A simple model for the pressure preservation index of inclusions in diamond

L.M. BARRON*

Geological Survey of New South Wales, P.O. Box 536, St. Leonards New South Wales 1590, Australia

ABSTRACT

The isovolume locus for a diamond-mineral inclusion pair occurs where the relative volumes of the two minerals respond identically to changes in pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions. Thirty potential inclusion minerals have been assessed with a simple linear model of this system. The remnant pressure on the inclusion (diamond at the Earth's surface) can be estimated by extrapolating the conditions of diamond formation to 0 °C using the slope of the isovolume locus. Remnant pressures can be positive (isovolume locus lower than formation pressure) or negative (locus higher than formation pressure), the latter indicating the inclusion has decompressed completely. When placed in order of increasing isovolume slope, this mineral list defines a pressure preservation index (PPI). Published work confirms that the model is quantitative up to diamond formation pressures of 50 Kb and qualitative beyond. Ten minerals are identified as key PPI indicators-in decreasing order of PPI they are: sanidine, coesite, dolomite, (sphene, garnet, diopside, zircon), magnesiowüstite, spinel, Mg_{0.9}Fe_{0.1}SiO₃ perovskite. For most diamond formation conditions, inclusions of the first three minerals will retain high remnant pressures, whereas the last three will decompress completely. The central four minerals in brackets will have a highly variable response because their isovolume loci pass through diamond formation conditions. Preliminary calculations suggest that fluid inclusions (H₂O, CO₂) fall at or near the top of the list.

For inclusion-bearing diamonds at the Earth's surface, the model predicts: (1) remnant pressures; (2) confining pressure on inclusion during delivery; (3) the stability of high-pressure polymorphs; (4) retention vs. resetting of radiometric ages; (5) those minerals suited for determining the conditions of formation based on measured remnant pressure; (6) those minerals capable of stabilizing a microdiamond inclusion; and (7) that supercritical fluids preserve inclusions of microdiamond in most minerals.

INTRODUCTION

Minerals included in diamonds have been used extensively to estimate the conditions of formation of diamonds. Mostly, this approach has depended on the mineral type and its composition. An independent technique involves estimating the remnant pressure on mineral inclusions sealed in diamond using lattice spacings determined by X-ray or Laser Raman spectra [see Liu et al. (1990), Izraeli et al. (1996), and Sobolev et al. (2000)]. To date, these measurements have indicated that some minerals are under little or no remnant pressure, whereas others remain under substantial remnant pressure. The main factor causing these differences is the contrast between the P-T volume coefficients of the mineral and those of diamond. In order to characterize the diamond/inclusion system, a linear first-approximation model is used to assess thirty minerals according to their capacity to preserve the pressure of diamond formation (the pressure preservation index \equiv PPI). The minerals considered herein either have been found as inclusions in diamond, found as minerals in diamond-hosting rocks, or have been suggested as possible inclusions. However, the intention is not to focus on mineral stability, rather to provide a numerical framework showing how different minerals would respond theoretically as inclusions in diamonds during delivery to the Earth's surface. The model presumes that an inclusion is sealed in an inclusion chamber that remains pristine during delivery to the Earth's surface. Although most inclusion chambers in diamond show signs of fracturing and healing under cathodoluminescence imaging, the validity of the PPI model predictions will test whether this is an early stage growth feature or a late-stage one. Depending on these results, the model either would act as an upper limit, or would need to be modified. Initially the model is developed for silicates, oxides, and carbides. Sulfides dominate numerically as inclusions in diamonds around the world, so there is merit in extending the model to such minerals.

BASIS FOR PPI

^{*} E-mail: barronl@minerals.nsw.gov.au

 V_i^{o}) and subtracting yields the equation of the isovolume locus along which the relative volumes of both minerals respond identically to a change in pressure and temperature, namely $P/T = (A_d - A_i)/(B_d - B_i)$. As shown on Figure 1, these loci (for 30 minerals) pass through the origin with a positive slope, and represent "zero" remnant pressure for a reference state corresponding to the origin of the graph (0 °C, 0 Kb).

