Effects of fluid and melt density and structure on high-pressure and high-temperature experimental studies of hydrogen isotope partitioning between coexisting melt and aqueous fluid

BJORN MYSEN*

Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 5251 Broad Branch Road NW, Washington, D.C. 20015, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen isotope partitioning (as H₂O and D₂O) between silicate-saturated aqueous fluid and water-saturated aluminosilicate melt has been determined with vibrational spectroscopy (Raman and infrared) in situ with the samples at high temperature and pressure by using a hydrothermal diamondanvil cell (HDAC) for sample containment. To assess the effects of pressure and, therefore, different silicate speciation in fluids and melts, on the D/H partitioning behavior, two pressure/temperature experimental trajectories (450-800 °C/155-754 MPa, and 450-800 °C/562-1271 MPa) were used. In these temperature and pressure ranges, the fluid/melt partition coefficients are temperature (and pressure) dependent with the average enthalpy change, $\Delta H = -6.6 \pm 15$ kJ/mol and -10.3 ± 1.1 kJ/mol for H₂O and D₂O, respectively. The ΔH -values for the lower-pressure trajectory (and, therefore, lower fluid density) were 15–20% higher than for the higher-pressure (and higher fluid density) trajectory. The (D/H) ratios of fluids and melts, (D/H)^{fluid} and (D/H)^{melt}, are also temperature dependent with a small negative ΔH for (D/H)^{fluid} (average: -2.4 ± 0.8 kJ/mol) and a positive ΔH -value for (D/H)^{melt} $(2.3 \pm 1.4 \text{ kJ/mol})$. The (D,H) exchange equilibrium between fluid and melt is also temperature (and pressure) dependent so that for the low-density P/T trajectory, the $\Delta H = -4.2 \pm 0.6$ kJ/mol, whereas for the higher-density trajectory, $\Delta H = -5.4 \pm 0.7$ kJ/mol. The difference between the H₂O and D₂O fluid/melt partition coefficients and the temperature- and pressure-dependent D/H fractionation behavior in and between hydrous silicate melts and silicate-saturated aqueous fluid in part is because pressure increases with increasing temperature in the HDAC experiments and the volume difference between fluid and melt differ for H₂O and D₂O. In addition, the silicate speciation in fluids and melts are temperature and pressure dependent, which also leads to significantly temperature- and pressuredependent D/H fractionation within and between silicate melts and fluids at high temperature and pressure. In the Earth's deep crust and upper mantle, hydrogen isotope partitioning between condensed phases and aqueous fluid can differ substantially from that between condensed phases and pure H₂O because the aqueous fluid in the Earth's interior is a concentrated silicate solution wherein the silicate speciation affects the isotope partitioning.

Keywords: Hydrogen isotopes, vibrational spectroscopy, aqueous fluid, hydrous melt, structure, pressure, temperature

INTRODUCTION

Stable isotope (H, C, O, N, S) fractionation is a tool with which to model gas fluxing, degassing, and other materials transport in geological processes (e.g., Pineau et al. 1998; Hauri 2002; Deines 2002; Manning 2004). Such modeling depends on isotope fractionation factors. Theoretical modeling relies on assumptions of no interaction between functional groups in the materials (such as in a gas phase, e.g., Niki et al. 1965; Richet et al. 1977). In aqueous solutions with strong intermolecular forces (as much as ~20 kJ/mol for hydrogen bonding, for example; Walrafen et al. 1996) this assumption fails (e.g., O'Neil and Truesdell 1993; Horita et al. 1995; Foustoukos and Mysen 2012). Furthermore, in silicate melts, whether waterbearing or anhydrous, there are interconnected structural units

Isotope partitioning has been determined experimentally by analyzing melts and fluids in experimental charges following their physical separation after quenching of an experiment to ambient temperature and pressure (Kuroda et al. 1982; Dobson et al. 1989; Pineau et al. 1998). The separation process is,

with bond energies of tens to hundreds of kJ/mol (Bockris and Reddy 1970; Poole et al. 1995). Type and proportions of such structural units may govern the structural role of protons and deuterons, for example (Cody et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2011). Similar structural features describe silicate solute in aqueous solutions at high temperature and pressure (e.g., Zotov and Keppler 2002; Mibe et al. 2008; Newton and Manning 2008). Such structural constraints can affect element and isotope partitioning between melts, fluids, and other phases (O'Neil and Truesdell 1993; Horita et al. 1995; Driesner and Seward 2000; Mysen 2012, 2013).

^{*} E-mail: bmysen@ciw.edu

however, challenging because during temperature-quenching of hydrous silicate systems, oxide components dissolved in aqueous fluids at high temperature and pressure tend to precipitate (Schneider and Eggler 1984; Mysen and Wheeler 2000). This quench precipitation alters the composition of the fluid from its equilibrium values and likely also alters the isotope ratio of the residual fluid because isotope fractionation varies with solute concentration in the fluid (O'Neil and Truesdell 1993; Horita et al. 1995). The precipitates formed in this manner often cannot be separated completely from coexisting glass or crystals, which renders a major challenge recombination of residual fluid and quenched oxide components to that which existed at high temperature and pressure. Additional complexity can arise from water dissolved in melts at high pressure and temperature because some of this water often exsolves from the melt during cooling of melt to a glass for ambient-condition study. This exsolution process results in water contents of quenched hydrous glass that differ from their high-temperature and high-pressure equilibrium values (Yoder et al. 1957; Eggler and Burnham 1984). Water exsolution likely also leads to different isotope ratios of the quenched glass compared with the values at high temperature and pressure prior to quenching.

Experimental barriers caused by temperature- and pressurequenching of experimental charges before analysis can be avoided completely by analysis of the samples in situ while at the temperature and pressure of interest. In this report, the behavior of deuterium and hydrogen has been evaluated in this manner. To this end, the D/H ratios in coexisting melt and fluid, D and H partitioning between them, and D/H exchange equilibria between fluid and melt have been determined by using vibrational spectroscopy to probe samples in situ at high temperature and pressure contained in an externally heated hydrothermal diamond-anvil cell (Bassett et al. 1996). Two different pressure-temperature trajectories were explored. In this manner, effects of total water content of melts and of silicate content of coexisting fluid on D/H partitioning between silicate-saturated aqueous fluid and water-saturated silicate melts were evaluated without results being affected by alteration of melts and fluid during temperature-pressure quenching of an experiments before isotope analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Starting material was the NA10 composition glass originally used by Mysen (2007). This melt has NBO/T = 0.5 and Al/(Al+Si) = 0.189, which are reasonable approximations to melt polymerization and Al/(Al+Si)-values of island arc andesitic magmas (NBO/T: nonbridging oxygen per tetrahedrally coordinated cations; see Table 1 for description of NBO/T calculation of melt and glass from chemical composition). This composition also has a sufficiently low liquidus temperature and glass transition temperature so that examination of melt-fluid equilibria is feasible over a large temperature interval below the practical temperature maximum of an externally heated diamond-anvil cell (≤ 1000 °C; Bassett et al. 1996).

