is 81 mol%. Minor components in both samples are fluor-liddicoaticite and tislaicite with ≤3 mol%. The rest of the cations cannot yet be assigned to a special tourmaline because there is no end-member established, which includes Mn$^{3+}$ or $^3\text{B}$. We conclude that in both samples, alumino-oxy-rossmanite is the dominant component. In contrast to PINK1, sample PINK2 is characterized by a lower alumino-oxy-rossmanite component and a higher elbaitic component.

End-member formula and relationship to other tourmalines

The empirical formula of the holotype material, calculated on the basis of 31(0.449,0.449,0.449)F, is $[\text{Na}_{0.51}\text{Al}_{0.49}\text{Si}_{0.05}\text{O}_{2.98}]$ $[\text{Al}_{1.93}\text{Mn}_{2.08}\text{Ti}_{0.08}\text{Fe}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{0.08}]$ $[\text{Al}_{2.08}\text{Si}_{5.92}\text{O}_{18}](\text{OH})_{3.85}(\text{F})_{0.15}$. Details of the occupation of both (OH)/O sites are given in the Crystal structure section above. The simplified formula is $[\text{Na}(\text{Al,Mn,Li})]_{2}\text{Al}_{2}\text{Mn}_{2}\text{Si}_{5}\text{O}_{18}].$ When applying the IMA-CNMNC recommendation of the dominant-valency rule for tourmaline-supergroup minerals, the Y-site cations are ordered as follows: (Al,Mn,Fe)$_{0.62}^{2+}$ > (Li)$_{0.42}^{+}$ > (Mn,Fe)$_{0.36}^{3+}$, that is R$^{2+}$_Y > R$^{3+}$_Y > R$^{3+}$_Y. Hence, our new tourmaline corresponds to the “X-site vacant tourmaline group” (Henry et al. 2011). The most abundant cation at the Y site with the charge 3+ is aluminum: Al$_{0.55}^{3+}$ > Mn$_{0.55}^{2+}$ > Fe$_{0.05}^{3+}$.

If we use the empirical formula and apply the site total charge approach (Bosi et al. 2019), which is useful for identifying new tourmaline end-members, two configurations are possible:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accord with the chemical composition of the Y and T sites of the studied sample, the possible atomic arrangements are quantitatively evaluated in terms of apfu as follows:

**Configuration 1.**

(a) $Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = (Al^{3+}_{0.13}R^{2+}_{0.08})$

(b) $Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = (Al^{3+}_{0.13}Li^{+}_{0.16})$

(c) $Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = Y(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = (Al^{3+}_{0.13}R^{2+}_{0.08})$

**Configuration 2.** Only the following end-member charge arrangement is in agreement with configuration 2:

$X(R^{3+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+}O_{18}^{2-} = (Bo_{3})_{3}^{3+}O_{18}^{2-}$

In the studied sample, the arrangement compatible with the Y-site and T-site constituents are: $Y(R^{3+}_{0.2})^{2+}T(R^{2+}_{0.2})^{2+} = (Al^{3+}_{0.13})^{2+}(Si^{4+}_{0.22})^{3+}O_{18}^{2-}$, limited by the Y$^{2+}$ contents. Actually, this arrangement is limited by the $\square$ content at the X site (0.53 vacancies pfu), and consequently the actual number of atoms decreases to 4.77 apfu. We assume that the measured contents of B and Li are reasonably accurate, because the excess B (B$>3.00$ apfu) as well as the Li content are relatively small. Hence, we conclude that $^{3}\text{Al} > ^{3}\text{B}$. When we use the empirical formula, the amount of the end-member charge arrangement of configuration 2 is the largest one, and since $^{3}\text{Al} > ^{3}\text{B}$, the end-member formula of the studied sample can be written as $[\text{Al}_{2}\text{Al}_{4}(\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{0.5})_{2}^{3+}O_{18}^{2-} = (Bo_{3})_{3}^{3+}O_{18}^{2-}$, as a hypothetical tourmaline end-member, because of its relationship to rossmanite (Selway et al. 1998). While rossmanite contains an (OH) at the W site, “oxy-rossmanite” contains an oxygen (O$^-$) at this site. Because the new tourmaline contains not only more Al, which fills the Y and Z sites entirely and the Si site partially, than “oxy-rossmanite,” but also O$^-$ at the W site, we suggest the name alumino-oxy-rossmanite, with the end-member formula $[\text{Al}_{2}\text{Al}_{4}(\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{0.5})_{2}^{3+}O_{18}^{2-}$. Alumino-oxy-rossmanite is named for its chemical relationship to rossmanite, $[\text{Li}_{2}\text{Al}_{4}(\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{0.5})_{2}^{3+}O_{18}^{2-}$, as a hypothetical tourmaline end-member, because of its relationship to rossmanite (Selway et al. 1998). With the proposed name, it is evident how this end-member is related to the quite common tourmaline rossmanite and to “oxy-rossmanite.” We also want to keep the tourmaline nomenclature as simple as possible so that the name already gives some information about the composition.