Liu et al. (1990) and Izraeli et al. (1996) have shown that a line parallel to this isovolume locus but offset by pressure $P_{\rm r}$ represents the approximate locus of diamond formation conditions that would result in a remnant pressure of P_r . Thus, within the framework of the simple PPI model, the slope of the isovolume locus can be used to generate lines of constant P_r . If a diamond forms at a pressure above the isovolume locus of a mineral, there will be positive remnant pressure on the mineral inclusion at the Earth's surface. Conversely, if the diamond forms below the locus, the remnant pressure will be "less than zero," and the mineral inclusion will be completely decompressed. Because of this relationship, minerals with a low slope on Figure 1 will have relatively high values of remnant pressure, whereas minerals with a steep slope will have low or negative values of remnant pressure. Thus the minerals, when sorted by increasing slope values, represent inclusions in a sequence with progressively lower remnant pressures (see Table 1). For the treatment of dense H₂O and CO₂ fluids as inclusions, the volume coefficient data were selected from a P-T region near the resultant isovolume locus, but well into their supercritical fields. Of course, these are fluids and not solids, so the calculations produce a "virtual" isovolume locus as if they were solids (see Table 1). Because they are supercritical fluids, positive remnant pressures >2 Kb will be representative, but anything below critical pressures (including calculated negative remnant pressures) actually will be replaced by reduced positive pressures. However, supercritical H₂O and CO₂ have such low isovolume slopes (Table 1) that treating them like the other solid minerals is justified by the high remnant pressures the fluid inclusions invariably generate.

For the particular *P-T* conditions of diamond formation, it is possible to convert the calculated remnant pressure to a factor that represents the degree of preservation of the pressure of formation, namely the ratio of the remnant pressure to the pressure of formation (hereafter, pressure preservation factor). Minerals toward the top of the list are better at retaining the



FIGURE 1. Pressure temperature (*P*-*T*) space with thirty isovolume loci labeled with mineral identification numbers (MN in Table 1).

pressure of diamond formation—the top six entries (CO₂ to phlogopite) produce remnant pressures greater than 50% of the pressure of formation. As Sobolev et al. (2000) stated, coesite is one of the best minerals in this regard, but supercritical fluid inclusions of CO₂ and H₂O are predicted to be better than any solid minerals.

DISCUSSION

Theoretically, measurements of the remnant pressure on a sealed mineral inclusion can be combined with the pressure preservation factor of the mineral to estimate the pressure of formation of the diamond. In practice, only the top 6 entries in Table 1 have preservation factors high enough to determine the pressure of formation reliably—these minerals belong to a "heritage" group of inclusions. In contrast, the minerals 21–30 (Table 1) will decompress completely for most diamond formation conditions. The isovolume loci for minerals 12–20 (Table 1) pass through the region of dominant diamond formation, so the decompression aspects of these minerals depends on the exact conditions of diamond formation. Preliminary cal-