Small glass chips (<100 μm across) were loaded together with a D_2O+H_2O (50:50 by volume) liquid, ^{13}C diamond chips (to monitor pressure), and Pt metal (to enhance equilibration rates—see Horita 1988) in the 500 μm central hole of 125 μm thick Ir gaskets used in the diamond cell (Fig. 1). During an experiment, this diameter shrank to ~400 μm and the gasket to ~80–90 μm thickness.

The hydrothermal diamond-anvil cell (HDAC) was heated with two Mowound furnaces (0.1 mm diameter Mo wire) surrounding the upper and lower diamond, respectively. Temperature was measured (and controlled) with K-type thermocouples to within ± 1 °C. Pressure was generated by the fluid because as temperature is increased and sample volume is kept constant by using the method to ascertain constant sample volume as described by Bassett et al. (1996). Under the assumption that the *PVT* properties of silicate-saturated mixed (D₂O+H₂O) fluid are the same as those of pure H₂O, pressure can be calculated at any temperature. However, these assumptions can lead to erroneous pressure estimates (e.g., Mysen 2010), and an independent pressure sensor, therefore, was employed. This was accomplished by monitoring the one-phonon Raman shift of synthetic ¹³C diamond (Schiferl et al. 1997; Mysen and Yamashita; 2010). Spectrometer positional precision is critical, therefore, to minimize pressure uncertainty. This precision was optimized by using 2400 gratings/mm and Ne emission lines as internal frequency reference. The uncertainty in frequency of the ¹³C diamond one-phonon-shift then is about ± 0.1 cm⁻¹. From the calibration curve of Mysen and Yamashita (2010), this frequency precision results in ± 40 cm⁻¹ precision of pressure. The calibration itself does, however, carry an approximately ± 100 MPa error so that the combined uncertainty in the pressure from the one-phonon Raman shift of the ¹³C diamond is about ± 110 cm⁻¹.

Platinum was added to the sample chamber because Pt enhances isotope exchange rate (Horita 1988). For example, D/H equilibrium between liquid H_2O and H_2 at 25 °C and ambient pressure is reached within 1–2 h (Horita 1988). Results of time studies of D/H partitioning between fluid and melts using similar compositions and temperature, but higher pressures also indicate that an hour is sufficient to reach isotopic equilibrium (Mysen 2013).

Whether or not the presence of Pt result in dissociation of H₂O to form H₂ and platinum oxide or iridium oxide was assessed by subjecting H₂O in contact with Pt and in Ir gaskets to 400–800 °C/660–1440 MPa by using an HDAC design identical to those under which the D/H partitioning experiments were carried out. There is no evidence of H₂ in the H₂O even at the highest temperatures and

TABLE 1. Procedure to calculate NBO/T of a melt*

- (1) Convert chemical analysis to atomic proportions
- (2) (a) Establish proportion of tetrahedrally-coordinated cations (T-cations) (b) At pressures below those where pressure-induced coordination changes occur, the main three T-cations are Si⁴⁺, Al³⁺, and P⁵⁺. Under certain circumstances, Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ may also exist in tetrahedral coordination in silicate melts.*
- (3) Note that T-cations are assigned a formal charge of 4+. This is accomplished via charge-balance with alkalis or alkaline earths conceptually similar to charge-balance of tetrahedrally-coordinated cations in crystal chemistry.
- (4) The NBO/T is calculated by electrical charge-balance in which T-cations are assigned a formal charge of 4 and oxygen a formal charge of 2–. With X_{τ} and X_{o} their proportions from the chemical analysis, the NBO/T of a melt is:

$NBO = (2 \cdot X_0 - 4 \cdot X_T)/X_T$

*This procedure can only be used provided that melt/glass structural information is available with which to assign cations to tetrahedral coordination.



FIGURE 1. Microphotograph of sample, from high-density fluid experiment, at 800 °C and 1271 MPa.

pressures (Fig. 2). This result likely is because Pt- and Ir oxides are not stable under these experimental conditions.

Coexisting melt and fluid were probed in situ while the samples were at the temperatures and pressures of interest by using a JASCO model IMV4000 microFTIR and with an NRS-3100 confocal microRaman spectrometer. Transmission infrared spectroscopy was conducted with 10× objective and condenser cassegraine lenses, an MCT detector, CaF₂ beam splitter and a halogen light source. It should be noted that for the infrared absorption spectroscopy of melt globules in a matrix of silicate-saturated fluid, there often was some interference on spectra of melt from its surrounding aqueous fluid. This interference was because the globule-diameter frequently was less than the distance between the diamond culets (sample thickness; $80-90 \mu m$). When recording the absorption spectra under such circumstances (as illustrated schematically in Fig. 3), the infrared beam will pass through both melt and fluid during measurement. The absorption signal will, therefore, comprise contributions from both melt and fluid.

Raman spectroscopic measurements were carried out with a 532 nm laser operating at ~7 mW at the sample. Spectra were recorded through a 50× magnification/0.42 N.A. long-working distance Mitutoyo objective lens. An Andor Model DV401-F1 1024 × 128 pixel (25 µm pixel size) Peltier-cooled CCD was used for signal detection. The spectrometer has a single monochromator, a holographic notch filter, and holographic gratings with 600, 1200, and 2400 gratings/ mm available for use. For the measurements, 600 gratings/mm were used, which results in a CCD energy window corresponding to ~3650 cm-1. The frequency uncertainty with 600 gratings/mm is ±3-4 cm⁻¹. For the Raman spectroscopic measurements of 13C diamond used for pressure estimates, 2400 gratings/mm were employed with Ne emission lines used for internal frequency calibration, which result in the frequency uncertainty is ±0.1 cm⁻¹. The diameter of the laser beam at the sample was ~1 $\mu m.$ The sample excitation volume extends to 10–20 µm depth for transparent samples such as fluid and melt. The Raman measurements do not suffer from the same interference issues that affected the infrared absorption measurements because of the much smaller sample volume probed



FIGURE 2. Raman spectra of H_2O , recorded in situ in the HDAC at temperatures and pressures indicated on individual spectra. Micrometersized pieces of Pt was loaded together with the H_2O . The sample was contained in an Ir gasket similar to that used in the other experiments. The H_2O density and pressures at different temperatures were calculated from the *PVT* data of H_2O (Wagner and Pruss 2002).

with the Raman spectroscopy compared with FTIR absorption spectroscopy,.

In each of the two pressure/temperature series of Raman and infrared measurements, the sample was first brought to the highest temperature (800 °C) and corresponding pressure (1271 and 754 MPa for high-density and low-density fluid, respectively; see below for further detail). Following Raman spectroscopic measurements of the carbon-13 diamond, of diamond in the diamond cell itself, of fluid, and of melt at this temperature (and pressure), the temperature was decreased at 1 °C/s to successively lower temperatures and then kept for approximately an hour at each of the desired temperature and pressure conditions (Table 2) followed by the same sequence of spectroscopic measurements. The same sample was, therefore, used for all temperatures and pressures in each of the two series of Raman and infrared measurement. To remove the interference from the second-order diamond shift, Raman spectra of diamonds recorded at the same temperature as the spectra of samples, were subtracted from the spectra of fluids and melts.

The infrared spectroscopic measurements were conducted subsequent to Raman measurements, and using the same sample and with the same temperature/ pressure path as in the Raman spectroscopy. It was assumed that the pressures during the infrared measurements were the same as those during the Raman measurements. Absorption spectra of an empty cell after measurements were recorded at the same temperatures to normalize out the effect of diamond absorption on the infrared spectra.