How the theoretical chemical composition of alumino-

“oxy-rossmanite” is related to the tourmalines rossmanite, “oxy-rossmanite,” elbaite, fluor-elbaite, darrellhenryite, oleneite,
flor-liddicoatite, and liddicoatite is shown in Online Materials.

Table 3. Chemical compositions and unit-cell parameters of alumino-oxy-rossmanite compared with IMA-approved Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmaline end-members. Note: 1 = pink alumino-oxy-rossmanite from the Hengl quarry, Eibenstock, Lower Austria (PINK1, this work); 2 = pale pink rossmanite, type locality Rožná, Czech Republic (Selway et al. 1998); 3 = green elbaite, Dolní Bory, Czech Republic (Povondra et al. 1985); 4 = green fluor-elbaite, type locality Cruzeiro mine, Minas Gerais, Brazil (Boi et al. 2013); 5 = pale pink darrellhenryite, type locality Nová Ves, Czech Republic (Novák et al. 2013); 6 = flor-liddicoatite, type locality near Antsirabe, Madagascar (Dunn et al. 1977).

Notes: 1 = pink alumino-oxy-rossmanite from the Hengl quarry, Eibenstock, Lower Austria (PINK1, this work); 2 = pale pink rossmanite, type locality Rožná, Czech Republic (Selway et al. 1998); 3 = green elbaite, Dolní Bory, Czech Republic (Povondra et al. 1985); 4 = green fluor-elbaite, type locality Cruzeiro mine, Minas Gerais, Brazil (Boi et al. 2013); 5 = pale pink darrellhenryite, type locality Nová Ves, Czech Republic (Novák et al. 2013); 6 = flor-liddicoatite, type locality near Antsirabe, Madagascar (Dunn et al. 1977).

**Implications**

The new tourmaline alumino-oxy-rossmanite represents the most Al-rich end-member composition of all tourmalines. Because the sixfold-coordinated sites were mainly occupied by Al<sup>3+</sup>, other sites must have appropriate charges to produce a charge-balanced formula. The choices are cation vacancies at the X site, trivalent cations at the T site, and an O-for-OH substitution at the OH sites. We have learned in our study that all three of these possibilities are observed in alumino-oxy-rossmanite. The tourmaline structure seems to be flexible enough for Al to occupy not only the Y and Z sites simultaneously, but also to occupy part of the T site. A T-site occupancy with (Si<sub>5</sub>Al<sub>1</sub>)<sup>3+</sup> in this early magmatic tourmaline, could be that Al<sup>3+</sup> is favored by the relatively high-temperature conditions of ~700 °C (Sorger 2020) during the formation of these Moldanubian pegmatites. Alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains up to ~0.4 apfu [4]<sup>Al</sup>. It is not uncommon for high-grade metamorphic rocks (upper-amphibolite to granulite-facies) to contain tourmaline with up to 0.4 apfu [4]<sup>Al</sup> (e.g., Grew et al. 1990, 1997; Henry and Dutrow 1996). This is also in agreement with another Al-rich tourmaline with tetrahedrally
coordinated Al from small bodies of abyssal pegmatite located in the eastern part of the Moldanubian Zone, Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic (Cempírek et al. 2006).

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite was formed during relatively dry conditions of the pegmatitic melt, reflected by the low quantity of associated mica (muscovite) and the occurrence of oxy-tourmalines, which are linked to low pH. Although the amount of muscovite also depends on the availability of Al, which would appear to be relatively high given the tourmaline composition, it is possible that it in turn could reflect high B with tourmaline sequestering the Al and thereby prohibiting other aluminous minerals from forming (Van Hinsberg and Schumacher 2007).

A major implication of such a temperature-sensitive T-site occupancy could be the development of a geothermometer. More investigations of tourmaline in petrologically well-characterized rocks and synthesis experiments are necessary for the exact understanding of the temperature dependence of the tourmaline T-site occupancy. We conclude that, in our opinion, the presence of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is indicative of high-temperature conditions in a relatively dry environment, which is poor in Li and F.
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