TABLE 1. Minerals, identification numbers, and data

Mineral	MN	Ai	Bi	Source no.	Isovolume at
		×10 ⁶	×10 ⁶	A _i , B _i	P(Kb)
Diamond		0.708	0.238	3,3	
CO2	1	520	120	25,25	6.9
H₂O	2	860	188	25,25	7.3
sanidine	3	19	1.75	14,4	19.4
coesite	4	11.8	1.002	24,17	23.2
SiC	5	4	0.4425	1,2	25.8
phlogopite	6	32	1.7	23,10	34.2
guartz	7	59	2.2	12,12	47.5
dlaucophane	8	27	1.1	13,13	48.8
tremolite	9	31.3	1.176	26.6	52.2
dolomite	10	37.4	1.22	22,19	59.8
sillimanite	11	14.4	0.585	29.30	63.1
enstatite	12	32.2	0.9615	15.9	69.6
lawsonite	13	34.7	0.98	14.14	73.3
sphene	14	25	0.76	14,14	74.5
iadeite	15	24.7	0.75	5.4	75.0
clinozoisite	16	27.8	0.78	14.14	80.0
arossular	17	25	0.72	24.10	80.6
diopside	18	33.4	0.886	28.28	80.7
fosterite	19	26.4	0.73	8.21	83.6
zircon	20	12.3	0 447	20 11	88.7
periclase	21	31.6	0.6238	8.8	128 1
magnesiowustite	22	33.2	0.641	31 16	129.0
ilmenite	23	30.2	0.59	28.28	134 1
kvanite	24	30	0.58	7 7	137.0
rutile	25	26.5	0.47	24.4	177.9
sninel	26	25.2	0.41	24 24	227.8
corundum	27	23	0.38	84	251.2
MaSi-nerovskite	28	27 1	0.3831	8.8	291.0
stishovite	29	16.4	0.3185	8 18	311.9
Fe _o C	30	43.4	0.3158	27 27	877.6
Pego 30 43.4 0.5136 21,21 617.6 Notes: Data source numbers: 1 Accuratus Web Site (2002); 2 Ames Laboratory Web Site (2002); 3 Berman (1994); 4 Birch (1966); 5 Cameron et al. (1973); 6 Comodi et al. (1991); 7 Comodi et al. (1997);					
8 = Fei (1995); 9 = Flesch et al. (1998); 10 = Hazen and Finger					
(1976a,1976b); 11 = calculated from data in Hazen and Finger (1979);					
12 = Helgeson (1978); 13 = Holland (1988); 14 = Holland and Powell					
(1985); 15 Hugh-Jones = (1997); 16 = Jacobsen et al. (1999); 17 = Levien					
and Prewitt (1981); 18 = Luo et al. (2002); 19 = Martens et al. (1982); 20					
= Wang (2002); 21 = Olinger (1977); 22 = Reeder and Markgraf (1986);					
23 = Robie et al. (1979); 24 = Skinner (1966); 25 = Smith (1963); 26 =					
Sueno et al. (1973); 27 = Vocadlo et al. (2002); 28 = Wechsler and Prewitt					
(1984); 29 = Winter and Ghose (1979); 30 = Yang et al. (1997); 31 =					
Zhang et al. (2002)					

culations suggest that minerals 1–10 and 14–25 in Table 1 may be used to identify uniquely the mode of diamond formation using measured remnant pressures.

Complexities

This model is simple because various complexities have been ignored. Some complexities are qualitative or even indeterminate, such as a multiphase inclusion in a diamond. Despite this latter complexity, there may be insights if the other minerals in the inclusion chamber have isovolume loci that lie on the same side of the diamond formation conditions (see below). Another qualitative complexity occurs if the inclusion capsule is locally damaged but rehealed; if this occurred during formation of the diamond, the model would act as an upper limit. Future application of the PPI model probably will show the significance of damaged inclusion capsules.

There are other types of complexities that are quantitative, i.e., they will change the actual remnant pressure value through some sort of correction process. Firstly, there is an algebraic correction, at the temperature of diamond formation, if the difference between the diamond formation pressure and the isovolume locus pressure is defined as P_a , then actual $P_r = (B_d - B_i) \cdot P_a/B_i$, which reduces to $P_r \approx P_a$ (used herein) because B_d is typically much smaller than B_i (Table 1). This approximation cannot change the sign of P_r , only its relative size. Tests have shown that the geometric developments and conclusions are the same regardless of whether the exact or approximate relation for P_r is used, while the geometric development is simpler with the approximation.

Other quantitative complexities include non-linear corrections. For example, Zhang (1998) has carefully investigated the influence of P-T variation in the volume coefficients, the shape of the inclusion, and the elastic response of the diamond to the inclusion. Zhang (1998) and Izraeli et al. (1996) showed that remnant pressures greater than 5–10 Kb require significant non-linear corrections. Sobolev et al. (2000) considered all of these quantitative corrections for a coesite inclusion in a diamond from Venezuela, and determined a remnant pressure of 36.2 Kb. For this setting, the PPI model predicts an extrapolated value of 38.4 Kb, indicating the modest scale of the total quantitative correction required.