FIGURE 3. Schematic representation of infrared beam path through sample in diamond-anvil cell with melt globule diameter less than the distance between upper and lower diamond of the diamond-anvil cell.

TABLE 2. Experimental data

T (°C)	<i>Р</i> (МРа) ^ь	Time (min) ^c	Phases	Raman	FTIR
		Low-densit	y (0.67 g/cm ³) ^a		
425 ^d	156	N.A.	Melt+Fluid	Xe	Of
500	231	65	Melt+Fluid	х	0
575	285	70	Melt+Fluid	х	0
650	405	65	Melt+Fluid	х	х
725	561	75	Melt+Fluid	х	х
800	754	60	Melt+Fluid	х	0
		High-densit	y (0.81 g/cm³)•		
25	0.1	N.A.	Glass+Fluid	х	х
425	562	70	Melt+Fluid	х	х
500	682	75	Melt+Fluid	х	х
575	876	80	Melt+Fluid	х	х
650	922	75	Melt+Fluid	х	х
725	1079	60	Melt+Fluid	х	х
800	1271	65	Melt+Fluid	х	х

^a Raman spectrum of ¹³C diamond was also recorded at room temperature (25 °C).
^b Uncertainty, which incorporates both the fitting errors of the pressure calibration of the ¹³C Raman shift (Mysen and Yamashita 2010) and frequency error in the Raman shift, is ~110 MPa. However, precision, which incorporates only the frequency error in the Raman shift, is ~40 MPa.

^c Time between attaining the temperature (and pressure) and beginning of recording of spectrum of fluid (which was always recorded before melt).

 $^{\rm d}$ Density is calculated assuming PVT properties of the fluid are the same as pure H_2O (from Wagner and Pruss 2002).

^e Analyses carried out.

^f FTIR spectrum recorded, but melt spectra show clear strong influence of absorption from surrounding aqueous fluid (see text and Fig. 3 for additional details).

RESULTS

The temperature-dependent P/T paths of the two series of experiments with different fluid densities (termed low density and high density; Fig. 4, see also Table 2) were:

$$P_{\text{low density}} = 573 - 2.29 \cdot T(^{\circ}\text{C}) + 0.00313 \cdot \text{T}^2,$$
(1a)

$$P_{\text{high density}} = 24 + 0.999 \cdot T(^{\circ}\text{C}) + 0.000671 \cdot \text{T}^2.$$
 (1b)

The pressure/temperature relations calculated with the assumption that the fluid density in the experiments can be modeled with that of pure H₂O (Wagner and Pruss 2002) are also shown in Figure 4. There is difference between the trajectories derived with this method compared with that from the one-phonon shift of carbon-13 diamond (Fig. 4) exists because silicate components are dissolved in the fluid (Manning 1994; Newton and Manning 2008). These solutes affect *PVT* properties of the aqueous fluid (Mysen 2010). Furthermore, *PVT* properties of D₂O fluid as compared with pure H₂O (Bazaev et al. 2003) might also contribute to the difference pressure/temperature trajectories.

Under the conditions investigated, the temperatures exceed that of the glass transition because dissolved water depresses this temperature by several hundred degrees (Duebner et al. 2003; Del Gaudio et al. 2007). Above the glass transition temperature (but not necessarily above the liquidus temperature), the supercooled melt behaves structurally and thermodynamically as a melt (the material is energetically relaxed). This is not the case for a glass, which is not relaxed (e.g., Moynihan et al. 1976; Scherer 1986). It follows that the data reported here are quantitatively applicable to those of a melt above its liquidus temperature.



FIGURE 4. Pressure/temperature trajectories of the two series of experiments (low- and high-density fluid) with pressure a function of temperature as seen in Equations 1a and 1b. Also shown (dashed lines) is the pressure/temperature trajectory of the experimental series in Mysen (2013).



FIGURE 5. Examples of Raman spectra of melts in the low-frequency region at temperature and pressure indicated on individual spectra. The sharp peak near 900 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum 425 °C/156 MPa is from the CCD response to cosmic rays.

Raman spectra

Information on the nature of the aluminosilicate bonding environment (structure) was derived from interpretation of the spectra in the low-frequency range, 200–1200 cm⁻¹. The D₂O and H₂O environments were probed with spectra in the highfrequency range between about 2500 and 3800 cm⁻¹.

In the low-frequency range, the Raman spectra of hydrous melts characteristically have broad bands in the 300–600 and 800–1200 cm⁻¹ frequency ranges (Fig. 5). This topology remains at all temperatures and pressures under study here. These features are typical of alkali aluminosilicate glass and melt spectra whether these materials are anhydrous or hydrous (e.g., McMillan et al. 1992; Mysen 2007; Malfait et al. 2007).

With increasing temperature and pressure, there is intensity growth centered near 500 and 850 cm⁻¹ (marked "a" and "b", respectively, in Fig. 5). The intensity near 500 cm⁻¹ is assigned to bending and rocking (Si, Al)-O vibrations in depolymerized structural units. The abundance of these structural units increases, therefore, with increasing temperature and pressure (which also results in increasing water solubility in silicate melts; see McMillan 1994 for review). The intensity, "b" (Fig. 5), likely could be assigned to Si-OH stretching (near 970 cm⁻¹; e.g., Stolen and Walrafen 1976), Si-OD stretching (near 900 cm⁻¹; McMillan et al. 1993), and Si-O⁻ stretching in Q² species (near 950 cm⁻¹; Mysen 2007).

The low-frequency range in Raman spectra of silicatesaturated fluid differs from the spectra of hydrous melts in that its dominant intensity is near 770 cm⁻¹ instead of the lower- and higher-frequency intensity maxima in the spectra of hydrous melts (Figs. 5 and 6). This frequency is identical to that in spectra of fluids in Al-free silicate systems (Zotov and Keppler 2002; Mysen 2009). In the spectra of low-density fluid, the 770 cm⁻¹ band is the only discernible Raman signal (Fig. 6A), whereas in the spectra of high-density fluid (where the pressure at given temperature is twice or more that of low-density fluid; Table 2)



FIGURE 6. (A) Examples of Raman spectra of silicate-saturated (D_2O+H_2O) low-density fluid at temperature and pressure indicated on individual spectra. (B) Same as A except from high-density fluid. The sharp peak near 3350 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of melt at 800 °C/1271 MPa is from the CCD response to cosmic rays.

there is intensity growth near 600 cm⁻¹ (marked "a" in Fig. 6B). At the highest temperatures and pressures there is also a shoulder on the high-frequency side of the 770 cm⁻¹ band (marked "b" in Fig. 6B).

The 770 cm⁻¹ band (Fig. 6) in Raman spectra of silicatesaturated aqueous fluids is assigned to Si-O⁻ stretching in Q⁰ units (e.g., Zotov and Keppler 2002; Mibe et al. 2008). In the low-density fluid, the only detectable silicate species is, therefore, Q⁰ (isolated SiO₄ tetrahedra). In the high-density fluids, on the other hand, the additional Raman intensity on the low- and highfrequency side of the 770 cm⁻¹ band is because more polymerized structural units of silicate in the high-density fluid. The shoulder near 820–830 cm⁻¹ ("b" in Fig. 6B) is assigned to Si-O⁻ stretching in Q¹-species and the intensity maximum marked "a" in Figure 6B most likely is due to Si-O-Si bending motion in the same species (e.g., Zotov and Keppler 2002; Mibe et al. 2008).