Significantly, these types of quantitative corrections are not required for the isovolume loci. For instance, Lui et al. (1990) and Izraeli et al. (1996) demonstrated that the fully corrected isovolume loci of garnet or olivine are basically straight lines up to 45 Kb, with a gentle curvature at higher pressures (concave toward higher temperature). Because this curvature is relative to diamond, the other minerals will respond in the same way. Thus, the simple linear model is reasonably accurate up to formation pressures of 50 Kb with regard to the placement of the mineral isovolume loci, and retains a relative rather than absolute reliability for higher-pressure regimes.

Delivery path and confining pressure on inclusions

Delivery of a diamond from the conditions of its formation to the Earth's surface causes the confining pressure on an inclusion in the diamond to change from the diamond formation conditions to the remnant pressure at the Earth's surface. How does the confining pressure develop between these end points during delivery? A simple volcanic delivery model involves two stages, namely rapid pressure drop to near zero, followed by a rapid drop in the temperature to near 0 °C. At the end of the first stage, diamond controls the volume available for the inclusion. This control results in the equation $V_d/V_d^0 = 1 + A_d T_f$ = $1 + A_j T_f - B_j P_{0T}$, where P_{0T} is the confining pressure on the inclusion at $T_{\rm f}$ (the temperature of diamond formation) at the Earth's surface (P = 0 bar). Rearranging yields $P_{0T} = -T_f \cdot (A_d - T_f \cdot A_d)$ $A_i)/B_i$. Values for P_{0T} are greater than 2 Kb and increase down the mineral list in Table 1. These minerals never decompress completely during the first stage of the volcanic delivery path because the thermal expansion of diamond is lower than all other minerals, hence the sign of P_{0T} from the last equation must remain positive. However, on the second stage of the delivery path, the confining pressure on the inclusion would change from positive P_{0T} to the remnant pressure determined previously. Thus, minerals with an isovolume locus below the diamond formation conditions cannot decompress completely on any delivery path.

If the isovolume locus is at a higher pressure than the diamond-formation conditions, the confining pressure must become negative on the second stage of the delivery path. Figure 2 illustrates an example of extrapolation for a clinopyroxene inclusion from cratonic diamond-formation conditions, yielding a crossover temperature of 150 °C at P = 0 Kb. At the Earth's surface (0 °C, 0 Kb), this inclusion will be under minus 7.6 Kb remnant pressure. The diamond at 0 °C would have to be placed under +7.6 Kb load pressure for the confining pressure on the inclusion to be returned to zero. Consider the triangle defined by the above values [(150 °C, 0 Kb on the inclusion, so $P_c = 0$) $-(0 \circ C, 0 \text{ Kb}) - (0 \circ C, 7.6 \text{ Kb} \text{ on the diamond so } P_c = 0)$, see Fig. 2]. Because the PPI model is linear, the hypotenuse of this triangle corresponds to a confining pressure of 0 Kb. Hence, any delivery path that enters this "cavity" triangle must result in complete decompression of the clinopy-roxene inclusion. Analogous to the P_r approximation, a line parallel to the hypotenuse of the cavity triangle, but at a pressure 10 Kb higher, represents the condition where the confining pressure on the inclusion is 10 Kb. Thus, the PPI model shows how to contour *P*-*T* space in terms of confining pressure for any delivery path.



FIGURE 2. Pressure temperature (P-T) space with isovolume locus of diopside (MN = 18), extrapolation (dotted line) of cratonic diamond formation conditions to determine remnant pressure at 0 °C, and cavity triangle (heavy dashed line).

Inclusions of high-pressure polymorphs

Magnesiowustite inclusions are found in some transitionzone diamonds (Scott-Smith et al. 1984), and the PPI model predicts a remnant pressure in excess of 100 Kb (for a diamond formation pressure of 180 Kb) so this mineral should retain the original high-pressure form. Coesite inclusions are reported as single crystals in diamonds from cratonic regimes (South Africa, South America), from mobile belts (Argyle Australia), and are common in diamonds from "deep leads" at Copeton (New South Wales, Australia, a non-traditional source). The remnant pressure on these coesite inclusions must remain high enough (18 Kb) to prevent coesite from inverting (the extrapolated remnant pressures are greater than 17Kb, indicating independent geological support for the simple PPI model).

Conversely, a high-pressure polymorph with an isovolume locus above the pressure of diamond formation will enter a cavity triangle that will allow it to invert on all delivery paths. Thus, the model predicts that stishovite and $Mg_{0.9}Fe_{0.1}SiO_3$ perovskite (MgSi-perovskite in Table 1) inclusions should invert during delivery of a transition-zone diamond to the surface, and this behavior has been found by Kaminsky et al. (2002) in superdeep diamonds from Brazil.

Mineral hosting inclusion of diamond

van Roermund et al. (2002) reported finding a microdiamond within a spinel grain formed in peridotite during a subduction collisional event that caused a doubling in the thickness of the crust in Norway. In the same rock, they reported interstitial graphite blebs, and speculated that spinel protected the microdiamond from graphitization during exhumation. The PPI model shows that spinel shrinks faster than diamond during delivery to the surface. As spinel is actually the host for the diamond, this volume change would generate a substantial remnant pressure on the microdiamond. The PPI model predicts that minerals 16–30 (Table 1) provide the "right" numerical environment for protecting most inclusions of diamond.

Can the remnant pressures be predicted if a mineral hosts a polymineralic inclusion including diamond? For instance, the microdiamond reported by van Roermund et al. (2002) was actually in a sealed chamber that included seven mineral grains (in approximate order of decreasing vol%: high density fluid, phlogopite, BaCaMgCO₃, spinel, diamond, periclase, dolomite, spinel, monazite). At first glance, this appears to be an indeterminate system. However, the five largest included minerals/ fluids have isovolume loci that lie below the diamond formation conditions and below the spinel isovolume locus. During delivery to the Earth's surface, these relationships should result in a high remnant pressure on the microdiamond, stopping it from inverting. In fact, preliminary calculations using the PPI model show that almost any mineral is capable of preserving microdiamond if the microdiamond is trapped in an inclusion chamber with supercritical fluid.

Isotopic age dating of inclusions in diamond

The model has implications for interpreting isotopic age dates on mineral inclusions in diamonds. If a mineral inclusion is surrounded by a partial cavity during delivery to or cooling at the Earth's surface, daughter products of the radioactive decay could diffuse to this cavity, assisted by the high-vacuum equivalent of negative remnant pressure. Under these circumstances, it is highly likely that the age date will at least partially reset to the age of emplacement.

The isovolume loci of minerals 11–21 (Table 1) overlap with diamond-formation conditions. Thus, minerals in this range may reset in some diamonds and not in others, the result critically dependant on whether the formation conditions are just above or below the particular isovolume locus. As Figure 2 shows, a diopside inclusion will decompress completely for most cratonic diamonds, and so may reset. However, some diamonds may form above the isovolume locus of diopside, in which case they should retain the age of crystallization. Age dating of inclusions of mica or sanidine should give the age of crystallization for all diamonds formed within the Earth, due to low isovolume slopes on Table 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The manuscript benefited from constructive reviews by B.J. Barron, R.F. Dymek, L.A. Taylor, and S.R. Lishmund. This manuscript is published with the permission of the Director General, New South Wales Department of Mineral Resources.