In the high-frequency range, the Raman spectra are dominated by intensity envelopes in the 2500-2700 and 3200-3700 cm⁻¹ ranges, respectively (shown with gradient fill and light gray fill in Fig. 7). The envelope between 3200 and 3700 cm⁻¹ is a comparatively featureless broad asymmetric band that evolves toward a single, symmetric band with increasing temperature (and pressure). This evolution is similar to that in spectra of aqueous fluids and hydrous melts in other systems (Kohl et al. 1991; Frantz et al. 1993; Kawamoto et al. 2004). The bands that comprise this intensity envelope are assigned to OH vibrations in molecular H₂O and in HDO and to OH vibrations in OH-groups that are bonded to metal cations (i. e., Si⁴⁺, Al³⁺, and perhaps Na⁺; Mysen and Virgo 1986; Cody et al. 2005). The temperaturedependent evolution of this intensity envelope toward a single symmetric band reflects diminishing extent of hydrogen bonding with increasing temperature (Walrafen et al. 1986; Frantz et al. 1993; Foustoukos and Mysen 2012).

The 2500–2800 cm⁻¹ intensity envelope comprises bands that are assigned to $O \cdots D$ vibrations in molecular D_2O and HDO, and in OD groups bonded to metal cations (see, for example, Walrafen 1971; Max and Chapados 2002; Foustoukos and Mysen 2012).

There is considerably more topological variation in this intensity envelope than in the higher-frequency envelope comprising $O \cdots H$ vibrations (Fig. 7). The two highest-frequency bands, near 2650 and 2550 cm⁻¹, respectively, are assigned to OD groups that form bonding with Si⁴⁺ and Al³⁺ (Walrafen 1971; Max and Chapados 2002). There is, in addition, a third band near 2450 cm⁻¹, which is more intense in spectra of melts than in spectra of fluids (marked "b" in the examples in Fig. 7). It is possible that this band can be assigned to OD stretching in OD groups bonded to Na⁺. The relative intensities of these groups of bands differ in the spectra of melts and fluids (Fig. 7).

Finally, there are two bands (at 2910 and 2970 cm⁻¹) observed in all spectra of fluid, but that are not seen in spectra of melts (marked "a" in Fig. 7). There are several possible assignments of these bands. This frequency range is, for example, that where bands assignable to C...H stretching in organic functional groups (e.g., Socrates 2001). It is also where $D \cdots D$ vibrations in D_2 would occur (e.g., Veirs and Rosenblatt 1987; Socrates 2001). However, the assignment to D₂ is highly unlikely because there is no evidence of H₂ (dominant Raman bands near 4150 cm⁻¹) or HD (sharp band near 3620 cm⁻¹; Williams et al. 2002). The first alternative implies interaction of the H2O+D2O fluid with carbon in the sample area. For example, it could be a reaction between H₂O and carbon in the diamond of the HDAC to form CH₄ (Chou and Anderson 2009). Another possible source of the carbon is incomplete removal of a residue of organic solvents from cleaning of gaskets after polishing. Whatever the source of carbon, the band near 2900 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to C-H stretching in CH₄ or methyl (CH₃) groups and the 2960 cm⁻¹ band to C-H stretching in CH_xD_y isotopologues (Foustoukos and Mysen 2013). The latter isotopologues were then formed by interaction with deuterium in the D₂O.

Infrared absorption spectra

Infrared absorption spectra were recorded between 2000 and 7800 cm⁻¹. The two dominant regions are centered near 2600 and 3500 cm⁻¹ (gradient-filled and gray fill, respectively; see Fig. 8).



FIGURE 7. Examples of Raman spectra of coexisting water-saturated melt and silicate-saturated fluid from low-density experiments at temperatures and pressures indicated on individual spectra.



FIGURE 8. Examples of FTIR absorption spectra of coexisting water-saturated melt and silicate-saturated fluid from high-density experiments at temperatures and pressures indicated on individual spectra.

In addition, there are much weaker bands near 4500, 5200, and sometimes 7000 cm⁻¹ (see inserts, Fig. 8).

The principal contribution to the 2600 cm⁻¹ maximum is absorption by OD groups in molecular D_2O and from OD-groups forming bonds with metal cations (Bukka et al. 1992; Martra et al. 2001). The equivalent OH absorptions result in the other main peak centered near 3500 cm⁻¹ (e.g., Stone and Walrafen 1982). In addition, possible combination bands of OD/SiOD and OD/ SiOH, computed by linear combination of the OD fundamental stretching at 2600 cm⁻¹, SiOH near 970 and SiOD near 900 cm⁻¹, can result in absorption bands in the 3500–3600 cm⁻¹ frequency region of the infrared spectra (see also Stone and Walrafen 1982). If present, the intensity contributions from these peaks cannot be isolated quantitatively from the intensity assigned to fundamental OH stretch. It is proposed, for example, that the peak marked "b" could contain this contribution (Fig. 8).

The much weaker bands near 4500 and 5000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 8) commonly are interpreted to be combination bands involving OH-groups and H_2O , respectively (e.g., Stolper 1982; Behrens

and Nowak 2003). It is possible, however, that a peak marked "a" in the inserts in Figure 8 could be the first overtone of molecular D_2O (Walrafen et al. 1996). That notwithstanding, the much greater OH/H₂O ratio in hydrous melts as compared with coexisting, silicate-saturated aqueous fluid is visually evident (Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

Water and heavy water exist in melts and fluids in the form of OH and OD groups that form bonds with silicate components and as molecular H_2O (shown with the symbol H_2O° here) and molecular D_2O (shown as D_2O°). The Raman scattering and infrared absorption from these two groups of species may be used to extract D and H partitioning between fluids and melts. To this end, the ratios of integrated area of the intensity envelopes near 2600 and 3500 cm⁻¹ in the Raman and FTIR spectra (Figs. 7 and 8), assigned to vibration of OD- and OH-bearing species, whether bonded to metal cations or protons, respectively, can be used as a measure of concentration ratios of OD- and OH-containing species, respectively, provided that the force constants of OD and OH stretching are the same. Mysen (2013) concluded that this is so, which means that these force constants cancel out and, therefore, the ratio of integrated areas equals the abundance ratio of deuterated and pronated species.

These concentration ratios are equivalent to total D and H concentration ratios in the samples because there is no evidence for other H- or D-bearing species. Therefore, it follows from the Raman spectra;

$$D/H = X_{OD+(D_2O)}^o / X_{OH+(H_2O)}^o = A_{OD} / A_{OH}.$$
 (2)

In Equation 2, $X_{\text{OD+(D_2O)}}^{\circ}$ and $X_{\text{OH+(H_2O)}}^{\circ}$ are the sum of concentrations of structurally bound OD and molecular D₂O and OH and molecular H₂O. The A_{OD} and A_{OH} are the integrated areas of Raman frequencies centered near 2600 and 3500 cm⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 8). In Equation 2, it is assumed that the force constants for OD and OH stretching are the same in all environments so that the ratio of integrated areas, $A_{\text{OD}}/A_{\text{OH}}$, equals the abundance ratio, $X_{\text{OD+(D_2O)}}^{\circ}/X_{\text{OH+(H_2O)}}^{\circ}$. Mysen (2013) found this assumption accurate within the error of the data (~5%) by measuring the ratios of integrated areas, $A_{\text{OD}}/A_{\text{OH}}$, for supercritical fluids with known $X_{\text{OD+(D_2O)}}^{\circ}/X_{\text{OH+(H_2O)}}^{\circ}$.

The deuterium and proton partition coefficients between fluid and melt derived from the Raman spectra are

$$K_{\rm D}^{\rm fluid/melt} = X_{\rm OD+(D_2O)}^{\rm o fluid} / X_{\rm OD+(D_2O)}^{\rm o melt} = A_{\rm OD}^{\rm fluid} / A_{\rm OD}^{\rm melt},$$
 (3a) and

$$K_{\rm H}^{\rm fluid/melt} = X_{\rm OH+(H_2O)}^{\rm o fluid} / X_{\rm OH+(H_2O)}^{\rm o melt} = A_{\rm OH}^{\rm fluid} / A_{\rm OH}^{\rm melt}.$$
 (3b)

No additional assumptions are needed.

For infrared absorption spectra, molecular weights of D_2O and H_2O and density of fluid and melt must be integrated into the calculations. By using the Beer-Lambert law simply to describe a relationship between total H_2O and D_2O and the absorption intensities, the (D/H)-ratio from the FTIR absorption spectra is

$$(D/H) = X_{OD+(D_2O)}^{\circ}/X_{OH+(H_2O)}^{\circ} = (A_{OD}/A_{OH}) \cdot 20.02/18.02$$
(4)

where 20.02 and 18.02 are molecular weights of D_2O and H_2O , respectively. The fluid/melt partition coefficients are

$$K_{\rm D}^{\rm fluid/melt} = X_{\rm OD+(D_2O)}^{\rm o\, fluid} / X_{\rm OD+(D_2O)}^{\rm o\, melt} = (A_{\rm OD}^{\rm fluid} / A_{\rm OD}^{\rm melt}) \cdot (d^{\rm melt} / d^{\rm fluid}), \quad (5a)$$

and

$$K_{\rm H}^{\rm fluid/melt} = X_{\rm OH^+ (H_{2O})}^{\rm o fluid} / X_{\rm OH^+ (H_{2O})}^{\rm o melt} = A_{\rm OH}^{\rm fluid} / A_{\rm OH}^{\rm melt} \cdot (d^{\rm melt} / d^{\rm fluid})$$
(5b)

where d^{melt} and d^{fluid}, are the density of melt and fluid, respectively.

In Equations 4 and 5, it is assumed that the molar absorption coefficients for total H_2O and total D_2O do not vary with concentration of water and heavy water, respectively, and that their values are equal so that these cancel out in Equations 4 and 5. The ratio of structurally bound OH-groups to molecular water, OH/H_2O° , in melts is insensitive to total H_2O at concentration higher than 5–6 wt% (Dixon and Stolper 1995; Zotov and Keppler 1998). The water solubility in the present melts is not known with precision. However, by using the empirical water solubility model of Moore et al. (1998), an H_2O content \geq 5 wt% in these melts is approximately correct for the lowest temperature and pressure.

The OH/H_2O° ratio, therefore, is not expected to vary much in the temperature and pressure ranges studied. Less is known about the behavior of heavy water. It is assumed, therefore, that the D_2O solubility and the OD/D_2O° ratio are similar to those of H_2O .

The density of fluid and melt is also needed in Equation 5. Fluid density was derived from the intersection of a fluid isochore with the fluid+vapor univariant curve and using the *PVT* data of pure H₂O to determine the corresponding density (Wagner and Pruss 2002). It is assumed, therefore, that the density of a silicate-saturated mixed H₂O+D₂O fluid can be modeled with the properties of pure H₂O. This assumption leads to a density uncertainty. This uncertainty increases with increasing temperature and pressure and may be as high as 10% at the highest temperatures and pressures because of the increased silicate solubility in aqueous fluids with increasing temperature and pressure (see Mysen 2010). These differences from the *PVT* behavior of pure H₂O are also reflected the different pressure/ temperature trajectories in Figure 4.

The density of coexisting water-saturated hydrous melt is also temperature and pressure dependent. This is in part because of temperature- and pressure-dependent thermal expansion and compressibility and in part because the solubility of water in melts increases with increasing pressure. By using the partial molar volumes of oxides in silicate melts from Lange (1994), water solubility estimates from Moore et al. (1998), and a partial molar volume of H₂O of 12 cm³/mol (Richet et al. 2000), the melt density is 2.2 ± 0.2 g/cm³ in the pressure/temperature range of the experiments. This value was used in Equation 5.

The fluid/melt partition coefficient, $K_{\rm H}^{\rm fluid/melt}$, differs from that of $K_{\rm D}^{\rm fluid/melt}$ at all temperatures, pressures, and fluid densities (Figs. 9 and 10). The ΔH -value, obtained from the slope of the relationships between $\ln K_{\rm H}^{\rm fluid/melt}$ vs. 1/T and $\ln K_{\rm D}^{\rm fluid/melt}$ vs. 1/T (Figs. 9 and 10), is essentially the same whether from Raman or FTIR data (Table 3). We note, however, that the curves for the FTIR spectra are offset to higher partition coefficient values compared with those determined from the Raman spectra (Figs. 9 and 10). This offset could be because of too high melt density or too low fluid density when calculating the $K_{\rm D}$ - and $K_{\rm H}$ -values with Equation 5. An error of 20-25% in the fluid/melt density ratio is necessary to reach agreement between the partition coefficients from the two different spectroscopic measurements. This is larger than the error introduced by the uncertainty in the density estimates. It is likely, therefore, that at least a portion of the difference is because there are contributions other than OD and OH stretch vibrations to each of the two main absorption envelopes at 2600 and 3500 cm⁻¹.

The ΔH for the D₂O partitioning is about twice that of H₂O, which may suggest partial molar volume differences between H₂O and D₂O in melt and fluid (partial molar volume of H₂O and D₂O in aqueous brines do indeed differ, see for example; Trevani et al. 2007) because pressure increases with temperature in these experiments. A volume effect might contribute to the slightly different ΔH -values in the two experimental series with different fluid density (Figs. 4, 9, and 10; Table 3). With different partial molar volumes of D₂O and H₂O in melts and fluids, their pressure derivatives likely also are different. Therefore, the volume difference, $\Delta V^{\text{fluid-melt}}$, for H₂O and D₂O are not the same and the difference is pressure dependent. The different ΔH -values may



FIGURE 9. Fluid/melt partition coefficient for hydrogen, measured as total H₂O, $K_{\rm fluid/melt}^{\rm fluid/melt}$, as a function of temperature and pressure (as indicated). The pressure scales were calculated from Equations 1a and 1b based on the Raman shift of ¹³C diamond (Fig. 4). Error bars reflect progression of errors from calculating the areas of the integrated Raman intensity assigned to OH-stretching in H₂O in the 3000–3700 cm⁻¹ frequency range.