REFERENCES CITED

- Accuratus Web Site (2002) Materials, engineering properties. Anoynomous. www.accuratus.com, Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of SiC.
- Ames Laboratory Web Site (2002) News/release/borides.html. Summary information for new high-hardness materials based on AIMgB14. Anyonmous. www.ameslab.gov, Bulk Modulus of SiC.
- Berman, R. (1994) Density, lattice constant and expansion coefficients of diamond. In G. Davies, Ed., Properties and Growth of Diamond, p. 23–26. EMIS Data Reviews Series No. 9, INSPEC, The Institution of Electrical Engineers, Michael Faraday House, Stevenage, U.K.
- Birch, F. (1966) Compressibility: Elastic constants. In Handbook of physical constants, 97, 97–173. Geological Society of America Memoir, New York.
- Cameron, M., Sueno, S., Prewitt, C.T., and Papike, J.J. (1973) High temperature chemistry of acmite, diopside, hedebergite, jadeite, spodumene and ureyite. American Minerologist, 58, 594–618.
- Comodi, P., Mellini, M., Ungaretti, L., and Zanazzi, P.F. (1991) Compressibility and high pressure structure refinement of tremolite, pargasite and glaucophane. European Journal of Mineralogy, 3, 485–500.
- Comodi, P., Zanazzi, P.F., Poli, S., and Schmidt, M.W. (1997) High-pressure behavior of kyanite: Compressibility and structural deformations. American Mineralogist, 82,452–459.
- Fei, Y.W. (1995) Thermal expansion. In Mineral Physics and Crystallography. A handbook of physical constants. American Geophysical Union, 29–44.
- Flesch, L.M, Li, B.S., and Liebermann, R.C. (1998) Sound velocities of polycrystalline MgSiO₃-orthopyroxene to 10 GPa at room temperature. American Mineralogist, 83, 444–450.
- Hazen, R.M. and Finger, L.W. (1976a) The crystal structures and compressibilities of layer minerals at high pressure. II. Phlogopite and chlorite. American Mineralogist, 63, 293–296.
 - —— (1976b) Crystal structures and compressibilities of pyrope and grossular to 60 kbar. American Minerologist, 63, 297–303.
- —— (1979) Crystal structure and compressibility of zircon at high pressure. American Mineralogist 64,196–201
- Helgeson, H.C. (1978) Summary and critique of the thermodynamic properties of rock forming minerals. American Journal of Science, 278A, 1–229.
- Holland, T.J.B. (1988) Prelimary phase relations involving glaucophane and applications to high pressure petrology: new heat capacity and thermodynamic data. Contributions to Mineralogy Petrology, 99, 134–142.
- Holland, T.J.B. and Powell, R. (1985) An internally consistent thermodynamic dataset with uncertainties and correlations: 2. Data and results. Journal of Metamorphic Geology, 3, 343–370.
- Hugh-Jones, D. (1997) Thermal expansion of MgSiO₃ and FeSiO₃ ortho- and clinopyroxenes. American Mineralogist, 82,689–696.
- Izraeli, E., Wilcock, I.C., and Navon, O. (1996) Raman shifts of diamond inclusion—a possible barometer. Abstracts International Kimberlite Conference Capetown 355–357.
- Jacobsen, S.D., Angell, R.J., Reichmann, H.J., Mackwell, S.J., McCammon, C.A., Smyth, J.R., and Spetzler, H.A. (1999) Hydrostatic compression of single crystal mangesiowustite. Abstracts American Geophysical Union Eos Transactions, 80, Fall Meeting supplement T12C-05, p. 937.