FIGURE 10. Fluid/melt partition coefficient for deuterium, measured as total D₂O, $K_D^{\text{fluid/melt}}$, as a function of temperature and pressure (as indicated). The pressure scales were calculated from Equations 1a and 1b based on the Raman shift of ¹³C diamond (Fig. 4). Error bars reflect progression of errors from calculating the areas of the integrated Raman intensity assigned to OD-stretching in D₂O in the 2100–2800 cm⁻¹ frequency range.

TABLE 3. Enthalpy values from temperature-dependent partitioning (Figs. 8–11)

Partition coefficient	Experiment	ΔH (kJ/mol)	Partition coefficient	Experiment	ΔH (kJ/mol)
K _H fluid/melt	Raman: low-density	-7.6 ± 0.7	(D/H) ^{melt}	Raman: low-density	0.8 ± 1.3
K ^{fluid/melt}	Raman: high-density	-5.4 ± 1.0	(D/H) ^{melt}	Raman: high-density	4.0 ± 0.8
K ^{fluid/melt}	FTIR: high-density	-5.1 ± 0.9	(D/H) ^{melt}	FTIR: high-density	1.8 ± 2.4
K _H ^{fluid/melt}	Mysen (2013) ^a	-8.1 ± 3.6	(D/H) ^{melt}	Mysen (2013) ^a	2.8 ± 0.9
K ^{fluid/melt}	Raman: low-density	-11.9 ± 0.5	$K_{\rm H/D}^{\rm fluid/melt}$	Raman: low-density	-4.2 ± 0.6
K ^{fluid/melt}	Raman: high-density	-9.7 ± 1.4	K _{H/D}	Raman: high-density	5.4 ± 0.7
K ^{fluid/melt}	FTIR: high-density	-9.3 ± 0.8	K _{H/D}	FTIR: high-density	-4.8 ± 0.3
K ^{fluid/melt}	Mysen (2013) ^a	-10.1 ± 2.2	K _{H/D}	Mysen (2013) ^a	-6.4 ± 1.4
(D/H) ^{fluid}	Raman: low-density	-3.2 ± 0.5		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(D/H) ^{fluid}	Raman: high-density	-1.4 ± 0.8			
(D/H) ^{fluid}	FTIR: high-density	-3.0 ± 3.7			
(D/H) ^{fluid}	Mysen (2013) ^a	-2.0 ± 2.6			

also be due to variations in silicate, water, and heavy water speciation in melts and fluids, or contributions from all these factors.

It follows from the temperature-dependent $K_{\rm D}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ and $K_{\rm H}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ that $(D/H)^{\text{fluid}}$ and $(D/H)^{\text{melt}}$ are also temperature (and pressure dependent; see Fig. 11). However, the behavior differs for melt and fluid with $(D/H)^{\text{fluid}}$ decreasing with increasing temperature, whereas for $(D/H)^{\text{melt}}$, the temperature correlation is positive (Fig. 11). The slope from the high-density FTIR data yields a ΔH -value in the same range as that obtained with the Raman data, but the error is very large because of the data scatter (see above for discussion of this). The ΔH derived from the temperature relationships of $(D/H)^{\text{fluid}}$ is slightly greater for the low-density than for high-density fluid (Fig. 11a; Table 3). This difference likely is because in the low-density fluid, the only

silicate-species is Q⁰ over the entire temperature and pressure range, whereas for the high-density fluid, additional Q-species are formed at the higher temperatures and pressures. In this regard the high-density data resemble the even high-density fluid experiments by Mysen (2013) (see also Fig. 4). In contrast, the (D/H)^{melt} increases with increasing temperature (Fig. 11b) with the Δ H of (D/H)^{melt} slightly less temperature dependent in the experiments where melt coexists with low-density fluid than when with high-density fluid (Table 3). Because the pressure/ temperature trajectories of the two experimental series experiments differ, so does the solubility of water in the melt. That, in turn, causes changes in abundance trajectories of Q-species along the different pressure/temperature paths, which, in turn, appear to change the details of how water and heavy water interact with



FIGURE 11. (a) D/H fractionation factors for fluid, $(D/H)^{fluid}$, based on the OD/OH ratio derived from the Raman and FTIR spectra (as indicated) as a function of temperature. (b) D/H fractionation factors for coexisting melt, $(D/H)^{melt}$, based on the OD/OH ratio derived from the Raman and FTIR spectra (as indicated) as a function of temperature. The pressure scales were calculated from Equations 1a and 1b based on the Raman shift of ¹³C diamond (Fig. 4). Error bars reflect progression of errors from calculating the areas of the integrated Raman and FTIR absorption intensity assigned to OD-stretching in D₂O and OH in H₂O in Raman and FTIR spectra.

the silicate melt.

The D/H exchange equilibrium constant, $K_{D/H}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$, is

$$H_2O(melt) + D_2O(fluid) = D_2O(melt) + H_2O(fluid)$$
(6)

where H₂O and D₂O denote total water and heavy water concentration. The equilibrium constant

$$K_{\text{D/H}}^{\text{fluid/melt}} = X_{\text{D2O}}^{\text{melt}} \cdot X_{\text{H2O}}^{\text{fluid}} / X_{\text{H2O}}^{\text{melt}} \cdot X_{\text{D2O}}^{\text{fluid}} = (\text{D/H})^{\text{melt}} / (\text{D/H})^{\text{fluid}}$$
(7)

is shown as a function of temperature (and pressure) in Figure 12. Here the mole fractions represent the sum of water and heavy water dissolved in fluids and melts both as OH and OD groups bonded to silicate components and as molecular H_2O° and D_2O° .

The (D/H) partitioning relationships (Fig. 12) may be partly because the composition and structure of fluid and melt are temperature and pressure dependent and, therefore, so is the (D/H)^{fluid} and (D/H)^{melt}. In addition, the pressure increase will affect the (D/H)-partitioning in part because, in analogy with Dsubstituted Mg(OH)₂ (Horita et al. 2010), the compressibility and density of D₂O-bearing melt differs from those of H₂O-bearing melt and fluid. In addition, the speciation and abundance of silicate solute in fluid is temperature and pressure dependent, which can cause D/H fractionation to vary. The contribution from fluid is silicate concentration dependent, so the higher the fluid density, the more of an influence from the silicate components in aqueous fluid is likely. The resulting difference in D/H fractionation factors is the underlying cause for the temperature/ pressure dependence.