- Kaminsky, F.V., Zakharchenko, O.D., Davies, R.M., Griffin, W.L., Khachatryan-Binova, G.K., and Shiryaev, A.A. (2001) Superdeep diamonds from the Juina area, Mato Grosso State, Brazil. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 140, 734–753.
- Levien, L. and Prewitt, C.T. (1981) High-pressure crystal structure and compressibility of of coesite. American Mineralogist, 66, 324–333.
- Liu, L.G., Mernagh, T.P., and Jaques, A.L. (1990) A mineralogical Raman spectroscopy study on eclogitic garnet inclusions in diamonds from Argyle. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 105, 156–1610
- Luo, S.N., Mosenfelder, J.L., Asimow, P.D., and Ahrens, T.J. (2002) Stishovite and its implications in geophysics: new results from shock-wave experiments and theoretical modelling. Physics-Uspekhi, 45, 435–439.
- Martens, R., Rosenhauer, M., and Gehlen, K.v. 1982. Compressibilities of carbonates. In E. Schreyer, Ed., High-pressure researches in geoscience, p. 215–222. Schweizerbartsche Verlagbuchhandlung, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Olinger, B. (1977) Compression studies of forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄) and enstatite (MgSiO₃). In M.H. Manghnani and S.I. Akimoto, Eds., High pressure research applications in Geophysics, p. 325–334. Academic Press Inc., New York.
- Reeder, R.J. and Markgraf, S.A. (1986) High temperature crystal chemistry of dolomite. American Mineralogist, 71, 705–804.
- Robie, R.A., Hemingway, B.S., and Fisher, J.R. (1979) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar (105 pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures. United States Geological Survey Bulletin, 1452.Washington, D.C.
- van Roermund, H.L.M., Carswell, D.A., Drury, M.R., and Heijboer, T.C. (2002) Microdiamonds in a megacrystic garnet websterite pod from Bardane on the island of Fjortoft, western Norway: Evidence for diamond formation in mantle rocks during deep continental subduction. Geology 30, 959–962.
- Scott Smith, B.H., Danchin, R.V., Harris, J.W., and Stracke, K.J. (1984) Kimberlites near Orroroo, South Australia. In J. Kornprobst, Ed., Proceedings of the Third International Kimberlite Conference, Kimberlites and Related Rocks 1, p. 121– 142. Elsevier Developments in Petrology IIA, Amsterdam.

- Skinner, B.J. (1966) Thermal expansion. In S.P. Clark Jr., Ed., Handbook of Physical Constants, p. 75–95. Geological Society of America Memoir, New York.
- Sobolev, N.V., Fursenko, B.A., Goryainov, S.V., Shu, J.F., Hemley, R.J., Mao, H.K., and Boyd, F.R. (2000) Fossilized high pressure from the Earth's deep interior: The coesite—in diamond barometer. Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences United States of America, 97, 11875–11879.
- Smith, F.G. (1963) Physical geochemistry. Addison Wesley Publishing, 624 pp. Sueno, S.M., Cameron, M., Papike, J.J., and Prewitt, C.T. (1973) The high tempera-
- ture crystal chemistry of tremolite. American Mineralogist, 61, 38–53.
- Vocadlo, L., Brodholt, J., Dobson, D.P., Knight, K.S., Marshall, W.G., Price, G.D., and Wood, I.G. (2002) The effect of ferromagnetism on the equation of sate of Fe₃C studied by first-principles calculations. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 203, 567–575.
- Wang, H. (2002) Thermal expansion of zircon. On NASA Technical Web Site, Reducing CTE Mismatch Between Coatings and Si-Based Ceramics. http:// www.nasatech.com/Briefs/Jun98/Lew16393.html.
- Wechsler, B.A. and Prewitt, C.T. (1984) Crystal structure of ilmenite (FeTiO₃) at high temperature and high pressure. American Mineralogist, 69, 176–185.
- Winter, J.K. and Ghose, S. (1979) Thermal expansion and high-temperature crystal chemistry of the Al₂SiO₅ polymorphs. American Mineralogist, 64, 573–586.
- Yang, H., Hazen, R.M., Finger, L.W., and Prewitt, C.T. (1997) Compressibility and crystal structure of sillimanite, Al₂SiO₅, at high pressure. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 25, 39–47.
- Zhang, J. and Kostak, P. (2002) Thermal equation of state of magnesiowustite (Mg_{0.6}Fe_{0.4})O Physics of Earth and Planetary Interiors, 129, 301–311.
- Zhang, Y.X. (1998) Mechanical and phase equilibria in inclusion-host systems. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 157, 209–222.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED DECEMBER 26, 2002 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED MAY 14, 2003 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY ROBERT DYMEK