The D/H partitioning data reported here and elsewhere (Wang et al. 2011; Mysen 2013) were obtained in compositionally relatively simple aluminosilicate systems and cannot, therefore, be



FIGURE 12. Exchange equilibrium coefficient for coexisting fluid and melt, $K_{DH}^{\text{luid melt}}$, as a function of temperature and pressure for experimental series indicated. The pressure scales were calculated from Equations 1a and 1b based on the Raman shift of ¹³C diamond (Fig. 4). Error bars reflect progression of errors from calculating the areas of the integrated Raman and FTIR absorption intensity assigned to OD-stretching in D₂O and OH in H₂O in Raman and FTIR spectra from melts and fluids.

applied quantitatively to characterization of natural processes. However, the principles that relate silicate speciation in fluids and melts to D/H fractionation are the same whether in chemically simple systems such as studied here or in chemically more complex systems such as those of magmatic liquids and hydrothermal fluids in the crust and the mantle of the Earth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by NSF grants EAR-0734182 and EAR-1212754.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bassett, W.A., Wu, T.-C., Chou, I.-M., Haselton, T., Frantz, J.D., Mysen, B.O., Huang, W.-L., Sharma, S.K., and Schiferl, D. (1996) The hydrothermal diamond anvil cell (DAC) and its applications. In M.D. Dyar, C.A. McCammon and M. Schaefer, Eds., Mineral Spectroscopy: A Tribute to Roger G. Burns, p. 261–272. The Geochemical Society, Houston.
- Bazaev, A.R., Abdulagatov, I.M., Magee, J.W., Bazaev, E.A., and Ramazanova, A.E. (2003) PVTx measurements for H₂O+D₂O mixtures in the near-critical and supercritical regions. Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 26, 115–128.
- Behrens, H., and Nowak, M. (2003) Quantification of H₂O speciation in silicate glasses and melts by IR spectroscopy—in situ versus quench techniques. Phase Transitions, 76, 45–61.
- Bockris, J.O'M., and Reddy, A.K.N. (1970) Modern Electrochemistry, 662 p. Plenum Press, New York.
- Bukka, K., Miller, J.D., and Shabtai, J. (1992) FTIR study of deuterated montmorillonites: Structural features relevant to pillared clay stability. Clays and Clay Minerals, 40, 92–102.
- Chou, I.-M., and Anderson, A.J. (2009) Diamond dissolution and the production of methane and other carbon-bearing species in hydrothermal diamond anvil cell. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73, 6360–6366.
- Cody, G.D., Mysen, B.O., and Lee, S.K. (2005) Structure vs. composition: A solid state ¹H and ²⁹Si NMR study of quenched glasses along the Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O join. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69, 2373–2384.
- Deines, P. (2002) The carbon isotope geochemistry of mantle xenoliths. Earth-Science Reviews, 58, 247–278.
- Del Gaudio, P., Behrens, H., and Duebner, J. (2007) Viscosity and glass transition temperature of hydrous float glass. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 353, 223–236.
- Dixon, J.E., and Stolper, E.M. (1995) An experimental study of water and carbon dioxide solubilities in mid-ocean ridge basaltic liquids; Part II, Applications to degassing. Journal of Petrology, 36, 1633–1646.
- Dobson, P.F., Epstein, S., and Stolper, E.M. (1989) Hydrogen isotope fractionation between coexisting vapor and silicate glasses and melts at low pressure. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 53, 2723–2731.
- Driesner, T., and Seward, T.M. (2000) Experimental and simulation study of salt effects and pressure/density effects on oxygen and hydrogen stable isotope liquid-vapor fractionation for 4–5 molal aqueous NaCl and KCl solutions to 400°C. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 64, 1773–1784.
- Duebner, J., Müller, R., Behrens, H., and Heide, G. (2003) Water and the glass transition temperature of silicate melts. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 330, 268–273.
- Eggler, D.H., and Burnham, C.W. (1984) Solution of H₂O in diopside melts: a thermodynamic model. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 85, 58–66.
- Foustoukos, D.I., and Mysen, B.O. (2012) D/H isotopic fractionation in the H₂-H₂O system at supercritical water conditions: Composition and hydrogen bonding effects. Geochimicia et Cosmochimica Acta, 86, 88–102.
- (2013) H/D methane isotopologues dissolved in magmatic fluids: Stable hydrogen isotope fractionations in the Earth's interior. American Mineralogist, 98, 946–954.
- Frantz, J.D., Dubessy, J., and Mysen, B. (1993) An optical cell for Raman spectroscopic studies of supercritical fluids and its applications to the study of water to 500 °C and 2000 bar. Chemical Geology, 106, 9–26.
- Hauri, E.H. (2002) SIMS analysis of volatiles in silicate glasses, 2: isotopes and abundances in Hawaiian melt inclusions. Chemical Geology, 183, 115–141.
- Horita, J. (1988) Hydrogen isotope analyses of natural waters using an H₂-water equilibration method: A special implication to brines. Chemical Geology, 72, 89–94.
- Horita, J., Cole, D.R., and Wesolovski, D.J. (1995) The activity-composition relationship of oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in aqueous salt solutions; III, Vapor-liquid water equilibration of NaCl solutions to 350°C. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 59, 1139–1151.
- Horita, J., dos Santos, A.M., Tulk, C.A., Chakoumakos, B.C., and Polyakov, V.B. (2010) High-pressure neutron diffraction study on H–D isotope effects in brucite. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 37, 741–749.
- Kawamoto, T., Ochiai, S., and Kagi, H. (2004) Changes in the structure of water deduced from the pressure dependence of the Raman OH frequency. Journal of Chemical Physics, 120, 5867–5870.
- Kohl, W., Lindner, H.A., and Franck, E.U. (1991) Raman spectra of of water to 400°C and 3000 bar. Berichte Bundesenges Physikalische Chimie, 95, 1586–1593.
- Kuroda, Y., Kariya, Y., Suzukoki, T., and Matsuo, S. (1982) D/H fractionation between water and the melts of quartz, K-feldspar, albite and anorthite at high

temperature and pressure. Geochemical Journal, 16, 73-78.

- Lange, R.A (1994) The effect of H₂O, CO₂, and F on the density and viscosity of silicate melts. In M.R. Carroll and J.R. Holloway, Eds., Volatiles in Magmas, 30, p. 331–370. Reviews in Mineralogy, Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia.
- Malfait, W.J., Zakaznova-Herzog, V.P., and Halter, W.E. (2007) Quantitative Raman spectroscopy: High-temperature speciation of potassium silicate melts. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 353, 4029–4042.
- Manning, C.E. (1994) The solubility of quartz in H₂O in the lower crust and upper mantle. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 58, 4831–4840.
 (2004) The chemistry of subduction-zone fluids. Earth and Planetary
- Science Letters, 223, 1–16.
- Martra, G., Cacciatori, T., Marchese, L., Hargreaves, J.S.J., Mellor, I.M., Joyner, R.W., and Coluccia, S. (2001) Surface morphology and reactivity of microcrystalline MgO: Single and multiple acid–base pairs in low coordination revealed by FTIR spectroscopy of adsorbed CO, CD₃CN and D₂. Catalysis Today, 70, 121–130.
- Max, J.J., and Chapados, C. (2002) Isotope effects in liquid water by infrared spectroscopy. Journal of Chemical Physics, 116, 4626–4642.
- McMillan, P.F. (1994) Water solubility and speciation models. In M.R. Carroll and J.R. Holloway, Eds., Volatiles in Magmas, 30, p. 131–156. Reviews in Mineralogy, Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia.
- McMillan, P.F., Wolf, G.H., and Poe, B.T. (1992) Vibrational spectroscopy of silicate liquids and glasses. Chemical Geology, 96, 351–366.
- McMillan, P.F., Poe, B.T., Stanton, T.R., and Remmele, R.L. (1993) Raman spectroscopic study of H/D isotopically substituted hydrous aluminosilicate glasses. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 19, 454–459.
- Mibe, K., Chou, I.M., and Bassett, W.A. (2008) In situ Raman spectroscopic investigation of the structure of subduction-zone fluids. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, B04208, DOI: 10.1029/2007JB005179.
- Moore, G., Vennemann, T., and Carmichael, I.S.E. (1998) An empirical model for the solubility of H₂O in magmas to 3 kilobars. American Mineralogist, 83, 36–42.
- Moynihan, C.T., Eastal, A.J., and DeBolt, M.A. (1976) Dependence of the fictive temperature of glass and cooling rate. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 59, 12–16.
- Mysen, B.O. (2007) The solution behavior of H₂O in peralkaline aluminosilicate melts at high pressure with implications for properties of hydrous melts. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71, 1820–1834.
- (2009) Solution mechanisms of silicate in aqueous fluid and H₂O in coexisting silicate melts determined in-situ at high pressure and high temperature. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73, 5748–5763.
- (2010) Speciation and mixing behavior of silica-saturated aqueous fluid at high temperature and pressure. American Mineralogist, 95, 1807–1816.
- (2012) High-pressure/-temperature titanium solution mechanisms in silicate-saturated aqueous fluids and hydrous silicate melts. American Mineralogist, 97, 1241–1251.
- (2013) Hydrogen isotope fractionation between coexisting hydrous melt and silicate-saturated aqueous fluid: An experimental study in situ at high pressure and temperature. American Mineralogist, 98, 376–386.
- Mysen, B.O., and Virgo, D. (1986) Volatiles in silicate melts at high pressure and temperature. 1. Interaction between OH groups and Si⁴⁺, Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺ and H⁺. Chemical Geology, 57, 303–331.
- Mysen, B.O., and Wheeler, K. (2000) Alkali aluminosilicate-saturated aqueous fluids in the Earth's upper mantle. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 64, 4243–4256.
- Mysen, B.O., and Yamashita, S. (2010) Speciation of reduced C-O-H volatiles in coexisting fluids and silicate melts determined in-situ to ~1.4 GPa and 800°C. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74, 4577–4588.
- Newton, R.C., and Manning, C.E. (2008) Thermodynamics of SiO₂-H₂O fluid near the upper critical end point from quartz solubility measurements at 10 kbar. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 274, 241–249.
- Niki, H., Rousseau, Y., and Mains, G.J. (1965) Hydrogen-deuterium exchange reaction. I. 6³P₁ mercury photosensitized. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 69, 45–52.
- O'Neil, J.R., and Truesdell, A.E. (1993) Oxygen isotope fractionation studies of solute water interactions, in stable isotope geochemistry: A tribute to Samuel Epstein, edited by H.P. Taylor, J.R. O'Neil, and I.R. Kaplan, p. 17–25. The Geochemical Society, Calgary.
- Pineau, F., Shilobreeva, S., Kadik, A., and Javoy, M. (1998) Water solubility and D/H fractionation in the system basaltic and esite-H₂O at 1250 °C and between 0.5 and 3 kbars. Chemical Geology, 147, 173–184.
- Poole, P.H., McMillan, P.F., and Wolf, G.H. (1995) Computer simulations of silicate melts. In J.F. Stebbins, P.F. McMillan, and D.B. Dingwell, Eds., Structure, Dynamics and Properties of Silicate Melts, 32, p. 563–616. Reviews in Mineralogy, Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia.
- Richet, P., Bottinga, Y., and Javoy, M. (1977) A review of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur, and chlorine stable isotope fractionation among gaseous molecules. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 5, 65–110.
- Richet, P., Whittington, A., Holtz, F., Behrens, H., Ohlhorst, S., and Wilke, M. (2000) Water and the density of silicate glasses. Contributions to Mineralogy

and Petrology, 138, 337-347.

- Scherer, G.W. (1986) Relaxation in Glasses and Composites. Wiley, New York.
- Schiferl, D., Nicol, M., Zaug, J.M., Sharma, S.K., Cooney, T.F., Wang, S.-Y., Anthony, T.R., and Fleischer, J.F. (1997) The diamond ¹⁵C/¹²C isotope Raman pressure sensor system for high temperature/pressure diamond-anvil cells with reactive samples. Journal of Applied Physics, 82, 3256–3265.
- Schneider, M.E., and Eggler, D.H. (1984) Compositions of fluids in equilibrium with peridotite: implications for alkaline magmatism-metasomatism. In J. Kornprobst, Ed., Kimberlites. I. Kimberlites and Related Rocks, p. 383–394. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Socrates, G. (2001) Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies—Tables and Charts, 3rd ed., 347 p. Wiley, New York.
- Stolen, R.H., and Walrafen, G.E. (1976) Water and its relation to broken bond defects in fused silica. Journal of Chemical Physics, 64, 2623–2631.
- Stolper, E. (1982) The speciation of water in silicate melts. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46, 2609–2620.
- Stone, J., and Walrafen, G.E. (1982) Overtone vibrations of OH groups infused silica optical fibers. Journal of Chemical Physics, 74, 1712–1722.
- Trevani, L.N., Balodis, E.C., and Tremaine, P.R. (2007) Apparent and standard partial molar volumes of NaCl. NaOH, and HCl in water and heavy water at T = 523K and 573 K at P = 14 MPa. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 111, 2015–2024.
- Veirs, D.K., and Rosenblatt, G.M. (1987) Raman line positions in molecular hydrogen—H₂, HD, HT, D₂, DT, and T₂. Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 121, 401–409.
- Wagner, W., and Pruss, A. (2002) The IAPWS formulation 1995 for the thermodynamic properties of ordinary water substance for general scientific use. Journal of Physical Chemistry Reference Data, 31, 387–535.
- Walrafen, G.E. (1971) Raman spectra from HDO in H₂O to 7.2 kbar. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 55, 5137–5139.

- Walrafen, G.E., Fisher, M.R., Hokmabadi, M.S., and Yang, W.H. (1986) Temperature dependence of the low- and high-frequency Raman scattering from liquid water. Journal of Chemical Physics, 85, 6970–6982.
- Walrafen, G.E., Yang, W.H., Chu, Y.C., and Hokmabadi, M.S. (1996) Raman ODstretching overtone spectra from liquid D₂O between 22 and 152 degrees C. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 100, 1381–1391.
- Wang, Y.B., Cody, S.X., Cody, G.D., and Mysen, B.O. (2011) ²H and ¹H NMR study on hydrogen isotope effects in silicate glasses. In 2011 AGU Fall Meeting, American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California.
- Williams, K.A., Pradhan, B.K., Eklund, P.C., Kostov, M.K., and Cole, M.W. (2002) Raman spectroscopic investigation of H₂, HD, and D₂ physisorption on ropes of single-walled, carbon nanotubes. Physics Review Letters, 88, 165505.
- Yoder, H.S., Stewart, D.B., and Smith, J.R. (1957) Ternary feldspars. Carnegie Institution of Washington Year Book, 56, 206–214.
- Zotov, N., and Keppler, H. (1998) The influence of water on the structure of hydrous sodium tetrasilicate glasses. American Mineralogist, 83, 823–834.
- (2002) Silica speciation in aqueous fluids at high pressures and high temperatures. Chemical Geology, 184, 71–82.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED DECEMBER 21, 2012 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED MAY 7, 2013 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY BENEDETTO DE VIVO